



ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-14-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: Iron Gate Dam

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday Date: 10 / 13 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-IGDFHS-01A	Black Felt Paper under Metal Roofing	
FCG-20-5562-IGDFHS-01B	Black Felt Paper under Metal Roofing	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\Iron Gate\COCs\IGDFHS\Bulk Request 9-15-2020 wpd

Delivered by:

Date: 10 17 10 Time: 10 AM/PM

Date: 10 17 120 Time: 10 AM/PM





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-14-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: Iron Gate Dam

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday
Date: 10 / 13 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.


Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE # MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-IGDFPS-01A	Concrete on Supports for Fish Hatchery Water Supply
ECG-20-5562-IGDFPS-02A	Brown Fibrous Material at Saddles for Fish Hatchery Water Supply
ECG-20-5562-IGDFPS-03A	Silver Paint over Black Asphaltic Material on Fish Hatchery Water

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\Iron Gate\COCs\IGDFPS\Bulk Request

Date: 10 17 7 Time: 104 Delivered by: Date: 15 1 71 25 Time: 1640 AM/PM Received by:





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-14-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: Iron Gate Dam

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE #	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-IGDPH-01A	CMU and Grout / Power House, Interior Wall	
FCG-20-5562-IGDPH-02A	Concrete / Powerhouse Floor	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\Iron Gate\COCs\IGDPH\Bulk Request 9-15-2020 wod

Delivered by:

Date: 10 17 17 Time: 10 AM/PM

Date: 15 1 7 1 25 Time: 15 AM/PM





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-14-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: Iron Gate Dam

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday

67932

Date: 10 / 13 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE #	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION
FCG-20-5562-IGDPIS-01A	Concrete / Intake House Foundation


C:\Users\selber\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\Iron Gate\COCs\IGDPIS\Bulk Request 9-15-2020 wpd

Delivered by:

Date: 10 11 Time: 10 10 AM/PM

Date: 10 17 12 Time: 10 90 AM/PM

Page 1 of 1





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-14-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: Iron Gate Dam

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday

Date: 10 / 13 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-IGDRR-01A	Concrete / Foundation of Building	
FCG-20-5562-IGDRR-02A	CMU and Grout / Storage Area, Interior Wall	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\Iron Gate\COCs\IGDRR\Bulk Request 9-15-2020.wpd

Delivered by:

Date: 10 17 10 Time: 040 AM/PM

Received by:

Date: 10 17 13 Time: 10 40 AM/PM



APPENDIX B LEAD RELATED DOCUMENTS

- Lead in Paint Samples Analysis Report From EMLAB
- Bulk Lead Material Analysis Request Form for Entek





Report for:

Andy Roed Entek Consulting Group 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Regarding: Project: 20-5562; NV5; Iron Gate Dam

EML ID: 2498697

Approved by:

Industrial Manager
Andrew Ikeda

Dates of Analysis: Lead - Flame AA: 10-13-2020

Service SOPs: Lead - Flame AA (EM-BC-S-8443) AIHA-LAP, LLC accredited service, Lab ID #178697

All samples were received in acceptable condition unless noted in the Report Comments portion in the body of the report. Due to the nature of the analyses performed, field blank correction of results is not applied. The results relate only to the samples as received. Sample size, as it relates to Wipe samples only, is supplied by the client.

Eurofins EMLab P&K ("the Company") shall have no liability to the client or the client's customer with respect to decisions or recommendations made, actions taken or courses of conduct implemented by either the client or the client's customer as a result of or based upon the Test Results. In no event shall the Company be liable to the client with respect to the Test Results except for the Company's own willful misconduct or gross negligence nor shall the Company be liable for incidental or consequential damages or lost profits or revenues to the fullest extent such liability may be disclaimed by law, even if the Company has been advised of the possibility of such damages, lost profits or lost revenues. In no event shall the Company's liability with respect to the Test Results exceed the amount paid to the Company by the client therefor.

Eurofins EMLab P&K's LabServe® reporting system includes automated fail-safes to ensure that all AIHA-LAP, LLC quality requirements are met and notifications are added to reports when any quality steps remain pending.

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (866) 888-6653 Fax (623) 780-7695 www.emlab.com

Client: Entek Consulting Group

C/O: Andy Roed

Re: 20-5562; NV5; Iron Gate Dam

Date of Sampling: 09-14-2020

Date of Receipt: 10-08-2020

Date of Report: 10-15-2020

LEAD: FLAME ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROMETRY

Location:	ECG-20-IGDCB-01Pb: White paint on interior walls and door frames	ECG-20-IGDCB-02Pb: Yellow paint on exterior bollards
Comments (see below)	A	A
Lab ID-Version‡:	11905547-1	11905548-1
Analysis Date:	10/13/2020	10/13/2020
Sample type	Paint Chip sample	Paint Chip sample
Method*	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified
† Method Reporting Limit	130 ppm	55 ppm
Sample size	0.0780 grams	0.1818 grams
§Total Lead Result	< 130 ppm	180 ppm

Comments: A) The relative percent difference of the matrix duplicate pair was above control limits. The laboratory control sample and matrix blank were both within control limits and validated the batch.

Sample results have not been corrected for blank values.

Bulk samples are not covered under the AIHA-LAP, LLC service accreditation.

Wipe samples must meet ASTM E1792 criteria. Method Reporting Limits may not be valid for non-ASTM E1792 wipe samples.

- † The Method Reporting Limit is the minimum concentration of Lead that the laboratory can confidently detect in the sample.
- § Total Lead Result has been rounded to two significant figures to reflect analytical precision.
- ‡ A "Version" indicated by -"x" after the Lab ID# with a value greater than 1 indicates a sample with amended data. The revision number is reflected by the value of "x".

^{*}Sample preparation and analytical methods are based upon NIOSH 7082 and EPA 7000B.





Report for:

Andy Roed Entek Consulting Group 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Regarding: Project: 20-5562; NV5; Iron Gate Dam

EML ID: 2498724

Approved by:

Technical Manager Andrew Ikeda

Induu Heda

Dates of Analysis: Lead - Flame AA: 10-12-2020

Service SOPs: Lead - Flame AA (EM-BC-S-8443) AIHA-LAP, LLC accredited service, Lab ID #178697

All samples were received in acceptable condition unless noted in the Report Comments portion in the body of the report. Due to the nature of the analyses performed, field blank correction of results is not applied. The results relate only to the samples as received. Sample size, as it relates to Wipe samples only, is supplied by the client.

Eurofins EMLab P&K ("the Company") shall have no liability to the client or the client's customer with respect to decisions or recommendations made, actions taken or courses of conduct implemented by either the client or the client's customer as a result of or based upon the Test Results. In no event shall the Company be liable to the client with respect to the Test Results except for the Company's own willful misconduct or gross negligence nor shall the Company be liable for incidental or consequential damages or lost profits or revenues to the fullest extent such liability may be disclaimed by law, even if the Company has been advised of the possibility of such damages, lost profits or lost revenues. In no event shall the Company's liability with respect to the Test Results exceed the amount paid to the Company by the client therefor.

Eurofins EMLab P&K's LabServe® reporting system includes automated fail-safes to ensure that all AIHA-LAP, LLC quality requirements are met and notifications are added to reports when any quality steps remain pending.

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (866) 888-6653 Fax (623) 780-7695 www.emlab.com

Date of Sampling: 09-14-2020

Client: Entek Consulting Group

C/O: Andy Roed Date of Receipt: 10-08-2020 Re: 20-5562; NV5; Iron Gate Dam Date of Report: 10-14-2020

LEAD: FLAME ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROMETRY

Location:	ECG-20-5562-IGDDTI-01Pb: Orange paint on interior metal ladder	
Comments (see below)	None	
Lab ID-Version‡:	11905853-1	
Analysis Date:	10/12/2020	
Sample type	Paint Chip sample	
Method*	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified	
† Method Reporting Limit	83 ppm	
Sample size	0.1212 grams	
§Total Lead Result	210000 ppm	

Comments:

Sample results have not been corrected for blank values.

Bulk samples are not covered under the AIHA-LAP, LLC service accreditation.

Wipe samples must meet ASTM E1792 criteria. Method Reporting Limits may not be valid for non-ASTM E1792 wipe samples.

- † The Method Reporting Limit is the minimum concentration of Lead that the laboratory can confidently detect in the sample.
- § Total Lead Result has been rounded to two significant figures to reflect analytical precision.
- ‡ A "Version" indicated by -"x" after the Lab ID# with a value greater than 1 indicates a sample with amended data. The revision number is reflected by the value of "x".

EMLab P&K, LLC EMLab ID: 2498724, Page 2 of 2

^{*}Sample preparation and analytical methods are based upon NIOSH 7082 and EPA 7000B.





Report for:

Andy Roed Entek Consulting Group 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Regarding: Project: 20-5562; NV5; Iron Gate Dam

EML ID: 2498714

Approved by:

Technical Manager Andrew Ikeda

Induu Heda

Dates of Analysis: Lead - Flame AA: 10-13-2020

Service SOPs: Lead - Flame AA (EM-BC-S-8443) AIHA-LAP, LLC accredited service, Lab ID #178697

All samples were received in acceptable condition unless noted in the Report Comments portion in the body of the report. Due to the nature of the analyses performed, field blank correction of results is not applied. The results relate only to the samples as received. Sample size, as it relates to Wipe samples only, is supplied by the client.

Eurofins EMLab P&K ("the Company") shall have no liability to the client or the client's customer with respect to decisions or recommendations made, actions taken or courses of conduct implemented by either the client or the client's customer as a result of or based upon the Test Results. In no event shall the Company be liable to the client with respect to the Test Results except for the Company's own willful misconduct or gross negligence nor shall the Company be liable for incidental or consequential damages or lost profits or revenues to the fullest extent such liability may be disclaimed by law, even if the Company has been advised of the possibility of such damages, lost profits or lost revenues. In no event shall the Company's liability with respect to the Test Results exceed the amount paid to the Company by the client therefor.

Eurofins EMLab P&K's LabServe® reporting system includes automated fail-safes to ensure that all AIHA-LAP, LLC quality requirements are met and notifications are added to reports when any quality steps remain pending.

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (866) 888-6653 Fax (623) 780-7695 www.emlab.com

Client: Entek Consulting Group C/O: Andy Roed

Re: 20-5562; NV5; Iron Gate Dam

Date of Sampling: 09-14-2020 Date of Receipt: 10-08-2020 Date of Report: 10-15-2020

LEAD: FLAME ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROMETRY

Location:	ECG-20-5562-IGDES-01Pb: Gray Paint on Exterior Wood Siding	
Comments (see below)	A	
Lab ID-Version‡:	11905926-1	
Analysis Date:	10/13/2020	
Sample type	Paint Chip sample	
Method*	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified	
† Method Reporting Limit	73 ppm	
Sample size	0.1378 grams	
§Total Lead Result	< 73 ppm	

Comments: A) The relative percent difference of the matrix duplicate pair was above control limits. The laboratory control sample and matrix blank were both within control limits and validated the batch.

Sample results have not been corrected for blank values.

Bulk samples are not covered under the AIHA-LAP, LLC service accreditation.

Wipe samples must meet ASTM E1792 criteria. Method Reporting Limits may not be valid for non-ASTM E1792 wipe samples.

- *Sample preparation and analytical methods are based upon NIOSH 7082 and EPA 7000B.
- † The Method Reporting Limit is the minimum concentration of Lead that the laboratory can confidently detect in the sample.
- § Total Lead Result has been rounded to two significant figures to reflect analytical precision.
- ‡ A "Version" indicated by -"x" after the Lab ID# with a value greater than 1 indicates a sample with amended data. The revision number is reflected by the value of "x".

EMLab P&K, LLC





Report for:

Andy Roed Entek Consulting Group 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Regarding: Project: 20-5562; NV5; Iron Gate Dam

EML ID: 2498705

Approved by:

Technical Manager Andrew Ikeda

Induu Heda

Dates of Analysis:

Lead - Flame AA: 10-13-2020

Service SOPs: Lead - Flame AA (EM-BC-S-8443) AIHA-LAP, LLC accredited service, Lab ID #178697

All samples were received in acceptable condition unless noted in the Report Comments portion in the body of the report. Due to the nature of the analyses performed, field blank correction of results is not applied. The results relate only to the samples as received. Sample size, as it relates to Wipe samples only, is supplied by the client.

Eurofins EMLab P&K ("the Company") shall have no liability to the client or the client's customer with respect to decisions or recommendations made, actions taken or courses of conduct implemented by either the client or the client's customer as a result of or based upon the Test Results. In no event shall the Company be liable to the client with respect to the Test Results except for the Company's own willful misconduct or gross negligence nor shall the Company be liable for incidental or consequential damages or lost profits or revenues to the fullest extent such liability may be disclaimed by law, even if the Company has been advised of the possibility of such damages, lost profits or lost revenues. In no event shall the Company's liability with respect to the Test Results exceed the amount paid to the Company by the client therefor.

Eurofins EMLab P&K's LabServe® reporting system includes automated fail-safes to ensure that all AIHA-LAP, LLC quality requirements are met and notifications are added to reports when any quality steps remain pending.

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (866) 888-6653 Fax (623) 780-7695 www.emlab.com

Client: Entek Consulting Group

C/O: Andy Roed

Re: 20-5562; NV5; Iron Gate Dam

Date of Sampling: 09-14-2020

Date of Receipt: 10-08-2020

Date of Report: 10-15-2020

LEAD: FLAME ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROMETRY

Location:	ECG-20-5562-IGDFHF-	ECG-20-5562-IGDFHF-	ECG-20-5562-IGDFHF-
	01Pb:	02Pb:	03Pb:
	Red/Orange Paint on	Silver Paint on Exterior	Green Paint on Gantry
	Handrails	Equipment Structures	Gate
Comments (see below)	A	A	A
Lab ID-Version‡:	11905893-1	11905894-1	11905895-1
Analysis Date:	10/13/2020	10/13/2020	10/13/2020
Sample type	Paint Chip sample	Paint Chip sample	Paint Chip sample
Method*	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified
† Method Reporting Limit	380 ppm	220 ppm	360 ppm
Sample size	0.0265 grams	0.0460 grams	0.0276 grams
§Total Lead Result	< 380 ppm	92000 ppm	< 360 ppm

Comments: A) The relative percent difference of the matrix duplicate pair was above control limits. The laboratory control sample and matrix blank were both within control limits and validated the batch.

Sample results have not been corrected for blank values.

Bulk samples are not covered under the AIHA-LAP, LLC service accreditation.

Wipe samples must meet ASTM E1792 criteria. Method Reporting Limits may not be valid for non-ASTM E1792 wipe samples.

- † The Method Reporting Limit is the minimum concentration of Lead that the laboratory can confidently detect in the sample.
- § Total Lead Result has been rounded to two significant figures to reflect analytical precision.
- ‡ A "Version" indicated by -"x" after the Lab ID# with a value greater than 1 indicates a sample with amended data. The revision number is reflected by the value of "x".

EMLab P&K, LLC EMLab ID: 2498705, Page 2 of 2

^{*}Sample preparation and analytical methods are based upon NIOSH 7082 and EPA 7000B.





Report for:

Andy Roed Entek Consulting Group 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Regarding: Project: 20-5562; NV5; Iron Gate Dam

EML ID: 2498702

Approved by:

Technical Manager Andrew Ikeda

Induu Heda

Dates of Analysis: Lead - Flame AA: 10-13-2020

Service SOPs: Lead - Flame AA (EM-BC-S-8443) AIHA-LAP, LLC accredited service, Lab ID #178697

All samples were received in acceptable condition unless noted in the Report Comments portion in the body of the report. Due to the nature of the analyses performed, field blank correction of results is not applied. The results relate only to the samples as received. Sample size, as it relates to Wipe samples only, is supplied by the client.

Eurofins EMLab P&K ("the Company") shall have no liability to the client or the client's customer with respect to decisions or recommendations made, actions taken or courses of conduct implemented by either the client or the client's customer as a result of or based upon the Test Results. In no event shall the Company be liable to the client with respect to the Test Results except for the Company's own willful misconduct or gross negligence nor shall the Company be liable for incidental or consequential damages or lost profits or revenues to the fullest extent such liability may be disclaimed by law, even if the Company has been advised of the possibility of such damages, lost profits or lost revenues. In no event shall the Company's liability with respect to the Test Results exceed the amount paid to the Company by the client therefor.

Eurofins EMLab P&K's LabServe® reporting system includes automated fail-safes to ensure that all AIHA-LAP, LLC quality requirements are met and notifications are added to reports when any quality steps remain pending.

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (866) 888-6653 Fax (623) 780-7695 www.emlab.com

Client: Entek Consulting Group

C/O: Andy Roed

Date of Sampling: 09-14-2020

Date of Receipt: 10-08-2020

Re: 20-5562; NV5; Iron Gate Dam

Date of Receipt: 10-08-2020

Date of Report: 10-15-2020

LEAD: FLAME ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROMETRY

Location:	ECG-20-5562-IGDFHS-01Pb: Gray Paint On Wood Siding	
Comments (see below)	None	
Lab ID-Version‡:	11905609-1	
Analysis Date:	10/13/2020	
Sample type	Paint Chip sample	
Method*	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified	
† Method Reporting Limit	39 ppm	
Sample size	0.2576 grams	
§Total Lead Result	< 39 ppm	

Comments:

Sample results have not been corrected for blank values.

Bulk samples are not covered under the AIHA-LAP, LLC service accreditation.

Wipe samples must meet ASTM E1792 criteria. Method Reporting Limits may not be valid for non-ASTM E1792 wipe samples.

- *Sample preparation and analytical methods are based upon NIOSH 7082 and EPA 7000B.
- † The Method Reporting Limit is the minimum concentration of Lead that the laboratory can confidently detect in the sample.
- § Total Lead Result has been rounded to two significant figures to reflect analytical precision.
- ‡ A "Version" indicated by -"x" after the Lab ID# with a value greater than 1 indicates a sample with amended data. The revision number is reflected by the value of "x".

EMLab P&K, LLC





Report for:

Andy Roed Entek Consulting Group 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Regarding: Project: 20-5562; NV5; Iron Gate Dam

EML ID: 2498716

Approved by:

Undeu Heda
Technical Manager

Andrew Ikeda

Dates of Analysis: Lead - Flame AA: 10-12-2020

Service SOPs: Lead - Flame AA (EM-BC-S-8443) AIHA-LAP, LLC accredited service, Lab ID #178697

All samples were received in acceptable condition unless noted in the Report Comments portion in the body of the report. Due to the nature of the analyses performed, field blank correction of results is not applied. The results relate only to the samples as received. Sample size, as it relates to Wipe samples only, is supplied by the client.

Eurofins EMLab P&K ("the Company") shall have no liability to the client or the client's customer with respect to decisions or recommendations made, actions taken or courses of conduct implemented by either the client or the client's customer as a result of or based upon the Test Results. In no event shall the Company be liable to the client with respect to the Test Results except for the Company's own willful misconduct or gross negligence nor shall the Company be liable for incidental or consequential damages or lost profits or revenues to the fullest extent such liability may be disclaimed by law, even if the Company has been advised of the possibility of such damages, lost profits or lost revenues. In no event shall the Company's liability with respect to the Test Results exceed the amount paid to the Company by the client therefor.

Eurofins EMLab P&K's LabServe® reporting system includes automated fail-safes to ensure that all AIHA-LAP, LLC quality requirements are met and notifications are added to reports when any quality steps remain pending.

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (866) 888-6653 Fax (623) 780-7695 www.emlab.com

Client: Entek Consulting Group
C/O: Andy Roed
Date of Sampling: 09-14-2020
Date of Receipt: 10-08-2020
Date of Report: 10-14-2020

LEAD: FLAME ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROMETRY

Location:	ECG-20-5562-IGDPIS-01Pb: Red paint on metal walkway	
Comments (see below)	None	
Lab ID-Version‡:	11905885-1	
Analysis Date:	10/12/2020	
Sample type	Paint Chip sample	
Method*	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified	
† Method Reporting Limit	83 ppm	
Sample size	0.1209 grams	
§Total Lead Result	170000 ppm	

Comments:

Sample results have not been corrected for blank values.

Bulk samples are not covered under the AIHA-LAP, LLC service accreditation.

Wipe samples must meet ASTM E1792 criteria. Method Reporting Limits may not be valid for non-ASTM E1792 wipe samples.

- *Sample preparation and analytical methods are based upon NIOSH 7082 and EPA 7000B.
- † The Method Reporting Limit is the minimum concentration of Lead that the laboratory can confidently detect in the sample.
- § Total Lead Result has been rounded to two significant figures to reflect analytical precision.
- ‡ A "Version" indicated by -"x" after the Lab ID# with a value greater than 1 indicates a sample with amended data. The revision number is reflected by the value of "x".

EMLab P&K, LLC





Report for:

Andy Roed Entek Consulting Group 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Regarding: Project: 20-5562; NV5; Iron Gate Dam

EML ID: 2498720

Approved by:

Undew Heala

Technical Manager Andrew Ikeda Dates of Analysis: Lead - Flame AA: 10-12-2020

Service SOPs: Lead - Flame AA (EM-BC-S-8443) AIHA-LAP, LLC accredited service, Lab ID #178697

All samples were received in acceptable condition unless noted in the Report Comments portion in the body of the report. Due to the nature of the analyses performed, field blank correction of results is not applied. The results relate only to the samples as received. Sample size, as it relates to Wipe samples only, is supplied by the client.

Eurofins EMLab P&K ("the Company") shall have no liability to the client or the client's customer with respect to decisions or recommendations made, actions taken or courses of conduct implemented by either the client or the client's customer as a result of or based upon the Test Results. In no event shall the Company be liable to the client with respect to the Test Results except for the Company's own willful misconduct or gross negligence nor shall the Company be liable for incidental or consequential damages or lost profits or revenues to the fullest extent such liability may be disclaimed by law, even if the Company has been advised of the possibility of such damages, lost profits or lost revenues. In no event shall the Company's liability with respect to the Test Results exceed the amount paid to the Company by the client therefor.

Eurofins EMLab P&K's LabServe® reporting system includes automated fail-safes to ensure that all AIHA-LAP, LLC quality requirements are met and notifications are added to reports when any quality steps remain pending.

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (866) 888-6653 Fax (623) 780-7695 www.emlab.com

Client: Entek Consulting Group

C/O: Andy Roed

Re: 20-5562; NV5; Iron Gate Dam

Date of Sampling: 09-14-2020

Date of Receipt: 10-08-2020

Date of Report: 10-14-2020

LEAD: FLAME ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROMETRY

Location:	ECG-20-5562-IGDRR-01Pb: Silver paint on metal door	ECG-20-5562-IGDRR-02Pb: Gray paint on floor of restroom
Comments (see below)	None	None
Lab ID-Version‡:	11905856-1	11905857-1
Analysis Date:	10/12/2020	10/12/2020
Sample type	Paint Chip sample	Paint Chip sample
Method*	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified
† Method Reporting Limit	75 ppm	40 ppm
Sample size	0.1329 grams	0.2500 grams
§Total Lead Result	< 75 ppm	< 40 ppm

Comments:

Sample results have not been corrected for blank values.

Bulk samples are not covered under the AIHA-LAP, LLC service accreditation.

Wipe samples must meet ASTM E1792 criteria. Method Reporting Limits may not be valid for non-ASTM E1792 wipe samples.

- † The Method Reporting Limit is the minimum concentration of Lead that the laboratory can confidently detect in the sample.
- § Total Lead Result has been rounded to two significant figures to reflect analytical precision.
- ‡ A "Version" indicated by -"x" after the Lab ID# with a value greater than 1 indicates a sample with amended data. The revision number is reflected by the value of "x".

EMLab P&K, LLC

^{*}Sample preparation and analytical methods are based upon NIOSH 7082 and EPA 7000B.





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 9-14-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: Iron Gate Dam

Lab: Emlab P & K - Irvine

Collected by: Roed

Turnaround Time: Standard

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Lead by Flame Atomic

Absorption Spectroscopy

Special Instruction: Please report result in PPM and % by weight. Please email results as soon as

possible.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION
ECG-20-5562-IGDCB-01Pb	White Paint on Interior walls and door frames
ECG-20-5562-IGDCB-02Pb	Yellow Paint on Exterior Bollards

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, InclEnlekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV9/20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\Iron Gate\COCs\IGDCB\Bulk Request Pb

Delivered by:

Date: 10 17 170 Time: 9

Received by:

Date: 10 18 1 25 Time: 945 AM/PM







ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 9-14-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: Iron Gate Dam

Lab: Emlab P & K - Irvine

Collected by: Roed

Turnaround Time: Standard

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Lead by Flame Atomic

Absorption Spectroscopy

Special Instruction: Please report result in PPM and % by weight. Please email results as soon as possible.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-IGDDTI-01Pb	Orange Paint on Interior Metal Ladder	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\Iron Gate\COCs\IGDDT\Bulk Request Pb 09-15-2020.wpd

Delivered by:

Date: 1017170 Time:

Received by:

Date: (0/8/12 Time: 945





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 9-14-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: Iron Gate Dam

Lab: Emlab P & K - Irvine

Collected by: Roed

Turnaround Time: Standard

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Lead by Flame Atomic

Absorption Spectroscopy

Special Instruction: Please report result in PPM and % by weight. Please email results as soon as possible.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-IGDES-01Pb	Gray Paint on Exterior Wood Siding	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, InclEntekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\Iron Gate\COCs\IGDES\Bulk Request Pb 09-15-2020.wpd

Vis fede v Date: 10 17 100 Time: 9 Delivered by: Date: (0 18 125 Time: 948 AN)/PM Received by:





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 9-14-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: Iron Gate Dam

Lab: Emlab P & K - Irvine

Collected by: Roed

Turnaround Time: Standard

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Lead by Flame Atomic

Absorption Spectroscopy

Special Instruction: Please report result in PPM and % by weight. Please email results as soon as possible.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-IGDFHF-01Pb	Red/Orange Paint on Handrails	
ECG-20-5562-IGDFHF-02Pb	Silver Paint on Exterior Equipment Structures	
ECG-20-5562-IGDFHF-03Pb	Green Paint on Gantry Gate	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\Iron Gate\COCs\IGDF\HF\Bulk Request Pb 09-15-2020.wpd

Delivered by:	Via letex	Date: <u>(017120</u>	Time:	9 AMIPM
Received by:		Date: 10 17	Time:	945 AMPM





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 9-14-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: Iron Gate Dam

Emlab P & K - Irvine Lab:

Collected by: Roed

Turnaround Time: Standard

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Lead by Flame Atomic

Absorption Spectroscopy

Special Instruction: Please report result in PPM and % by weight. Please email results as soon as

possible.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION
ECG-20-5562-IGDFHS-01Pb	Gray Paint on Wood Siding

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, InclEntekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\Iron Gate\COCs\IGDFHS\Bulk Request Pb 09-15-2020.wpd

Delivered by:

AM/PM

Received by:

Date: 10 1d 120 Time:





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 9-14-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: Iron Gate Dam

Lab: Emlab P & K - Irvine

Collected by: Roed

Turnaround Time: Standard

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Lead by Flame Atomic

Absorption Spectroscopy

Special Instruction: Please report result in PPM and % by weight. Please email results as soon as

possible.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION
ECG-20-5562-IGDPIS-01Pb	Red Paint on Metal Walkway

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, InctEntekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\Iron Gate\COCs\IGDPIS\Bulk Request Pb 09-15-2020 wpd

Delivered by:

Via Fedox

Date:

10/1/20 Tim

AM/PM

Received by:

Date: 10 18 10 Time:

945 AM/PM





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 9-14-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: Iron Gate Dam

Lab: Emlab P & K - Irvine

Collected by: Roed

Turnaround Time: Standard

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Lead by Flame Atomic

Absorption Spectroscopy

Special Instruction: Please report result in PPM and % by weight. <u>Please email results as soon as possible.</u>

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION
ECG-20-5562-IGDRR-01Pb	Silver Paint on Metal Door
ECG-20-5562-IGDRR-02Pb	Gray Paint on Floor of Restroom

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, InclEntekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\Iron Gate\COCs\IGDRR\Bulk Request Pb 09-15-2020 wpd

Delivered by:

Date: 10 17 1 W Time: 4 AM/PM

Date: 10 18 12 Time: 948 AM/PM

Lead Testing Data Sheet (OSHA)

Iron Gate Development

Entek Project # 20-5562 Niton: XLp-300A Lead Analyzer Date: 9-14 and 9-15, 2020

Address: Iron Gate Development XRF Serial No.: 24015 Source No.: TR3580

Room Equivalent: Inspector(s): Andy Roed

Component	Substrate	Color	Test Locations	XRF Reading (mg/cm²)
Ladder	Metal	Yellow	Aerator Structure	2.7
Pipe	Metal	Red	Aerator Piping	4.4
Siding	Wood	Gray	Wood Siding on emergency spill shed	0.0
Structural Component	Metal	Tan	Penstock Intake Structure	2.2
Handrail	Metal	Silver	Penstock Intake Structure	2.6
Handrails	Metal	Orange	Handrails of Fish holding Facility	0.2
Gantry Gate	Metal	Green	Paint on fish ladder gantry gate	0.1
Crane Rails	Metal	Silver	Rails for Crane on top of Powerhouse	14.2
Ladder	Metal	Yellow	Ladder on interior of powerhouse	2.8
Equipment	Metal	Gray	Turbine enclosure on top of powerhouse	1.9
Walls	Metal	White	Communications Building	0.1
Bollard	Metal	Yellow	Bollards outside communication bulling	0.0
Siding	Wood	Gray	Fish holding shed siding	0.0

C:\Users\andy\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Reports\Iron Gate\Working Documents\Lead Test Data SheetOSHA.wpd

Klamath River Dams

Site Name:	Copco 1 D	evelopment	Date:	9-17-2020			
City:	Hornbrook	, CA					
Device:	Niton XIp 3	800	Source Assay Date:	12-1-19			
XRF Serial No.	. 24015		Source Number:	TR3580			
Contractor:	Entek Con	sulting Group, Inc.					
Inspector Name	e: Andy Roed	I					
Inspector Signa	ature:						
Calibration Check Tolerance Used 1.04 ±0.06							
First Calibration	Check <u>0900</u>	hours					
Red	SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2	2 mg/cm²	Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard?				
First Reading	Second Reading	Third Reading	Yes				
1.0	1.0	0.9					
Second Calibrati	on Check <u>1600</u>	hours					
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ²			Do All Three Checks Meet the	Standard?			
First Reading	Second Reading	Third Reading					
1.0	1.1	1.0	Yes				
Third Calibration	Check N/A						
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ²			Do All Three Checks Meet the	Standard?			
First Reading	Second Reading	Third Reading	N/A				
N/A	N/A	N/A					
Fourth Calibratio	on Check <u>N/A</u>						
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ²			Do All Three Checks Meet the Stand	ard?			
First Reading	Second Reading	Third Reading	N/A				
N/A	N/A	N/A					

^{*} If the Calibration Check from the red SRM film value is greater or less than the specified Calibration Check Tolerance for this device, consult the manufacturer's recommendations to bring the instrument back into control. Retest all testing combinations tested since the last successful Calibration Check test.

Klamath River Dams

City: Hornbrook, CA Device: Niton Xlp 300 Source Assay Date: 12-1-19 XRF Serial No. 24015 Source Number: TR3580 Contractor: Entek Consulting Group, Inc. Inspector Name: Andy Roed Inspector Signature:						
XRF Serial No. 24015 Source Number: TR3580 Contractor: Entek Consulting Group, Inc. Inspector Name: Andy Roed Inspector Signature:						
Contractor: Entek Consulting Group, Inc. Inspector Name: Andy Roed Inspector Signature:						
Inspector Name: Andy Roed Inspector Signature: Calibration Check Tolerance Used 1.04 ±0.06 First Calibration Check 0700 hours Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading Yes 0.9 1.0 0.9 Second Calibration Check 1500 hours Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading Third Reading Yes 1.0 0.9 1.0 Yes						
Calibration Check Tolerance Used 1.04 ±0.06						
Calibration Check Tolerance Used 1.04 ±0.06 First Calibration Check 0700 hours Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading 1.0 Third Reading 0.9 Second Calibration Check 1500 hours Hours 1.2 mg/cm² Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading 1.0 Third Reading Yes 1.0 0.9						
First Calibration Check 0700 hours Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading 0.9 1.0 0.9 Second Calibration Check 1500 hours Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading 1.0 0.9 1.0						
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading 0.9 1.0 0.9 Second Calibration Check 1500 hours Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading 1.0 0.9 1.0						
First Reading Second Reading Third Reading Yes 0.9 1.0 0.9 Second Calibration Check 1500 hours Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading 1.0 0.9 1.0						
0.9 1.0 0.9 Second Calibration Check						
Second Calibration Check 1500 hours Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading 1.0 0.9 1.0						
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading 1.0 0.9 1.0						
First Reading Second Reading Third Reading 1.0 0.9 1.0						
1.0 0.9 1.0 Yes						
1.0 0.9 1.0						
Third Calibration Check N/A						
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard?						
First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A						
N/A N/A N/A						
Fourth Calibration Check N/A						
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard?						
First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A						
N/A N/A N/A						

^{*} If the Calibration Check from the red SRM film value is greater or less than the specified Calibration Check Tolerance for this device, consult the manufacturer's recommendations to bring the instrument back into control. Retest all testing combinations tested since the last successful Calibration Check test.

Klamath River Dams

Site Name:	Iron Gate /	Copco 2 Developn	ment Date: 9-15-2020				
City:	Hornbrook	, CA					
Device:	Niton XIp 3	00	Source Assay Date:	12-1-19			
XRF Serial No.	24015		Source Number:	TR3580			
Contractor:	Entek Cons	sulting Group, Inc.					
Inspector Name	e: Andy Roed						
Inspector Signature:							
Calibration Check Tolerance Used 1.04 ±0.06							
First Calibration Check 0800 hours							
Red S	SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2	mg/cm ²	Do All Three Checks Meet the	Standard?			
First Reading	Second Reading	Third Reading	Yes				
0.9	1.0	1.0					
Second Calibration	on Check <u>1700</u>	hours					
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ²			Do All Three Checks Meet the	Standard?			
First Reading	Second Reading	Third Reading	Vaa				
1.0	1.0	1.0	Yes				
Third Calibration Check N/A							
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ²			Do All Three Checks Meet the	Standard?			
First Reading	Second Reading	Third Reading	N/A				
N/A	N/A	N/A					
Fourth Calibration Check N/A							
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ²			Do All Three Checks Meet the Stand	ard?			
First Reading	Second Reading	Third Reading	N/A				
N/A	N/A	N/A					

^{*} If the Calibration Check from the red SRM film value is greater or less than the specified Calibration Check Tolerance for this device, consult the manufacturer's recommendations to bring the instrument back into control. Retest all testing combinations tested since the last successful Calibration Check test.

Klamath River Dams

City: Hornbrook, CA Device: Niton Xlp 300 Source Assay Date: 12-1-19 XRF Serial No. 24015 Source Number: TR3580 Contractor: Entek Consulting Group, Inc. Inspector Name: Andy Roed Inspector Signature: Calibration Check Tolerance Used1.04 ± 0.06 First Calibration Check	Site Name:	Iron Gate /	Copco 2 Developn	nent Date:	9-14-2020						
XRF Serial No. 24015 Source Number: TR3580 Contractor: Entek Consulting Group, Inc. Inspector Name: Andy Roed Calibration Check Tolerance Used1.04 ±0.06_ First Calibration Check0700	City: Hornbrook, CA		, CA								
Contractor: Entek Consulting Group, Inc. Inspector Name: Andy Roed Inspector Signature: Calibration Check	Device:	Niton XIp 3	800	Source Assay Date:	12-1-19						
Inspector Name:	XRF Serial No.	24015		Source Number:	TR3580						
Calibration Check Tolerance Used 1.04 ±0.06	Contractor:	Entek Con	sulting Group, Inc.								
Calibration Check 1.04 ± 0.06 1.04 ± 0.06 1.04 ± 0.06 1.04 ± 0.06 1.04 ± 0.06 1.04 ± 0.06 1.04 ± 0.06 1.04 ± 0.06 1.04 ± 0.06 1.04 ± 0.06 1.04 ± 0.06 1.04 ± 0.06 1.05 1	Inspector Name: Andy Roed										
First Calibration Check	Inspector Signature:										
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading 0.9 0.9 1.0 Second Calibration Check		Calib	ration Check Tolerand	ce Used 1.04 ±0.06							
First Reading Second Reading Third Reading Yes 0.9 0.9 1.0 Second Calibration Check	First Calibration Check 0700 hours										
0.9 0.9 1.0 Second Calibration Check	Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ²			Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard?							
Second Calibration Check	First Reading	Second Reading	Third Reading	Yes							
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading Yes Third Calibration Check N/A N/A Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A N/A Fourth Calibration Check N/A Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A N/A	0.9	0.9	1.0								
First Reading Second Reading Third Reading Yes 1.0 1.0 1.1 Third Calibration Check N/A Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A N/A N/A Fourth Calibration Check N/A Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A	Second Calibration Check 1730 hours										
Yes 1.0 1.0 1.1 Third Calibration Check N/A Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A Fourth Calibration Check N/A Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A N/A	Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ²			Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard?							
1.0 1.1 Third Calibration Check N/A Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A Fourth Calibration Check N/A Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A N/A	First Reading	Second Reading	Third Reading								
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² First Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? N/A N/A N/A Pourth Calibration Check N/A Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A	1.0	1.0	1.1	Yes							
First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A N/A N/A N/A Fourth Calibration Check N/A Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A	Third Calibration Check N/A										
N/A N/A N/A Fourth Calibration Check N/A Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A	Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ²			Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard?							
Fourth Calibration Check N/A Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A	First Reading	Second Reading	Third Reading	N/A							
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A								
First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A	Fourth Calibration Check N/A										
	Red	SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2	2 mg/cm ²	Do All Three Checks Meet the Stand	ard?						
N/A N/A	First Reading	Second Reading	Third Reading	N/A							
	N/A	N/A	N/A								

^{*} If the Calibration Check from the red SRM film value is greater or less than the specified Calibration Check Tolerance for this device, consult the manufacturer's recommendations to bring the instrument back into control. Retest all testing combinations tested since the last successful Calibration Check test.

Performance Characteristic Sheet

EFFECTIVE DATE:

September 24, 2004

EDITION NO.: 1

MANUFACTURER AND MODEL:

Make:

Niton LLC

Source:

Tested Model: XLp 300 ¹⁰⁹Cd

Note:

This PCS is also applicable to the equivalent model variations indicated

below, for the Lead-in-Paint K+L variable reading time mode, in the XLi and

XLp series:

XLi 300A, XLi 301A, XLi 302A and XLi 303A. XLp 300A, XLp 301A, XLp 302A and XLp 303A. XLi 700A, XLi 701A, XLi 702A and XLi 703A. XLp 700A, XLp 701A, XLp 702A, and XLp 703A.

Note: The XLi and XLp versions refer to the shape of the handle part of the instrument. The differences in the model numbers reflect other modes available, in addition to Lead-in-Paint modes. The manufacturer states that specifications for these instruments are identical for the source, detector, and detector electronics relative to the Lead-in-Paint mode.

FIELD OPERATION GUIDANCE

OPERATING PARAMETERS:

Lead-in-Paint K+L variable reading time mode.

XRF CALIBRATION CHECK LIMITS:

0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² (inclusive)

The calibration of the XRF instrument should be checked using the paint film nearest 1.0 mg/cm² in the NIST Standard Reference Material (SRM) used (e.g., for NIST SRM 2579, use the 1.02 mg/cm² film).

If readings are outside the acceptable calibration check range, follow the manufacturer's instructions to bring the instruments into control before XRF testing proceeds.

SUBSTRATE CORRECTION:

For XRF results using Lead-in-Paint K+L variable reading time mode, substrate correction is not needed for: Brick, Concrete, Drywall, Metal, Plaster, and Wood

INCONCLUSIVE RANGE OR THRESHOLD:

K+L MODE READING DESCRIPTION	SUBSTRATE	THRESHOLD (mg/cm²)
Results not corrected for substrate bias on any	Brick	1.0
substrate	Concrete	1.0
	Drywall	1.0
	Metal	1.0
	Plaster	1.0
	Wood	1.0

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

EVALUATION DATA SOURCE AND DATE:

This sheet is supplemental information to be used in conjunction with Chapter 7 of the HUD *Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing* ("HUD Guidelines"). Performance parameters shown on this sheet are calculated from the EPA/HUD evaluation using archived building components. Testing was conducted in August 2004 on 133 testing combinations. The instruments that were used to perform the testing had new sources; one instrument's was installed in November 2003 with 40 mCi initial strength, and the other's was installed June 2004 with 40 mCi initial strength.

OPERATING PARAMETERS:

Performance parameters shown in this sheet are applicable only when properly operating the instrument using the manufacturer's instructions and procedures described in Chapter 7 of the HUD Guidelines.

SUBSTRATE CORRECTION VALUE COMPUTATION:

Substrate correction is not needed for brick, concrete, drywall, metal, plaster or wood when using Lead-in-Paint K+L variable reading time mode, the normal operating mode for these instruments. If substrate correction is desired, refer to Chapter 7 of the HUD Guidelines for guidance on correcting XRF results for substrate bias.

EVALUATING THE QUALITY OF XRF TESTING:

Randomly select ten testing combinations for retesting from each house or from two randomly selected units in multifamily housing. Use the K+L variable time mode readings.

Conduct XRF retesting at the ten testing combinations selected for retesting.

Determine if the XRF testing in the units or house passed or failed the test by applying the steps below.

Compute the Retest Tolerance Limit by the following steps:

Determine XRF results for the original and retest XRF readings. Do not correct the original or retest results for substrate bias. In single-family housing a result is defined as the average of three readings. In multifamily housing, a result is a single reading. Therefore, there will be ten original and ten retest XRF results for each house or for the two selected units.

Calculate the average of the original XRF result and retest XRF result for each testing combination.

Square the average for each testing combination.

Add the ten squared averages together. Call this quantity C.

Multiply the number C by 0.0072. Call this quantity D.

Add the number 0.032 to D. Call this quantity E.

Take the square root of E. Call this quantity F.

Multiply F by 1.645. The result is the Retest Tolerance Limit.

Compute the average of all ten original XRF results.

Compute the average of all ten re-test XRF results.

Find the absolute difference of the two averages.

If the difference is less than the Retest Tolerance Limit, the inspection has passed the retest. If the difference of the overall averages equals or exceeds the Retest Tolerance Limit, this procedure should be repeated with ten new testing combinations. If the difference of the overall averages is equal to or greater than the Retest Tolerance Limit a second time, then the inspection should be considered deficient.

Use of this procedure is estimated to produce a spurious result approximately 1% of the time. That is, results of this procedure will call for further examination when no examination is warranted in approximately 1 out of 100 dwelling units tested.

TESTING TIMES:

For the Lead-in-Paint K+L variable reading time mode, the instrument continues to read until it is moved away from the testing surface, terminated by the user, or the instrument software indicates the reading is complete. The following table provides testing time information for this testing mode. The times have been adjusted for source decay, normalized to the initial source strengths as noted above. Source strength and type of substrate will affect actual testing times. At the time of testing, the instruments had source strengths of 26.6 and 36.6 mCi.

Testing Times Using K+L Reading Mode (Seconds)									
	All Data			Median for laboratory-measured lead levels (mg/cm²)					
Substrate	25 th Percentile	Median	75 th Percentile	Pb < 0.25	0.25 <u><</u> Pb<1.0	1.0 <u><</u> Pb			
Wood Drywall	4	11	19	11	15	11			
Metal	4	12	18	9	12	14			
Brick Concrete Plaster	8	16	22	15	18	16			

CLASSIFICATION RESULTS:

XRF results are classified as positive if they are greater than or equal to the threshold, and negative if they are less than the threshold.

DOCUMENTATION:

A document titled *Methodology for XRF Performance Characteristic Sheets* provides an explanation of the statistical methodology used to construct the data in the sheets, and provides empirical results from using the recommended inconclusive ranges or thresholds for specific XRF instruments. For a copy of this document call the National Lead Information Center Clearinghouse at 1-800-424-LEAD.

This XRF Performance Characteristic Sheet was developed by the Midwest Research Institute (MRI) and QuanTech, Inc., under a contract between MRI and the XRF manufacturer. HUD has determined that the information provided here is acceptable when used as guidance in conjunction with Chapter 7, Lead-Based Paint Inspection, of HUD's *Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing*.



APPENDIX C

Sample Location Maps


Asbestos and Lead Sample Location Diagrams



NV5 Klamath Dams Iron Gate Dam Hornsbrook, CA Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677 Map Not to Scale

 ${\tt Cloud \calculumber Cloud \calculumber Cloud \calculumber \calculumbber \calculumber \calcul$


Site Diagram On September 14, 2020 Project Number 20-5562




NV5 Klamath Dams Iron Gate Dam Hornsbrook, CA Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677 Map Not to Scale

 ${\tt Cloud \calculumber Cloud \calculumber Cloud \calculumber \calculumbber \calculumber \calcul$

Asbestos and Lead Bulk Sample Locations Collected by Andy Roed On September 14, 2020 Project Number 20-5562







Iron Gate Dam Hornsbrook, CA Map Not to Scale

Cloud\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Drawings\Iron Gate

On September 14, 2020 Project Number 20-5562






Iron Gate Dam Hornsbrook, CA Rocklin, CA 95677 Map Not to Scale

Project Number 20-5562 Cloud\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Drawings\Iron Gate

AECOM Sample Locations


Entek Sample Locations



NV5 Klamath Dams Iron Gate Dam Hornsbrook, CA Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677 Map Not to Scale

Cloud\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Drawings\Iron Gate

Asbestos and Lead Bulk Sample Locations Collected by Andy Roed On September 14, 2020 Project Number 20-5562



 ${\tt Cloud \C lients \NV5 \20-5562\ Klammath\ Dams \Drawings \lfon\ Gate}$

Project Number 20-5562

AECOM Sample Locations Entek Sample Locations IGDAE-01A IGDAE-2-03 IGDAE-1-03 IGDAE-1-02 IGDAE-2-02 IGDAE-1-01 IGDAE-2-01 IGDAE-01A

> NV5 Klamath Dams Iron Gate Dam Hornsbrook, CA

Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677 Map Not to Scale

Cloud\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Drawings\Iron Gate

Asbestos and Lead Bulk Sample Locations Collected by Andy Roed On September 14, 2020 Project Number 20-5562



APPENDIX D

BACK UP DOCUMENTATION

- Inspector Accreditations and Certifications
- Laboratory Accreditations for Asbestos and Lead Analysis

State of California Division of Occupational Safety and Health Certified Asbestos Consultant

Andrew R Roed

Name



Certification No. 16-5695

Expires on 08/17/21

This certification was issued by the Division of Occupational Safety and Health as authorized by Sections 7180 et seq. of the Business and Professions Code.



STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

INDIVIDUAL:

CERTIFICATE TYPE:


NUMBER:

EXPIRATION DATE:

Lead Inspector/Assessor

LRC-00002989

9/11/2021



Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD.

United States Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology



Certificate of Accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025:2017

NVLAP LAB CODE: 101442-0

ASBESTECH

Carmichael, CA

is accredited by the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program for specific services, listed on the Scope of Accreditation, for:

Asbestos Fiber Analysis

This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognized International Standard ISO/IEC 17025:2017.

This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (refer to joint ISO-ILAC-IAF Communique dated January 2009).

2020-07-01 through 2021-06-30

Effective Dates



For the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program

National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program



SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION TO ISO/IEC 17025:2017

ASBESTECH

6825 Fair Oaks Blvd., Suite 103 Carmichael, CA 95608 Mr. Tommy Conlon

Phone: 916-481-8902 Fax: 916-481-3975 Email: asbestech@sbcglobal.net http://www.asbestechlab.com

ASBESTOS FIBER ANALYSIS

NVLAP LAB CODE 101442-0

Bulk Asbestos Analysis

	•
Code	D

CodeDescription18/A01EPA -- 40 CFR Appendix E to Subpart E of Part 763, Interim Method of the Determination of

Asbestos in Bulk Insulation Samples

18/A03 EPA 600/R-93/116: Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials

Airborne Asbestos Analysis

Code Description


18/A02 U.S. EPA's "Interim Transmission Electron Microscopy Analytical Methods-Mandatory and

Nonmandatory-and Mandatory Section to Determine Completion of Response Actions" as found in

40 CFR, Part 763, Subpart E, Appendix A.

For the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program







ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAM

CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ACCREDITATION

Is hereby granted to

Asbestech

6825 Fair Oaks Boulevard Carmichael, CA 95608

Scope of the certificate is limited to the "Fields of Testing" which accompany this Certificate.

Continued accredited status depends on successful completion of on-site inspection, proficiency testing studies, and payment of applicable fees.

This Certificate is granted in accordance with provisions of Section 100825, et seq. of the Health and Safety Code.

Certificate No.: 1153

Expiration Date: 3/31/2022

Effective Date: 4/1/2020

Sacramento, California subject to forfeiture or revocation

Christine Sotelo, Chief

Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program



CALIFORNIA STATE ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAM Accredited Fields of Testing



Asbestech

6825 Fair Oaks Boulevard Carmichael, CA 95608 Phone: 9164818902 Certificate No. 1153 Expiration Date 3/31/2022

Field of Testing: 121 - Bulk Asbestos Analysis of Hazardous Waste

121.010 001 Bulk Asbestos EPA 600/M4-82-020



AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC

acknowledges that

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614

Laboratory ID: 178697

along with all premises from which key activities are performed, as listed above, has fulfilled the requirements of the AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs (AIHA-LAP), LLC accreditation to the ISO/IEC 17025:2017 international standard, General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories in the following:

LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAMS

\checkmark	INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE	Accreditation Expires: September 01, 202
\checkmark	ENVIRONMENTAL LEAD	Accreditation Expires: September 01, 202
\checkmark	ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY	Accreditation Expires: September 01, 202
	FOOD	Accreditation Expires:
П	UNIQUE SCOPES	Accreditation Expires:

Specific Field(s) of Testing (FoT)/Method(s) within each Accreditation Program for which the above named laboratory maintains accreditation is outlined on the attached Scope of Accreditation. Continued accreditation is contingent upon successful on-going compliance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017 and AIHA-LAP, LLC requirements. This certificate is not valid without the attached Scope of Accreditation. Please review the AIHA-LAP, LLC

Bet Bair

Elizabeth Bair Chairperson, Analytical Accreditation Board

website (www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org) for the most current Scope.

Revision 17 - 09/11/2018

Cheryl O. Charton

Cheryl O. Morton

Accreditation Expires:

Managing Director, AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC

Date Issued: 08/21/2019



AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

Laboratory ID: **178697** Issue Date: 08/21/2019

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614

The laboratory is approved for those specific field(s) of testing/methods listed in the table below. Clients are urged to verify the laboratory's current accreditation status for the particular field(s) of testing/Methods, since these can change due to proficiency status, suspension and/or withdrawal of accreditation.

Industrial Hygiene Laboratory Accreditation Program (IHLAP)

Initial Accreditation Date: 06/01/2011

IHLAP Scope Category	Field of Testing (FoT) (FoTs cover all relevant IH matrices)	Technology sub-type/ Detector	Published Reference Method/Title of In- house Method	Method Description or Analyte (for internal methods only)
Asbestos/Fiber Microscopy Core	Phase Contrast Microscopy (PCM)		NIOSH 7400	

A complete listing of currently accredited Industrial Hygiene laboratories is available on the AIHA-LAP, LLC website at: http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org

Effective: 04/10/2015 Scope_IHLAP_R8

Page 1 of 1



AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614

Laboratory ID: **178697**Issue Date: 08/21/2019

The laboratory is approved for those specific field(s) of testing/methods listed in the table below. Clients are urged to verify the laboratory's current accreditation status for the particular field(s) of testing/Methods, since these can change due to proficiency status, suspension and/or withdrawal of accreditation.

Environmental Microbiology Laboratory Accreditation Program (EMLAP)

Initial Accreditation Date: 07/01/2005

EMLAP Category	Field of Testing (FoT)	Method	Method Description (for internal methods only)
Fungal	Air - Direct Examination	EM-MY-S-1038	Preparation and Analysis of Spore Trap (Air) Samples for Fungal Spores, Other Biological and Non-Biological Particles
	Bulk - Direct Examination	EM-MY-S-1039	Preparation and Analysis of Tape, Swab, Wipe, Bulk and Dust - Soil Samples for Qualitative Direct Microscopic Examination
	Surface - Direct Examination	EM-MY-S-1041	Preparation and Analysis of Tape, Swab, Wipe, Bulk, and Dust - Soil Samples for Quantitative Direct Microscopic Examination
Bacterial	Legionella	EM-BT-S-1045	Enumeration of Legionella. International Standard ISO 11731:2017
Bacteriai		EM-BT-S-1687	CDC Laboratory protocol 2016

A complete listing of currently accredited Environmental Microbiology laboratories is available on the AIHA-LAP, LLC website at: http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org

Effective: 03/12/2013 Scope_EMLAP_R6

Page 1 of 1



AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

Laboratory ID: **178697**

Issue Date: 08/21/2019

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614

status, suspension and/or withdrawal of accreditation.

The laboratory is approved for those specific field(s) of testing/methods listed in the table below. Clients are urged to verify the laboratory's current accreditation status for the particular field(s) of testing/Methods, since these can change due to proficiency

The EPA recognizes the AIHA-LAP, LLC ELLAP program as meeting the requirements of the National Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program (NLLAP) established under Title X of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992 and includes paint, soil and dust wipe analysis. Air and composited wipes analyses are not included as part of the NLLAP.

Environmental Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELLAP)

Initial Accreditation Date: 03/01/2017

Field of Testing (FoT)	Technology sub-type/ Detector	Method	Method Description (for internal methods only)
Paint		EPA SW-846 7000B	
		Modified	
		NIOSH 7082	
Settled Dust by Wipe		EPA SW-846 7000B	
		Modified	
		NIOSH 7082	

A complete listing of currently accredited Environmental Lead laboratories is available on the AIHA-LAP, LLC website at: http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org

Effective: 10/14/2016 Scope_ELLAP_R7


Page 1 of 1



APPENDIX E

HISTORICAL SURVEY DOCUMENTATION

AECOM Technical Services, Inc. Report Dated April 2019



Klamath River Renewal Project

Iron Gate Development Hazardous Building Materials Survey





Prepared for:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Assessment Conducted by:

AECOM Technical Services, Inc.

300 Lakeside Drive, Suite 400 Oakland, California 94612

Assessment Personnel

Mr. David Simon

State of California Certified Asbestos Consultant (CAC)

Number: 92-005 (exp. 6/24/2019)

Ms. Shannon MacKay (assisted with documentation)

AHERA-Certified Building Inspector Number: CA-015-16 (exp. 1/15/2020)

Assessment Dates

September 14, 2018 and December 19, 2018

Report Prepared by:

Shannon MacKay

Environmental Consultant

Report Reviewed by:

David I Sman

David Simon

State of California Certified Asbestos Consultant (CAC)

Nicole Gladu

EHS Compliance Manager

2 April 2019



Table of Contents

Exe	cutiv	ve Sun	nmary	7
	Proje	ect Backg	round:	7
	Haza	Hazardous Building Materials Survey:		
	Obje	ctive:		8
	Sum	marized I	HBMS Results:	8
1. 2.	Intr	oduct	ion	11
	1.1	1.1 Project Description		
	1.2	Survey	Limitations	11
2.	Sco	Scope of Services1		
	2.1	Asbesto	os Assessment	14
		2.1.1	Methodology	14
		2.1.2	Naturally Occurring Asbestos	15
	2.2	Samplii	ng Procedures	15
	2.3	Samplii	ng and Analysis	16
	2.4	Lead As	ssessment	18
		2.4.1	Sampling Methodology	18
	2.5	Other R	Regulated Building Materials	18
		2.5.1	Universal Waste Inventory Methodology	18
		2.5.2	PCB-Containing Caulking	18
3.	Site	e Desc	cription	20
	3.1 Iron Gate Development		20	
		3.1.1	Description of Iron Gate Development Structures	20
4.	Cor	nclusio	ons and Recommendations	25
	4.1 Asbestos		25	
		4.1.1	Asbestos Regulations	26
	4.2	Lead		28
	4.3	Other R	Regulated Building Materials	28



4.4	Treated Wood	29
4.5	Tables	29

List of Figures (Appendix A)

Figure 1 Aerial Site Photo
<u>Asbestos and Lead Sample Locations:</u>

Figure 2 Aerator

Figure 3 Communications Building

Figure 4 Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure and Penstock Intake Structure

Figure 5 Penstock, Hatchery Water Supply, Emergency Spill Equipment Shed, and Fish Holding

Ponds

Figure 6 Fish Holding Facilities

Figure 7 Powerhouse Figure 8 Restrooms

Approximate ACM Locations:

Figure 9 Aerator, Penstock Intake Structure, Fish Holding Facilities, Maintenance Shed, Diversion

Tunnel Intake Structure, and Powerhouse

List of Appendices

Appendix A Figures

Appendix B HSA Photologs

Appendix C Laboratory Analytical Results

Appendix D Personnel and Laboratory Certifications

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ACM Asbestos-Containing Material

ACCM Asbestos-Containing Construction Material; Material which contains more than 0.1%

asbestos

AECOM Technical Services, Inc.

AHERA Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act

AST Aboveground Storage Tank

CAC California Certified Asbestos Consultant

CAB Cement Asbestos Board

CAL/OSHA California Occupational Safety and Health Administration

4 Table of Contents April 2019



CC1 Copco 1 Development CC2 Copco 2 Development

CCR California Code of Regulations

CDPH State of California Department of Public Health
CSST California Certified Site Surveillance Technician

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

DTSC Department of Toxic Substances Control

ELAP Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program

HEPA High Efficiency Particulate Air
HSA Homogenous Sampling Area
IGD Iron Gate Development
IGH Iron Gate Hatchery
JCB/JC J.C. Boyle Development

KHSA Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement

KRRC Klamath River Renewal Corporation

LCP Lead-Containing Paint mg/kg milligrams per kilogram

NESHAP National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

NOA Naturally Occurring Asbestos

NVLAP National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program

O&M Operations & Maintenance

PACM Presumed Asbestos-Containing Material

PCB Polychlorinated Biphenyl

RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

RM river miles

SCAPCD Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control District
SCDPH Siskiyou County Department of Public Health

T8 Title 8

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

April 2019 Table of Contents 5





EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Project Background:

AECOM Technical Services (AECOM) was retained by Klamath River Renewal Corporation (KRRC) to conduct a Hazardous Building Materials Survey (HBMS) of the Iron Gate Development. This report includes the findings of the HBMS conducted at the Iron Gate Development and associated support buildings and structures on September 14, 2018 and December 19, 2018. The Iron Gate Development is located near Hornbrook, California, and is a remote secured industrial facility owned and operated by PacifiCorp.

Iron Gate Development and original supporting structures were completed in 1962 and are located between RM 199.7 and RM 192.9, in Siskiyou County, California. The Iron Gate Development address is 8630 Copco Road, Hornbrook, California, 96044. The Iron Gate Development impounds a reservoir of 942 acres (aka Iron Gate Reservoir). Main features at the Iron Gate Development include a reservoir, embankment dam, ungated side-channel spillway, diversion tunnel, intake structures, fish holding facilities, communication building, and a powerhouse.

Four dams and associated structures including the J. C. Boyle Development, Copco No. 1 Development, Copco No. 2 Development, Iron Gate Development and the Iron Gate Fish and Fall Creek Hatcheries (the Sites) have been identified for decommissioning and removal under the 2016 Amended Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement (KHSA, 2016) following the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation's Detailed Plan for Dam Removal – Klamath River Dams, Klamath Hydroelectric Project FERC License No. 2082 Oregon – California (Detailed Plan) (USBR 2012). The Iron Gate Fish Hatchery, Fall Creek Fish Hatchery, and the City of Yreka Diversion Dam have been identified for improvements under the KHSA. All four developments will be transferred to their respective states after dam decommissioning and removal.

The Sites are located on land currently owned by PacifiCorp. An HBMS was conducted at each of the seven Sites, and an HBMS report issued for the Sites as follows:

- 1. J.C. Boyle Development
- 2. Copco No. 1 Development
- 3. Copco No. 2 Development
- 4. Iron Gate Development
- Iron Gate and Fall Creek Hatcheries
- 6. City of Yreka Diversion



Hazardous Building Materials Survey:

AECOM assessed Iron Gate Development and support facilities for the following hazardous building materials:

- Asbestos-containing materials (ACMs);
- Asbestos-containing construction materials (ACCMs);
- Assumed asbestos-containing materials;
- Lead-containing coatings (paints);
- Mercury-containing light tubes, switches, and thermostats;
- Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB)-containing caulking, putties, gaskets, and membranes;
- Suspected high-intensity discharge (HID) lamps; and
- Suspected PCB-containing fluorescent light ballasts and transformers.

Objective:

The objective of the HBMS was to provide information regarding the presence of lead-containing coatings, PCB-containing light ballasts, PCB-containing caulking, and mercury-containing sources, and the presence, location, and quantity of ACMs, ACCMs, and assumed ACMs, and for the purposes of decommissioning planning.

Summarized HBMS Results:

Sixty-two bulk samples of suspect asbestos-containing materials were collected and analyzed using Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) during this assessment. Four materials (HSAs) were found to contain detectable asbestos above 0.1%, nine materials were assumed to contain asbestos, and no materials were visually assessed and determined to be non-suspect. Per the EPA National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) requirements and the analytical results, no sample layers were further analyzed using PLM Point Count Method.

In addition, five concrete bulk samples were collected and analyzed using PLM California Air Resources Board (CARB) 435 method to determine the content of Naturally Occurring Asbestos (NOA). No concrete samples were found to contain detectable NOA above the PLM point count threshold of 0.25%.

8 00 | Executive Summary April 2019



Fifteen paint chip samples were collected and analyzed for total lead content using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry; twelve of the samples were found to contain reportable levels of lead.

Mercury-containing fluorescent light tubes, HID lamps, and magnetic light ballasts labeled "No-PCBs" were observed during the assessment. In the switchyard, the yellow glass portion of the high voltage transformer bushings may contain PCBs in the oil. No suspect PCB-containing caulking was observed during the inspection.

See Section 4.5: Tables for tabulated HBMS Results.

April 2019 00 | Executive Summary 9

Chapter 1: Introduction



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Description

AECOM Technical Services (AECOM) was retained by KRRC to conduct an HBMS of the Iron Gate Development and support facilities. This report includes the findings of the HBMS conducted at the Iron Gate Development and associated support buildings and structures on September 14, 2018 and December 19, 2018. The Iron Gate Development is located near Hornbrook, California, and is a remote secured industrial facility owned and operated by PacifiCorp.

1.2 Survey Limitations

The conclusions of this report are AECOM's professional opinions, based solely upon visual site observations and interpretations of laboratory analyses, as described in this report. The opinions presented herein apply to the site conditions existing at the time of AECOM's assessment and interpretation of current regulations pertaining to asbestos, lead-containing paint, PCB-containing ballasts and building materials, and mercury-containing components. Therefore, AECOM's opinions and recommendations may not apply to future conditions that may exist at the site which we have not had the opportunity to evaluate. All applicable state, federal, and local regulations should always be verified prior to any work that will disturb materials containing asbestos and other hazardous building materials.

AECOM has performed the services set forth in the Scope of Work in accordance with generally accepted industrial hygiene practices in the same or similar localities, related to the nature of the work accomplished, at the time the services were performed.

Additional sampling needs to be conducted of structures not assessed and inaccessible areas prior to demolition. Suspect regulated building materials throughout the Iron Gate Development and support facilities that are not included in this regulated building materials assessment are assumed to be asbestos-containing unless they are sampled by a Certified Asbestos Consultant (CAC) or a Certified Site Surveillance Technician (CSST) and analyzed by a State of California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation (ELAP)-licensed laboratory that is also a National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP)-accredited laboratory to confirm the presence of asbestos prior to the disturbing such materials.

The regulated building materials and conditions presented in this report represent those observed on the dates we conducted the sampling. This sampling is intended for the exclusive use of KRRC for specific application to the proposed decommissioning. This assessment is not intended to replace construction or demolition plans, specifications, or bidding documents. This report is not meant to represent a legal opinion.

This report was prepared pursuant to an agreement between KRRC and AECOM and is for the exclusive use of KRRP. No other party is entitled to rely on the conclusions, observations, specifications, or data contained

April 2019 01 | Introduction 11



herein without first obtaining AECOM's written consent and provided any such party signs an AECOM-generated Reliance Letter. A third party's signing of the AECOM Reliance Letter and AECOM's written consent are conditions precedent to any additional use or reliance on this report.

The passage of time may result in changes in technology, economic conditions, site variations, or regulatory provisions, which would render the report inaccurate. Reliance on this report after the date of issuance as an accurate representation of current site conditions shall be at the user's sole risk.

12 01 | Introduction April 2019

Chapter 2: Scope of Services



2. SCOPE OF SERVICES

2.1 Asbestos Assessment

Mr. David Simon, a California Certified Asbestos Consultant (CAC), (Certification 92-005, expiration date: 6/24/2019) performed the sampling at the Iron Gate Development and support buildings on September 14, 2018 and on December 19, 2018. Ms. Shannon MacKay, an Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA)-accredited building inspector (Certification CA-015-16, expiration date: 1/15/2020), assisted in documenting the inspection, but did not perform sampling. Copies of their certifications are included in Appendix D.

The following materials/areas were inaccessible during the site work and should be assumed to contain asbestos until such time as the area becomes accessible and is sampled by a CAC or CSST and analyzed by a State of California ELAP-licensed NVLAP-accredited laboratory:

- Residence 1
- Residence 2

2.1.1 Methodology

This assessment was conducted using a modified protocol adapted from AHERA. The protocol is as follows:

- Identify suspect asbestos-containing materials.
- Group materials into homogeneous sampling areas/materials.
- Quantify each homogeneous material and collect representative samples. The number of samples
 collected of miscellaneous materials was determined by the inspector.
- Samples of each material were taken to the substrate, ensuring that all components and layers of the material were included.
- Sample locations are referenced on the field data forms according to sample number.
- Sampling was performed by a CAC or CSST, and the use of proper protective equipment and procedures was followed.

14 02 | Scope of Services April 2019



2.1.2 Naturally Occurring Asbestos

For informational purposes, AECOM collected samples of concrete and submitted them to EMSL Laboratories to analyze for NOA. The sampling was conducted as a preliminary screen for NOA. Sampling was conducted discretely in areas where damage to concrete was already present. Future sampling for NOA may be necessary to fulfill California State regulatory requirements for NOA, and should be conducted when more destructive sampling of the concrete is possible.

2.2 Sampling Procedures

This sampling was conducted using the following procedures:

- 1. Spread the plastic drop cloth (if needed) and set up other equipment, e.g., ladder.
- 2. Don protective equipment (respirator and protective clothing if needed).
- 3. Label sample container with its identification number and record number. Record sample location and type of material sampled on a sampling data form.
- 4. Moisten area where sample is to be extracted (spray the immediate area with water).
- 5. Extract sample using a clean knife, drill capsule, or cork boring tool to cut out or scrape off approximately one tablespoon of the material. Penetrate all layers of material.
- 6. Place sample in a container and tightly seal it.
- 7. Wipe the exterior of the container with a wet wipe to remove any material that may have adhered to it during sampling.
- 8. Clean tools with wet wipes and wet mop; or vacuum area with HEPA vacuum to clean all debris.
- 9. Discard protective clothing, wet wipes and rags, cartridge filters, and drop cloth in a labeled plastic waste bag.

AECOM inspected the buildings and structures for suspect ACM including thermal systems insulation, surfacing materials, and miscellaneous materials (e.g., floor tiles, ceiling tiles). When materials suspected of containing asbestos were identified, AECOM's inspectors collected representative bulk samples from each Homogeneous Sampling Area using the protocol presented in the Table 2-1:

April 2019 02 | Scope of Services 15



Table 2-1 Suspect ACM Sampling Protocol

	Suspect ACM Sampling Protocol	
Homogeneous Sampling Area (HSA) Category	HSA Size	Minimum Number of Samples
Surfacing Materials	1,000 SF or Less	3
	1,001-5,000 SF	5
	>5,000 SF	7 or more
Thermal System Insulation (TSI)	No Stipulation	3 of each type of TSI. (Must also sample all repair patches)
Miscellaneous Materials	No Stipulation	3 samples of each miscellaneous material

A Homogeneous Sampling Area is defined to include surfacing materials, thermal systems insulations, and miscellaneous materials, which are uniform in color, texture, construction and application date, and general appearance.

Additional suspect ACMs may be present in inaccessible or concealed spaces. These spaces include, but are not limited to, areas not assessed, areas not accessible at the time of the assessment, fire doors, electrical systems, pipe chases, spaces between wall/ceiling/door/floor cavities, interior of mechanical components, beneath foundation pads, etc. If future maintenance, renovation, and/or demolition activities make these areas accessible, AECOM recommends that a thorough assessment of these spaces be conducted at that time to identify and confirm the presence or absence of additional suspect ACMs. Until then, all such unidentified materials must be treated as assumed ACMs in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations.

AECOM did not sample suspect ACM in the following circumstances:

- The AECOM inspector could not safely access the material for sampling;
- The residence was still occupied;
- The AECOM inspector concluded that the materials were inaccessible for sampling; or
- The AECOM inspector determined that destructive sampling would compromise the integrity of the material and/or the structure.

2.3 Sampling and Analysis

EPA NESHAP (40 CFR 61, Subparts A and M) also has requirements related to the assessment of suspect ACM in buildings. NESHAP defines a "friable" material to be a material that when dry, can be crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder with hand pressure or by the forces expected to act on the material in the course of demolition or renovation activities. AECOM applied this NESHAP definition of friable for the

16 02 | Scope of Services April 2019



purposes of determining which analytical method to use to quantify the asbestos content of a specific material.

The collected samples of suspect ACM were analyzed by NVL Laboratories, Inc. for asbestos content using the PLM visual estimation method and the PLM Point Counting Method. NVL Laboratories, Inc. is accredited for these asbestos analytical methods by the State of California ELAP and the NVLAP. Appendix D contains NVL Laboratories, Inc.'s certificate of laboratory accreditation and licensure. The collected samples of suspect NOA in concrete were analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. for asbestos content using PLM CARB Method 435. EMSL Analytical, Inc. is accredited for these asbestos analytical methods by the State of California ELAP. Appendix D contains EMSL Analytical, Inc.'s certificate of laboratory accreditation and licensure.

Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM)

The PLM method is a visual estimation of the asbestos content of a sample. The PLM analysis was performed by NVL Laboratories, Inc. following the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (USEPA) PLM method EPA-600R/M4-82-020 for determining asbestos content in bulk building materials.

Polarized Light Microscopy Point Count (PLM Point Count)

According to the NESHAP, when the asbestos content of a friable material is visually estimated by the PLM visual technique to be detectable but less than 10%, the inspector may either (1) assume that the amount is greater than 0.1% and treat the material as ACCM or (2) conduct a second analysis, the PLM Point Count Method EPA/600-R93/116, to verify the percentage of asbestos in the material.

Per NESHAP, AECOM used the results of the PLM visual method analyses for friable materials to determine whether additional laboratory analysis was warranted (i.e., PLM Point Count), or whether the material would be treated as ACCM. Based on PLM analytical results, no samples were further analyzed by PLM Point Count analysis (See Appendix C).

If the results obtained by PLM Point Count Method and the PLM visual estimation method are different, the PLM Point Count result is used. When no asbestos is detected by the first PLM visual method, the additional technique using PLM Point Count Method is not required. The analytical results are reported in percent asbestos as derived from a 1000 point counting technique, which yields a detection limit of 0.1%.

Naturally Occurring Asbestos (NOA)

Asbestos fibers may be released from serpentine rock formations. The CARB 435 method is used to determine the asbestos content of serpentine aggregate, or NOA, in concrete, storage piles, on conveyor belts, and on surfaces such as road beds, road shoulders, and parking lots. Samples are crushed using a mill to produce a material of which the majority is less than 200 Tyler mesh (0.75 microns). CARB defines NOA as having >0.25% asbestos by PLM point counting. The analytical results are reported in percent asbestos as derived from a 400 PLM point counting technique, which yields a detection limit of 0.25%.

April 2019 02 | Scope of Services 17



2.4 Lead Assessment

2.4.1 Sampling Methodology

Homogeneous painted surfaces were defined by substrate, application, and color. The paint chip samples were collected to the substrate to ensure that all layers present on the substrate were included in the laboratory analysis. The samples were collected and stored in a heavy-duty, self-sealing plastic bag and delivered to NVL Laboratories in Seattle, Washington. The samples were analyzed via Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry in accordance with Method EPA 7000B. NVL Laboratories in Seattle, Washington is accredited by American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) for lead analysis and by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP).

Lead paint chip samples were collected from industrial and operational buildings or from former residences that will no longer be occupied; all structures assessed are planned for decommissioning.

2.5 Other Regulated Building Materials

2.5.1 Universal Waste Inventory Methodology

An inventory of fluorescent light tubes, HID lamps, mercury-containing sources, and potential PCB-containing ballasts was conducted in accessible Project Areas.

Where fluorescent light fixtures were accessible, the ballast covers were removed, and the ballast labels were visually examined. Where fluorescent light fixtures could not be visually examined, the number of potential PCB-containing ballasts in each fixture was estimated based on the following assumptions:

- Each single light tube fluorescent fixture contains one ballast;
- Each HID lamp contains one ballast and one mercury bulb;
- Each multiple light tube fluorescent fixture contains one ballast for every pair of light tubes; and
- All light ballasts are assumed to contain PCBs unless the ballasts are labeled as not containing PCBs or are determined to be electronic.

Fluorescent light tubes, HID lamps, fluorescent light fixtures and PCB-containing transformers were identified in the buildings in the quantities listed in Table 4-4.

2.5.2 PCB-Containing Caulking

No suspect PCB-caulking was observed during the inspection.

18 02 | Scope of Services April 2019

Chapter 3: Site Description



3. SITE DESCRIPTION

3.1 Iron Gate Development

AECOM Technical Services (AECOM) was retained by Klamath River Renewal Corporation (KRRC) to conduct a Hazardous Building Materials Survey (HBMS) of the Iron Gate Development. This report includes the findings of the HBMS conducted at the Iron Gate Development and associated support buildings and structures on September 14, 2018 and December 19, 2018. The Iron Gate Development is located near Hornbrook, California, and is a remote secured industrial facility owned and operated by PacifiCorp.

Iron Gate Development and original supporting structures were completed in 1962 and are located between RM 199.7 and RM 192.9, in Siskiyou County, California. The Iron Gate Development address is 8630 Copco Road, Hornbrook, California 96044. The Iron Gate Development impounds a reservoir of 942 acres (aka Iron Gate Reservoir). Main features at the Iron Gate Development include a reservoir, embankment dam, ungated side-channel spillway, diversion tunnel, intake structures, fish holding facilities, communication building, and a powerhouse.

3.1.1 Description of Iron Gate Development Structures

The following Iron Gate Development support structures were assessed during the HBMS:

Aerator (IGDAE)

The Aerator piping is approximately 4' to 6' in diameter and provides aeration for the Iron Gate Development Fish Hatchery water supply. The Aerator structure is located south of the Iron Gate Development Powerhouse. The piping extends approximately 50 feet up a hillside. A metal caged ladder follows the piping up the hill. The piping is wrapped with deteriorating asphaltic pipe wrapping.

Communications Building (IGDCB)

The Communications Building is adjacent and to the north of the Powerhouse, is approximately 800 square feet, and is a single story slab on grade pre-fabricated building. The exterior siding and roof consists of pre-fabricated steel. The interior of the building consists of a front office, an electrical room, and a break room. Walls and ceilings consist of gypsum wallboard or are unfinished steel. Flooring consists of vinyl floor sheeting or unfinished concrete.

Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure (IGDDTI)

The Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure is located on pilings that extend into the Iron Gate Reservoir. The building is located on the northeast end of the reservoir and is approximately 390 square feet. The exterior

20 03 | Site Description April 2019



siding and roofing consist of steel with a rubber membrane cover throughout. The interior consists of unfinished steel walls and ceiling and the floor consists of metal grating.

Emergency Spill Equipment Shed (IGDES)

The Emergency Spill Equipment Shed is approximately 100 square feet, and is a single story slab on grade shed, with engineered wood siding and asphaltic shingle roofing. The interior of the shed is unfinished wood. The structure is currently being used as storage for emergency spill purposes.

Fish Holding Facilities and Ponds (IGDFHF)

The Fish Holding Facilities and Ponds main building is approximately 1,250 square feet and is a prefabricated concrete floor building located between the Powerhouse and the dam. The main building is in the center of six concrete lined fish holding ponds. The exterior siding and roofing of the building consists of prefabricated steel. The interior consists of a ground floor, and a second floor that wraps around the perimeter of the interior. Interior finishes are painted or unfinished steel and concrete.

Fish Ladder (IGDFL)

The Fish Ladder is located east of the Powerhouse. It consists of concrete steps that extend to the Fish Holding Facilities and Ponds from the river.

Iron Gate Dam (IGD)

The Iron Gate Dam is a zoned earth fill embankment with a height of 189 feet from the rock foundation to the dam crest. The dam crest is 20 feet wide and approximately 740 feet long. The embankment includes a central impervious clay core, with filter zones and a downstream drain.

Maintenance Shed (IGDMS)

The Maintenance Shed is approximately 2,000 square feet, wooden framed, and is constructed on a slabon-grade concrete foundation. It is located on the north side of the Klamath River approximately 1,000 feet south the dam. It is an open sided structure and is used for the storage of boats, recreational trailer and other items from the nearby residences.

Penstock Intake Structure (IGDPIS)

The Penstock Intake Structure is located on pilings that extend into the Iron Gate Reservoir. The building is located on the southeast end of the reservoir and is approximately 120 square feet. The exterior siding and roofing consist of pre-fabricated steel throughout. The interior consists of unfinished steel walls and ceiling and the floor consists of metal grating.

April 2019 03 | Site Description 21



Penstocks and Hatchery Water Supply (IGDPS)

The Penstocks and Hatchery Water Supply are connected with the Aerator piping. The Penstocks are north of the Powerhouse and extend up the Iron Gate Development. The hatchery water supply extends past the Powerhouse and turns towards the Fish Holding Facilities.

Powerhouse (IGDPH)

The Powerhouse is approximately 3,000 square feet. The facility is located at the downstream toe of the dam on the east bank of the river. The powerhouse has three levels; above ground, first lower level, and second lower level.

The above ground level contains the upper portions of a single vertical-shaft, Francis-type turbine contained in its own concrete vault.

The first lower level contains the middle portion of the turbine housed in concrete vault, electrical panels, a 500 gallon oil governor accumulation tank, air compressors, oil, water and air piping, labeled hazardous materials and other miscellaneous storage cabinets.

The second lower level contains the lowest portion of the turbine housed in steel vault, piping, and sump pumps.

Residence 1 (IGDR1)

Residence 1 is approximately 2,000 square feet. The exterior of the building consists of engineered wood siding and corrugated metal roofing. No suspect asbestos-containing materials were observed on the exterior of the building. The building was occupied during the HBMS and the interior was not accessed.

Residence 2 (IGDR2)

Residence 2 is approximately 2,000 square feet. The exterior of the building consists of engineered wood siding and corrugated metal roofing. No suspect asbestos-containing materials were observed on the exterior of the building. The building was occupied during the HBMS and the interior was not accessed.

Restrooms (IGDRR)

The Restrooms building is approximately 400 square feet. The exterior siding and roof of the building consist of pre-fabricated steel. The interior of the building has two restrooms, a storage room, and consists of unfinished steel and concrete.

Switchyard (IGDSW)

The Switchyard is approximately 5,000 square feet and is located adjacent to the powerhouse. The switchyard contains an electrical transformer, substations, transmission poles and lines within a fenced

22 03 | Site Description April 2019



gravel area. The majority of the transmission pole footings, substations and the transformer were on top of cement pads or gravel filled cement catch basins The "yellow glass portion" of the high voltage transformer bushings may contain PCBs in the oil. The small pole mounted transformers were noted to contain no-PCB labels. No observable impacts, odors or distressed vegetation were noted.

Viewing Platform (IGDVP)

The Viewing Platform is located on the top of the Iron Gate Dam, and overlooks the powerhouse and fish holding facilities.

April 2019 03 | Site Description 23

Chapter 4: Conclusion and Recommendations



CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On September 14, 2018 and December 19, 2018, AECOM conducted a Hazardous Building Materials Survey of the Iron Gate Development located in Hornbrook, California. AECOM assessed the site buildings for a variety of regulated building materials that would require removal or special handling during decommissioning and demolition. Section 4.5: Tables includes the tabulated results of the survey. The following are AECOM's general recommendations related to the HBMS findings:

- Plans and specifications should be developed by an appropriately qualified professional (e.g., CAC) to outline the planned scope of work, phasing, training and certification requirements, policies and procedures for the proper handling, removal packaging, disposal/recycling, and transportation of the materials.
- The findings of this report should be communicated to contractors planning to work on or bid on work at the site.
- Additional material-specific recommendations as listed below.

Asbestos 4 1

Sixty-two bulk samples of suspect asbestos-containing materials were collected and analyzed using PLM during this assessment. Four materials (HSAs) were found to contain detectable asbestos above 0.1%, nine materials were assumed to contain asbestos, and no materials were visually assessed and determined to be non-suspect. Per the EPA NESHAP requirements and the analytical results, no sample layers were further analyzed using PLM Point Count Method.

In addition, five concrete bulk samples were collected and analyzed using PLM CARB 435 method to determine the content of NOA. No concrete samples were found to contain detectable NOA above the PLM point count threshold of 0.25%.

The results of the analyses are presented in Section 4.5, Tables 4-1 and 4-2. Appendix C contains the laboratory reports of analytical results for each discrete sample.

Additional suspect ACMs may be present in inaccessible or concealed spaces. These spaces include, but are not limited to; below grade exterior materials, electrical systems, pipe chases, spaces between wall/ceiling/door/floor cavities, interior of mechanical components, beneath foundation pads, etc. If future demolition activities make these areas accessible, AECOM recommends that a thorough assessment of these spaces be conducted at that time to identify and confirm the presence or absence of additional ACMs



and ACCMs. Until then, all such unidentified materials must be treated as assumed ACMs in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations.

If the analytical results indicate that all the samples collected per HSA do not contain asbestos, then the HSA (material) is considered a non-ACM. If the analytical results of one or more of the samples collected per HSA indicate that asbestos is present in quantities of greater than 0.1% asbestos as defined by Cal/OSHA, all of the HSA (material) is considered to be an ACM or ACCM regardless of any other analytical results.

Any material that contains greater than 0.1% asbestos is considered an ACCM and must be handled according to Cal/OSHA regulations. Any material greater than one percent asbestos is considered an ACM and must be handled according to EPA regulations, and applicable state and local regulations. The EPA NESHAP regulations (40 CFR 61, Subparts A and M) have a requirement related to assessment of suspect ACM in buildings. When the asbestos content of a friable material is visually estimated by PLM to be detectable but less than ten percent, your firm may elect to (1) assume the amount is greater than one percent and treat the material as asbestos-containing or (2) require verification of the amount by the PLM point counting technique. If the results obtained by point counting and visual estimation are different, the point count result must be used. When no asbestos is detected by PLM, point counting is not required.

4.1.1 Asbestos Regulations

Asbestos-related work must be performed in compliance with local, federal, and state regulations including Cal/OSHA, the Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control District, EPA NESHAP, and relevant federal, state and local regulations pertaining to handling of asbestos.

The EPA NESHAP regulations (Renovation and Demolition NESHAP 40 CFR 61, Subparts A and M) for asbestos apply to certain demolition and renovation projects in facilities containing ACM and/or assumed ACM. The NESHAP rule usually requires that all friable ACM and some categories of non-friable ACM be removed before a building is demolished, and may require localized removal prior to demolition. The following NESHAP definitions of ACM are very important in interpreting which NESHAP requirements may apply to your building:

- Friable asbestos-containing material: any material containing more than 1 percent asbestos that when dry, can be crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by hand pressure.
- Category I non-friable asbestos-containing material: asbestos-containing packings, gaskets, resilient
 floor covering, and asphalt roofing products containing more than 1 percent asbestos that, when dry,
 cannot be crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by hand pressure.
- Category II non-friable asbestos-containing material: any material excluding Category I non-friable ACM, containing more than 1 percent asbestos that, when dry, cannot be crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by hand pressure.
- Regulated asbestos-containing material (RACM): (1) friable ACM, (2) Category I non-friable ACM that has become friable (3) Category I non-friable ACM that will be or has been subjected to sanding, grinding, cutting, or abrading, or (4) Category II non-friable ACM that has a high probability of



becoming or has become crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by the forces expected to act on the materials in the course of demolition or renovation operations regulated by NESHAP.

NESHAP also requires that the local air district be notified before certain renovations or demolition impacting RACM begin. When ACCM is removed or disturbed during demolition or renovation, the Cal/OSHA regulations also apply. The NESHAP regulations should be studied in detail for a thorough delineation of these and other requirements.

Cal/OSHA regulates employee exposure to asbestos (T8, CCR 1529). The Cal/OSHA asbestos standards mandate a permissible exposure limit (PEL) of 0.1 fibers (equal to or longer than 5 micrometers) per cubic centimeter of air (fibers/cc) determined as an 8-hour, time-weighted average (TWA) and an excursion limit of 1 fiber/cc as a 30-minute TWA.

Also, for asbestos removal or renovation involving ACM, the Cal/OSHA Asbestos Construction Standard (T8, CCR 1529) requires that specific procedures be followed, including enclosure of the work area to control asbestos exposure of building occupants, as well as, employees involved in abatement or renovation activities.

The following are selected Cal/OSHA definitions regarding asbestos work:

- Class I asbestos work means activities involving the removal of TSI and surfacing ACM and PACM.
- Class II asbestos work means activities involving the removal of ACM which is not thermal system insulation or surfacing material. This includes, but is not limited to, the removal of asbestoscontaining wallboard, floor tile and sheeting, roofing and siding shingles, and construction mastics.
- Class III asbestos work means repair and maintenance operations, where "ACM", including TSI and surfacing ACM and PACM, is likely to be disturbed.
- Class IV asbestos work means maintenance and custodial activities during which employees contact but do not disturb ACM or PACM and activities to clean up dust, waste and debris resulting from Class I, II, and III activities.
- Intact means that the ACM has not crumbled, been pulverized, or otherwise deteriorated so that asbestos is no longer likely to be bound with its matrix.

AECOM identified materials that were assumed to contain asbestos, but were not assessed because the inspector determined them to be ACM, for the safety of the inspector and to preserve building system integrity.

During demolition activities, inaccessible materials may be uncovered which were not identified or sampled during this assessment. Personnel in charge of demolition should be alerted to note materials uncovered during these activities which were not identified in this report. The following are AECOM's recommendations:

If the buildings are scheduled for abatement and demolition (AECOM's recommendation), an abatement project design manual should be prepared with technical specifications and abatement plans. The design must be prepared by a CAC.



- The results of this sampling should be communicated to any Contractors working in the Project Areas and a copy of the assessment report must be on-site during demolition activities.
- Abatement work must be performed by CA-licensed asbestos abatement contractor with trained asbestos workers and supervisors.
- Any concealed building materials discovered during demolition activities, which are suspected to contain asbestos, should be sampled by a CSST or CAC and analyzed by a NVLAP- and CA ELAPaccredited laboratory to confirm the presence of asbestos prior to disturbing such materials or be assumed to be ACM.
- If the facilities assessed during the HBMS are not scheduled for demolition, AECOM recommends the development of an O&M Plan by a CAC.

4.2 Lead

Fifteen paint chip samples were collected and analyzed for total lead content; twelve of the paint chip samples were found to contain detectable levels of lead. The results of the analyses are presented in Section 4.5 Table 4-3. Appendix C contains the laboratory reports of analytical results for each discrete sample.

Cal/OSHA requires worker training, worker protection, and exposure assessments be conducted during operations that may disturb the lead-containing paint in such a way that the airborne exposure may reach or exceed the Action Level of 30 micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m³) or the Permissible Exposure Limit of 50 µg/cm³. The worker protection requirements of Cal/OSHA 1532.1 "Lead" apply.

4.3 Other Regulated Building Materials

Mercury-containing fluorescent light tubes and HID lamps were observed during the assessment. In the switchyard, the yellow glass portion of the high voltage transformer bushings may contain PCBs in the oil. No suspect PCB-containing caulking was observed during the inspection.

Fluorescent light tubes, switches, and thermostats may contain mercury. Fluorescent light ballasts, transformer oil, and HID lamp ballasts may contain PCBs. PCB wastes are regulated by Department of Toxic Substance Control Act (DTSC) Title 22 CCR 66261.24, Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) Title 40 CFR 761, and Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) 15 USC 2695. DTSC has classified PCBs as a hazardous waste when the concentrations are equal to or greater than 5 mg/l in liquids or when the total concentrations are equal to or greater than 50 mg/kg in non-liquids (Title 22, CCR, 66261.24). If the PCB waste is greater than 50 mg/l, then it is also to be managed under the RCRA and TSCA requirements. Employers must inform their employees of mercury and PCB hazards in accordance with Cal/OSHA.

Light ballasts in representative locations were visually assessed where possible. All light ballasts observed during the course of the HBMS were electronic ballasts or magnetic ballasts labeled "No PCBs". In the switchyard, the yellow glass portion of the high voltage transformer bushings may contain PCBs in the oil.



During the course of decommissioning or demolition activities, magnetic light ballasts may be discovered that are not labeled "No PCBs" and should be disposed of per DTSC requirements.

Fluorescent light tubes must be removed and recycled or disposed of as hazardous waste or universal waste prior to demolition as per 22 CFR 66261.50 and 66273.8.

The results of the Universal Waste Inventory are presented in Section 4.5 Table 4-5.

Treated Wood 4 4

Wood treated with creosote was observed in the following locations:

Power poles throughout Iron Gate Development

4.5 **Tables**

Table 4-1: Confirmed ACMs, ACCMs, and Assumed ACMs lists the HSAs (materials) that were tested and confirmed to contain greater than 0.1 percent asbestos as well as the HSAs that could not be tested and are assumed to contain asbestos. NESHAP categories and approximate quantities of each material are identified, when possible.

Table 4-2: Asbestos Sample Results by Layer lists the tabulated analytical results for each discrete asbestos sample, listed by building then by HSA. Confirmed ACMs, ACCMs and Non-ACMs are included.

Table 4-3: Lead Paint Sample Results lists the tabulated analytical results for each discrete lead paint sample.

Table 4-4: Universal Waste Inventory presents the tabulated approximate quantities of fluorescent light tubes, suspect PCB containing light ballasts, non-PCB containing magnetic light ballasts, HID Lamps, and PCB-containing transformers.

Table 4-5: PCB-Caulking Sample Results lists the tabulated analytical results for each PCB caulking sample.

Appendix A contains figures of structures, sampling locations, and asbestos-containing material locations.

Appendix B contains HSA Photologs, by building, then by HSA.

Appendix C contains the laboratory reports of analytical results for each discrete sample.

Appendix D contains personnel and laboratory certifications.



Table 4-1 Confirmed ACMs, ACCMs, and Assumed ACMs

Table 1: Confir				ALIEDA CI	esta la tita	NECHAR	C	0
Building	HSA#	HSA Description	Material Location	AHERA Class	Friability	NESHAP Category	Summarized Results	Quantity
Aerator	IGDAE-03	Assumed asbestos- containing red gaskets	Aerator piping, hatchery water supply	Misc.	_	_	Assumed	2 EA
Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure	IGDDTI-01	Gray window putty	Interior window panes	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Positive	2 EA (4'x5')
Fish Holding Facilities	IGDFHF-01	Gray brittle window putty	Patch sealant on one window only	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Positive	4 LF
Maintenance Shed	IGDMS-01	Assumed asbestos- containing silver woven electrical wire insulation	Throughout Maintenance Shed	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Assumed	Not quantified
Maintenance Shed	IGDMS-02	Assumed asbestos- containing electrical panel backing in older electrical panels	Interior of Maintenance Shed	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Assumed	~4 EA
Maintenance Shed	IGDMS-03	Assumed asbestos- containing roofing paper	Throughout Maintenance Shed roof, underneath corrugated metal roofing	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Assumed	~2,100 SF
Penstock	IGDPS-04	Assumed asbestos- containing red gaskets	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Assumed	Not quantified**
Penstock	IGDPS-05	Assumed asbestos- containing black gaskets	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Assumed	Not quantified**
Penstock Intake Structure	IGDPIS-01	White brittle window putty	Interior window panes	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Positive	2 EA (4'x5')
Powerhouse	IGDPH-01	Gray brittle window putty	Interior/exterior windows	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Positive	4 EA (4'x4')
Powerhouse	IGDPH-05	Assumed asbestos- containing wicket gate	Associated with turbines on main level of Powerhouse, inaccessible unless turbines are removed	Misc.	-	-	Assumed	3 EA
Powerhouse	IGDPH-06	Assumed asbestos- containing metal-clad fire door insulation	Powerhouse main level	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Assumed	2 EA

NF: Non-Friable; HSA: material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date, Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA, SF: Square Feet, EA: Each; LF: Linear Feet; Cat II: Category II per NESHAPS; Materials that were unable to be sampled (typically because of inaccessibility or sampling would be too destructive while facilities were still operational) are assumed to be asbestos-containing. *Not quantified because of unknown extent of material not accessible at time of inspection; as-built drawings needed for approximate quantification.

Table 1: Confirmed ACMs, ACCMs, and Assumed ACMs									
Building	HSA#	HSA Description	Material Location	AHERA Class	Friability	NESHAP Category	Summarized Results	Quantity	
Throughout Iron Gate Development	-	Assumed asbestos- containing buried Transite piping	A small portion of unburied Transite piping was observed at the Copco 2 development. Due to the proximity of Iron Gate to Copco 2, it is reasonable to assume that buried Transite piping also exists throughout the Iron Gate Development	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Assumed	Not quantified*	

NF: Non-Friable; HSA: material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date, Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA, SF: Square Feet, EA: Each; LF: Linear Feet; Cat II: Category II per NESHAPS; Materials that were unable to be sampled (typically because of inaccessibility or sampling would be too destructive while facilities were still operational) are assumed to be asbestos-containing. *Not quantified because of unknown extent of material not accessible at time of inspection; as-built drawings needed for approximate quantification.



Table 4-2 Asbestos Sample Results by Layer

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA	Percent	Asbestos
• •					Classification	(%) Asbestos	Туре
Aerator	IGDAE-1-01	1	Black asphaltic pipe wrap	Aerator piping, hatchery water supply	Misc.		None Detected
Aerator	IGDAE-1-02	1	Black asphaltic pipe wrap	Aerator piping, hatchery water supply	Misc.		None Detected
Aerator	IGDAE-1-03	1	Black asphaltic pipe wrap	Aerator piping, hatchery water supply	Misc.		None Detected
Aerator	IGDAE-2-01	1	Thick silver coating	Aerator piping, hatchery water supply	Misc.		None Detected
Aerator		2	Black asphaltic pipe wrap (HSA IGDAI-01)	Aerator piping, hatchery water supply	Misc.		None Detected
Aerator	IGDAE-2-02	1	Thick silver coating	Aerator piping, hatchery water supply	Misc.		None Detected
Aerator		2	Black asphaltic pipe wrap (HSA IGDAI-01)	Aerator piping, hatchery water supply	Misc.		None Detected
Aerator	IGDAE-2-03	1	Thick silver coating	Aerator piping, hatchery water supply	Misc.		None Detected
Aerator		2	Black asphaltic pipe wrap (HSA IGDAI-01)	Aerator piping, hatchery water supply	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building	IGDCB-1-01	1	Gray vinyl floor sheeting with terrazzo pattern	Flooring in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		2	Gray paper backing with mastic	Flooring in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		3	Tan mastic	Flooring in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building	IGDCB-1-02	1	Gray vinyl floor sheeting with terrazzo pattern	Flooring in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		2	Gray paper backing with mastic	Flooring in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		3	Tan mastic	Flooring in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building	IGDCB-1-03	1	Gray vinyl floor sheeting with terrazzo pattern	Flooring in office area	Misc.		None Detected

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%)	Asbestos Type
Communications Building		2	Gray paper backing with mastic	Flooring in office area	Misc.	Asbestos	None Detected
Communications Building	IGDCB-1-04	1	Gray vinyl floor sheeting with terrazzo pattern	Flooring in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		2	Gray paper backing with mastic	Flooring in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building	IGDCB-2-01	1	4" gray rubber cove base	Walls in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building	IGDCB-2-02	1	4" gray rubber cove base	Walls in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		2	White mastic	Walls in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building	IGDCB-2-03	1	4" gray rubber cove base	Walls in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		2	White mastic	Walls in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building	IGDCB-2-04	1	4" gray rubber cove base	Walls in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		2	White mastic	Walls in office area	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building	IGDCB-3-01	1	White joint compound	Walls in storage room only	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		2	White joint compound with paper	Walls in storage room only	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		3	Peach gypsum wallboard with paper	Walls in storage room only	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building	IGDCB-3-02	1	White joint compound	Walls in storage room only	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		2	White joint compound with paper	Walls in storage room only	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		3	Peach gypsum wallboard with paper	Walls in storage room only	Misc.		None Detected

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA	Percent	Asbestos
					Classification	(%) Asbestos	Туре
Communications Building	IGDCB-3-03	1	White joint compound with paper	Walls in storage room only	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		2	White gypsum wallboard with paper	Walls in storage room only	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		3	White joint compound with paper	Walls in storage room only	Misc.		None Detected
Communications Building		4	Peach gypsum wallboard with paper	Walls in storage room only	Misc.		None Detected
Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure	IGDDTI-1-01	1	Gray window putty	Interior window panes	Misc.	5%	Chrysotile
Diversion Tunnel	IGDDTI-1-02	1	Gray window putty	Interior window panes	Misc.	6%	Chrysotile
Intake Structure							
Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure	IGDDTI-1-03	1	Silver paint	Interior window panes	Misc.		None Detected
Diversion Tunnel		2	Gray window putty	Interior window panes	Misc.	6%	Chrysotile
Intake Structure							
Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure	IGDDTI-2-01	1	Beige exterior window caulking	Exterior window frames	Misc.		None Detected
Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure	IGDDTI-2-02	1	Beige exterior window caulking	Exterior window frames	Misc.		None Detected
Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure	IGDDTI-2-03	1	Beige exterior window caulking	Exterior window frames	Misc.		None Detected
Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure	IGDES-1-01	1	Asphaltic roofing shingles with granules	Roofing throughout shed	Misc.		None Detected
Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure	IGDES-1-02	1	Asphaltic roofing shingles with granules	Roofing throughout shed	Misc.		None Detected
Diversion Tunnel	IGDES-1-03	1	Asphaltic roofing shingles	Roofing throughout shed	Misc.		None
Intake Structure			with granules				Detected
Fish Holding Facilities	IGDFHF-1-01	1	Gray brittle window putty	Patch sealant on one window only	Misc.	4%	Chrysotile
Fish Holding Facilities	IGDFHF-1-02	1	Gray brittle window putty	Patch sealant on one window only	Misc.	6%	Chrysotile

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%) Asbestos	Asbestos Type
Fish Holding Facilities	IGDFHF-1-03	1	Gray brittle window putty	Patch sealant on one window only	Misc.	4%	Chrysotile
Penstock	IGDPS-1-01	1	Black asphaltic pipe wrap	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock	IGDPS-1-02	1	Black asphaltic pipe wrap	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock	IGDPS-1-03	1	Black asphaltic pipe wrap	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock	IGDPS-2-01	1	Thick silver coating	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock		2	Black asphaltic pipe wrap (HSA 01)	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock	IGDPS-2-02	1	Thick silver coating	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock		2	Black asphaltic pipe wrap (HSA 01)	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock	IGDPS-2-03	1	Thick silver coating	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock		2	Black asphaltic pipe wrap (HSA 01)	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock	IGDPS-3-01	1	Brown fibrous gasket at pipe line saddles	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock	IGDPS-3-02	1	Brown fibrous gasket at pipe line saddles	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock	IGDPS-3-03	1	Brown fibrous gasket at pipe line saddles	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock	IGDPS-6-01	1	Tar coating on fish hatchery water supply	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock	IGDPS-6-02	1	Tar coating on fish hatchery water supply	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock	IGDPS-6-03	1	Tar coating on fish hatchery water supply	Hatchery water supply piping	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock Intake Structure	IGDPIS-1-01	1	White brittle window putty	Interior window panes	Misc.	5%	Chrysotile

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA	Percent	Asbestos
					Classification	(%) Asbestos	Туре
Penstock Intake Structure	IGDPIS-1-02	1	White brittle window putty	Interior window panes	Misc.	4%	Chrysotile
Penstock Intake Structure	IGDPIS-1-03	1	White brittle window putty	Interior window panes	Misc.	4%	Chrysotile
Penstock Intake Structure	IGDPIS-2-01	1	White caulking at base of doorway	Doorway to interior	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock Intake Structure		2	Gray brittle material	Doorway to interior	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock Intake Structure	IGDPIS-2-02	1	White caulking at base of doorway	Doorway to interior	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock Intake Structure	IGDPIS-2-03	1	White caulking at base of doorway	Doorway to interior	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock Intake Structure	IGDPIS-3-01	1	White caulking	Exterior metal siding seams	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock Intake Structure	IGDPIS-3-02	1	Beige soft material with paint	Exterior metal siding seams	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock Intake Structure		2	White caulking	Exterior metal siding seams	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock Intake Structure	IGDPIS-3-03	1	Beige soft material with paint	Exterior metal siding seams	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	IGDPH-1-01	1	Gray brittle window putty	Interior/exterior windows	Misc.	4%	Chrysotile
Powerhouse	IGDPH-1-02	1	Gray brittle window putty	Interior/exterior windows	Misc.	5%	Chrysotile
Powerhouse	IGDPH-1-03	1	Gray brittle window putty	Interior/exterior windows	Misc.	4%	Chrysotile
Powerhouse	IGDPH-3-01	1	Gray expansion joint caulking	Exterior seams, roof of Powerhouse (concrete pad)	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	IGDPH-3-02	1	Gray expansion joint caulking	Exterior seams, roof of Powerhouse (concrete pad)	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	IGDPH-3-03	1	Gray expansion joint caulking	Exterior seams, roof of Powerhouse (concrete pad)	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	IGDPH-4-01	1	Brown epoxy coating	Roof of Powerhouse (concrete pad)	Misc.		None Detected

Table 2: Asbes	Table 2: Asbestos Sample Results by Layer								
Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%) Asbestos	Asbestos Type		
Powerhouse	IGDPH-4-02	1	Brown epoxy coating	Roof of Powerhouse (concrete pad)	Misc.		None Detected		
Powerhouse	IGDPH-4-03	1	Brown epoxy coating	Roof of Powerhouse (concrete pad)	Misc.		None Detected		
Restroom	IGDRR-1-01	1	White brittle terrazzo	Shower base interior of restroom	Misc.		None Detected		
Restroom	IGDRR-1-02	1	White brittle terrazzo	Shower base interior of restroom	Misc.		None Detected		
Restroom	IGDRR-1-03	1	White brittle terrazzo	Shower base interior of restroom	Misc.		None Detected		



Table 4-3 Lead Paint Sample Results

Table 4: Lead Paint	Sample Results				
Building	Sample ID	Description	Substrate	Location	Results in (mg/kg)
Diversion Tunnel Intake	IGDDTI-Pb1-01	Tan paint	Metal	Exterior metal window frames	470
Diversion Tunnel Intake	IGDDTI-Pb2-01	Grayish/silver paint	Metal	Interior metal walls	1,500
Emergency Spill Equipment Shed	IGDES-Pb1-01	Light gray paint	Wood	Throughout exterior metal siding on walls	<140
Fish Hold Facilities	IDGFHF-Pb1-01	Grayish/silver paint	Metal	On metal handrails and equipment throughout interior	500
Fish Hold Facilities	IDGFHF-Pb2-01	White paint	Concrete	Throughout concrete walls on lower level of interior	<50
Fish Hold Facilities	IDGFHF-Pb3-01	Silver paint	Metal	Center mechanical unit in center of fish holding ponds	110,000
Penstock	IGDPS-Pb1-01	Pink paint	Metal	6' penstock piping	65,000
Penstock	IGDPS-Pb2-01	Red paint	Metal	6' penstock piping	60
Penstock Intake Structure	IGDPIS-Pb1-01	Tan paint	Metal	Exterior metal siding and equipment	140
Powerhouse	IGDPH-Pb1-01	Orange paint	Metal	Interior metal handrails and guardrails throughout	83,000
Powerhouse	IGDPH-Pb2-01	Gray paint	Concrete	Interior floor and equipment blocks	980
Powerhouse	IGDPH-Pb3-01	Tan paint	Concrete	Walls in turbine room	7,200
Powerhouse	IGDPH-Pb4-01	Off-white/silver paint	Steel	Exterior stop log gates	860
Powerhouse	IGDPH-Pb5-01	Orange paint	Steel	Exterior stop log supports	150,000

<: Below the reporting limit



Table 4-4 Universal Waste Inventory

Table 5: Universal Waste Inventory	
Other Regulated Building Materials Description	Approximate Quantity
Mercury-containing fluorescent light tubes (4' length)	20
Mercury-containing fluorescent light tubes (8' length)	10
Magnetic light ballasts	10
HID lamps	6
Mercury-containing switches, controls, and recorders	None observed
PCB-Containing Transformer Oil	Associated with yellow glass portion of the transformer bushings in the switchyard



Table 4-5 PCB-Caulking Sample Results

Table 6: PCB Caulking Results		
Sample Number and Description	Material Location	Samples Results in Parts Per Million (ppm)
Flexible gray expansion joint sealant	Top of Powerhouse – at expansion joints	ND

ND: None Detected



APPENDIX A FIGURES







Figure 1 Aerial Site Photo Iron Gate Dam






Legend
IGDAE - HSA# - ## = Asbestos sample location
IGDAE - Pb# - ## = Lead paint sample location

Job No. 60537920 Drawing Not to Scale - Schematic Only



Figure 2 Asbestos and Lead Sample Locations **Aerator**






Legend
IGDCB - HSA# - ## = Asbestos sample location
IGDCB - Pb# - ## = Lead paint sample location

Job No. 60537920 Drawing Not to Scale - Schematic Only

Figure 3 Asbestos and Lead Sample Locations **Communications Building**








Legend
IGDCB - HSA# - ## = Asbestos sample location
IGDCB - Pb# - ## = Lead paint sample location

Figure 4 **Asbestos and Lead Sample Locations Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure and Penstock Intake Structure**

Job No. 60537920

Drawing Not to Scale - Schematic Only





IGDCB – Pb# – ## = Lead paint sample location


*Concrete sample analyzed via PLM CARB (Detection limit of .25%)

Drawing Not to Scale - Schematic Only

Figure 5 **Asbestos and Lead Sample Locations** Penstock, Hatchery Water Supply, Emergency Spill Equipment Shed, and Fish Holding Ponds



Job No. 60537920





Emergency Spill Equipment Shed


Legend
IGDFHF - HSA# - ## = Asbestos sample location
IGDFHF - Pb# - ## = Lead paint sample location

Job No. 60537920

Drawing Not to Scale - Schematic Only

Figure 6 Asbestos and Lead Sample Locations
Fish Holding Facilities






<u>Legend</u> IGDFHF – HSA# – ## = Asbestos sample location

IGDFHF – Pb# – ## = Lead paint sample location
*Concrete sample analyzed via PLM CARB (Detection limit of .25%)

Job No. 60537920 **Drawing Not to Scale – Schematic Only**



Figure 7 **Asbestos and Lead Sample Locations Powerhouse**






Legend
IGDFHF - HSA# - ## = Asbestos sample location
IGDFHF - Pb# - ## = Lead paint sample location

Job No. 60537920

Drawing Not to Scale - Schematic Only

Figure 8
Asbestos and Lead Sample Locations Restroom







Legend
IGDSW - Pb# - ## = Lead paint sample location
*Concrete sample analyzed via PLM CARB (Detection limit of .25%)

Job No. 60537920

Drawing Not to Scale - Schematic Only

Figure 9 **Asbestos and Lead Sample Locations Spillway**




Legend



IGDAE-03: Assumed asbestos-containing gaskets (M)



IGDMS-01, IGDMS-02, and IGDMB-03: Assumed asbestos-containing silver woven electrical wire insulation, assumed asbestos-containing electrical panel backing, and assumed asbestoscontaining roofing paper (M)



IGDFHF-01: Gray brittle patch window putty (M)



IGDPH-05: Assumed asbestos-containing wicket gate (M)

IGDDIS-01: Asbestos-containing gray

IDGPH-01: Asbestos-containing gray


window putty (M)


brittle window putty (M)




IGDPH-06: Assumed asbestos-containing metal-clad fire door insulation (M)


Drawing should be printed in color









Maintenance Shed












Figure 9
Approximate ACM Locations
Aerator, Penstock Intake Structure,
Fish Holding Facilities, Maintenance Shed,
Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure,
and Powerhouse

Job No. 60537920

Drawing Not to Scale - Schematic Only





APPENDIX B HSA PHOTOLOGS



Client Name: Klamath River Renewal Site Location: Iron Gate Dam, Aerator

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Corporation

Date:

9/12/2018

Structure:

Iron Gate Dam Aerator




Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDAE - 01

9/12/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Aerator/ Aerator piping, hatchery water supply

*Description (by layer):

1: Black asphaltic pipe wrap (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Dam, Aerator

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDAE - 02

9/12/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Aerator/ Ceiling throughout all rooms

*Description (by layer):

- 1: Silver paint (M)
- 2: Black asphaltic pipe wrap (M)



Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDAE - 03

9/12/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Aerator/ Ceiling throughout all rooms

*Description (by layer):

1: Red gasket (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Dam, Communication Building

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

9/12/2018

Structure:

Iron Gate Dam Aerator



Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDAE - 01

9/12/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Aerator/ Flooring in office area

- 1: Gray vinyl floor sheeting with terrazzo pattern (M)
- 2: Gray paper backing with mastic (M)
- 3: Tan mastic (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Dam, Communication Building

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDAE - 02

9/12/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Aerator/ Walls in office area

*Description (by layer):

- 1: 4" gray rubber cove base (M)
- 2: White mastic (M)



Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDAE - 03

9/12/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Aerator/ Ceiling throughout all rooms

- 1: White joint compound with paper (M)
- 2: White gypsum wallboard with paper (M)
- 3: White joint compound with paper (M)
- 4: Peach gypsum wallboard with paper (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Dam, Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

9/17/2018

Structure:

Iron Gate Dam Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure



Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IDGDTI - 01

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure/ Flooring in office area

- 1: Silver paint (M)
- 2: Gray window putty (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Dam, Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IDGDTI - 02

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Diversion Tunnel Intake Structure/ Exterior window frames

*Description (by layer):

1: Beige exterior window caulking (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Dam, Emergency Spill Equipment Shed

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

9/14/2018

Structure:

Iron Gate Dam Emergency Spill Equipment Shed



Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDES - 01

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Emergency Spill Equipment Shed/ Roofing throughout shed

*Description (by layer):

1: Asphaltic roofing shingle with granules (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Dam, Fish Holding Facility

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

9/14/2018

Structure:

Iron Gate Dam Fish Holding Facility




Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDFHF - 01

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Fish Holding Facility/ Patch sealant on one window only

*Description (by layer):

1: Gray brittle window putty (M)





Client Name: Klamath River Renewal Corporation Site Location: Iron Gate Dam, Maintenance Shed

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

9/14/2018

Structure:

Iron Gate Dam Maintenance Shed



Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDMS - 01


9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Maintenance Shed/ Throughout Maintenance Shed

*Description (by layer):

Assumed asbestos-containing silver woven electrical wire insulation





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Dam, Maintenance Shed

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDMS - 02

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Maintenance Shed/ Throughout Maintenance Shed

*Description (by layer):

Assumed asbestos-containing electrical panel backing in older electrical panels



Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDMS - 03

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Maintenance Shed/ Throughout Maintenance Shed roof, underneath corrugated metal roofing

*Description (by layer):

Assumed asbestos-containing roofing paper





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Dam, Penstock Intake Structure

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

9/14/2018

Structure:

Iron Gate Dam Penstock Intake Structure



Photo No./ Material ID:

IGDPIS - 01

9/14/2018

Date:

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Penstock Intake Structure/ Interior window panes

*Description (by layer):

1: Gray putty material (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Dam, Penstock Intake Structure

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDPIS - 02

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Penstock Intake Structure/ Doorway to interior

*Description (by layer):

- 1: White caulking at base of doorway (M)
- 2: Gray brittle material (M)



Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:


IGDPIS - 03

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Penstock Intake Structure/ Exterior metal siding seams

- 1: White caulking (M)
- 2: Beige soft material with paint (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Development, Penstock

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

9/14/2018

Structure:

Iron Gate Dam Penstock



Photo No./ Material ID:

IGDPS - 01 9

9/14/2018

Date:

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Penstock/ Hatchery water supply piping (M)

*Description (by layer):

1:Black asphaltic pipe wrap (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Development, Penstock

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDPS - 02

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Penstock/ Hatchery water supply piping

*Description (by layer):

- 1: Thick silver paint (M)
- 2: Black asphaltic pipe wrap (M)



Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDPS - 03

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Penstock/ Hatchery water supply piping

*Description (by layer):

1: Brown fibrous gasket at pipe line saddles (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Development, Penstock

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDPS - 04

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Penstock/ Hatchery water supply piping

*Description (by layer):

1: Red gasket (M)



Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDPS - 05


9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Penstock/ Hatchery water supply piping

*Description (by layer):

1: Black gasket (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Development, Penstock

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDPS - 06

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Penstock/ Hatchery water supply piping

*Description (by layer):

1: Tar coating on fish hatchery water supply (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Development, Powerhouse

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

9/14/2018

Structure:

Iron Gate Dam Powerhouse



Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDPH - 01

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Powerhouse/ Interior/exterior windows

*Description (by layer):

1: Gray brittle window putty (M)



AECOM Imagine it. Delivered.	SITE F	PHOTOGRAPH LOG Iron Gate Dam
Client Name: Klamath River Renewal Corporation	Site Location: Iron Gate Development, Powerhouse	Project No. 60567920

	T
Photo No./ Material ID:	Date:
IGDPH - 02	
Structure/Mater	rial Location:
Structure/Material Location: Not used	
*Description (by layer):	
	y 1.ay 0.7.

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDPH - 03

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Powerhouse/ Exterior seams, roof of Powerhouse (concrete pad)

*Description (by layer):

1: Gray expansion joint caulking (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Development, Powerhouse

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

IGDPH - 04

9/14/2018

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Powerhouse/ Roof of Powerhouse (concrete pad)

*Description (by layer):

1: Brown epoxy coating (M)





Client Name:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Site Location: Iron Gate Development, Restrooms

Project No. 60567920

Photo No./ Material ID:

Date:

9/14/2018

Structure:

Iron Gate Dam Restrooms



Photo No./ Material ID:

IGDRR - 01

9/14/2018

Date:

Structure/Material Location:

Iron Gate Dam Restrooms/ Shower base interior of restroom

*Description (by layer):

1: White brittle terrazzo (M)





APPENDIX C LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS

October 8, 2018

Nicole Gladu AECOM-Seattle 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600 Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Bulk Asbestos Fiber Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819445.00

Client Project: 60537920 Task 2.4

Location: IGD Aerator

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find test results for the 6 sample(s) submitted to our laboratory for analysis on 10/2/2018.

Examination of these samples was conducted for the presence of identifiable asbestos fibers using polarized light microscopy (PLM) with dispersion staining in accordance with both **EPA 600/M4-82-020**, Interim Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Insulation Samples and **EPA 600/R-93/116** Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials.

For samples containing more than one separable layer of materials, the report will include findings for each layer (labeled Layer 1 and Layer 2, etc. for each individual layer). The asbestos concentration in the sample is determined by calibrated visual estimation.

For those samples with asbestos concentrations between 1 and 10 percent based on visual estimation, the EPA recommends a procedure known as point counting (NESHAPS, 40 CFR Part 61). Point counting is a statistically more accurate means of quantification for samples with low concentrations of asbestos.

The detection limit for the calibrated visual estimation is <1%, 400 point counts is 0.25% and 1000 point counts is 0.1%

Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. Please do not hesitate to call if there is anything further we can assist you with.

Sincerely,

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Enc.: Sample Results

1.888.NVL.LABS 1.888.(685.5227) www.nvllabs.com <u>╙</u>(4<u>)</u>IJ W [/]

Lab Code: 102063-0



Bulk Asbestos Fibers Analysis

By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819445.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018 Samples Received: 6

Samples Analyzed: 6

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Aerator

Lab ID: 18099616 Client Sample #: IGDAE-1-01

Location: IGD Aerator

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Black asphaltic fibrous built-up material

> Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asphalt/Binder, Fine particles, Debris

Cellulose

3%

Asbestos Type: % None Detected ND

Insect parts Glass fibers 17%

> Spider silk 2%

Lab ID: 18099617 Client Sample #: IGDAE-1-02

Location: IGD Aerator

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Black asphaltic fibrous material

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Fine particles

Cellulose 3% None Detected ND

Glass fibers 26%

Lab ID: 18099618 Client Sample #: IGDAE-1-03

Location: IGD Aerator

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Black asphaltic fibrous material with granules

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Fine particles, Granules

Cellulose 3% None Detected ND

Glass fibers 36%

Lab ID: 18100184 Client Sample #: IGDAE-2-01

Location: IGD Aerator

Layer 1 of 2 **Description:** Silver paint

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Metallic paint, Fine particles

Cellulose 3% None Detected ND

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Matthew McCallum Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane

Date: 10/08/2018 Date: 10/08/2018

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Note: If samples are not homogeneous, then subsamples of the components were analyzed separately. All bulk samples are analyzed using both EPA 600/R-93/116 and 600/M4-82-020 Methods with the following measurement uncertainties for the reported % Asbestos (1%=0-3%, 5%=1-9%, 10%=5-15%, 20%=10-30%, 50%=40-60%). This report relates only to the items tested. If sample was not collected by NVL personnel, then the accuracy of the results is limited by the methodology and acuity of the sample collector. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of NVL Laboratories, Inc. It shall not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any other agency of the US Government



Bulk Asbestos Fibers Analysis

By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Batch #: 1819445.00

Samples Received: 6

Samples Analyzed: 6

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Aerator

Layer 2 of 2 Description: Black asphaltic fibrous felt

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Organic debris, Fine particles

Cellulose 62%

None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18100185 Client Sample #: IGDAE-2-02

Location: IGD Aerator

Laver 2 of 2

Layer 1 of 2 Description

Description: Silver paint

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Cellulose

2%

Asbestos Type: %
None Detected ND

Metallic paint, Fine particles

Description: Black asphaltic fibrous felt

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

None Detected ND

Asphalt/Binder, Organic debris, Fine particles

Location: IGD Aerator

Layer 1 of 2 Description: Silver paint

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Metallic paint, Fine particles

Cellulose 2%

Cellulose 67%

None Detected ND

Layer 2 of 2 Description: Black asphaltic fibrous felt

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Organic debris, Fine particles

Cellulose 64%

None Detected ND

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Matthew McCallum Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane

Date: 10/08/2018 **Date:** 10/08/2018

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Note: If samples are not homogeneous, then subsamples of the components were analyzed separately. All bulk samples are analyzed using both EPA 600/R-93/116 and 600/M4-82-020 Methods with the following measurement uncertainties for the reported % Asbestos (1%=0-3%, 5%=1-9%, 10%=5-15%, 20%=10-30%, 50%=40-60%). This report relates only to the items tested. If sample was not collected by NVL personnel, then the accuracy of the results is limited by the methodology and acuity of the sample collector. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of NVL Laboratories, Inc. It shall not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any other agency of the US Government

NVL Laboratories, Inc.

ASBESTOS LABORATORY SERVICES



4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com

Company	AECOM-Seattle	NVL Batch Number 1819445.00				
Address	1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600	TAT 4 Days	AH No			
	Seattle, WA 98101	Rush TAT				
Project Manager	Ms. Nicole Gladu	Due Date 10/8/2018 Time	5:00 PM			
Phone	(206) 438-2700	Email nicole.gladu@aecom.com				
Cell	(206) 240-0644	Fax (866) 495-5288				

Project Name/Number: 60537920 Task 2.4 Project Location: IGD Aerator Subcategory PLM Bulk Item Code ASB-02 EPA 600/R-93-116 Asbestos by PLM 						
Subca	ategory PLM	l Bulk				
Iter	n Code ASB	-02	EPA 60	00/R-93-116 Asbestos by PLM <bulk></bulk>		
То		•	s 6_	— Description	·	— VR
1	18099616	<u> </u>		·		Α
2	18099617	IGDAE-1-02				A
3	18099618	IGDAE-1-03				A
4	18100184	IGDAE-2-01				Α
5	18100185	IGDAE-2-02				Α
6	18100186	IGDAE-2-03				Α

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client				
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Matthew McCallum		NVL	10/8/18	
Results Called by					
☐ Faxed ☐ Emailed					
Special Instructions:					

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 9:40 AM

Entered By: Emily Schubert

1819445



ASBESTOS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Time **⊿**1 Hour ₫ 4 Days .⊿2 Hours ☐ 2 Days J 4 Hours 🗀 3 Days ∟ 10 Days

SERVI	CES		# <u>"</u>	Please call for IAI	less than 24 Hours	
aboratory Manag	ement Training AECOM Corporation		Decree Mary	er Nicole Gladu		
•					0644	
Addres	55 1111 3rd Avenue, S	uite 1600				-
	Seattle, WA 98101			nicole.gladu@		-
Phor	206.438.2 7 00		F	(866) 495	- 5288	
Project Name,	/Number 60537920 Task 2.4	Project Location G	D AERAT	OR		
② PLM (E	ir (NIOSH 7400) PA 600/R-93-116) ravimetry (600/R-93-116) os Friable/Non-Friable (EPA 60	Asbestos in Vermico	D/R-93-116) ulite (EPA 600/R	→ EPA 10	PA Level II Modifie 200Points (600/R-9: cos in Sediment (EF	3-116)
Reporting	Instructions email Nicole G	iladu.				
∪ Call ()	Fax ()		⊌ Email shannon	.mackay@aeco	om.com
	nple ID AE - 1-01 1 - 1-02 1 - 1-03	Description				A/R
12						
13						
14						
15						
	Print Name	Signature		Company	Date	Time
Sampled by		Jan I Sam		AECOM	9/14/18	8am-4pi
Relinquish by	Shannon MacKay	Skin		AECOM	10/00/18	Spm
Office Use Receive Analyze Calle Faxed/Ema	S-Whitelell d by d by	Signature	46	Company	Date	(8) 1700

Emily Schubert

From: MacKay, Shannon <shannon.mackay@aecom.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2018 4:05 PM

To: Client Services **Subject:** RE: Extra Samples

Please add the three samples to the COC, exactly as labeled below.

Thanks!

Shannon MacKay

Sr. Environmental Scientist, Environmental Compliance D 206-438-2232 C 206-999-2112 shannon.mackay@aecom.com

AECOM

1111 3rd Avenue, Suite 1600 Seattle, WA 98101 206-438-2700 Fax 866-438-2166 www.aecom.com

From: Client Services [mailto:ClientServices@nvllabs.com]

Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2018 4:03 PM

To: MacKay, Shannon Cc: Client Services Subject: Extra Samples

Good afternoon,

In reference to the attached COC we received 3 extra samples in this batch that are not listed on the COC.

Please confirm if you would like to add these sample to the existing COC or we can dispose of them for you.

- 1. IGDAE-2-01
- 2. IGDAE-2-02
- 3. IGDAE-2-03

Your samples will be placed on hold until we receive confirmation for these samples. Please let us know if you have any other questions or concerns.

Thanks & Regards,

Client Services



www.nvllabs.com

ph: 206.547.0100 | fax: 206.634.1936

December 28, 2018



Nicole Gladu AECOM-Seattle 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600 Seattle, WA 98101

RE: Bulk Asbestos Fiber Analysis; NVL Batch # 1825181.01

Client Project: 60537920 Task 2.4

Location: N-A

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find test results for the 2 sample(s) submitted to our laboratory for analysis on 12/21/2018.

Examination of these samples was conducted for the presence of identifiable asbestos fibers using polarized light microscopy (PLM) with dispersion staining in accordance with both **EPA 600/M4-82-020**, Interim Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Insulation Samples and **EPA 600/R-93/116** Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials.

For samples containing more than one separable layer of materials, the report will include findings for each layer (labeled Layer 1 and Layer 2, etc. for each individual layer). The asbestos concentration in the sample is determined by calibrated visual estimation.

For those samples with asbestos concentrations between 1 and 10 percent based on visual estimation, the EPA recommends a procedure known as point counting (NESHAPS, 40 CFR Part 61). Point counting is a statistically more accurate means of quantification for samples with low concentrations of asbestos.

The detection limit for the calibrated visual estimation is <1%, 400 point counts is 0.25% and 1000 point counts is 0.1%

Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. Please do not hesitate to call if there is anything further we can assist you with.

Sincerely,

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Enc.: Sample Results

Lab Code: 102063-0



Bulk Asbestos Fibers Analysis

By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: N-A

Batch #: 1825181.01

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 12/21/2018

Samples Received: 2

Samples Analyzed: 2

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Asbestos Type: %

None Detected ND

Asbestos Type: %

Lab ID: 18129768 Client Sample #: IGDCB-1-04

Location: N-A

Layer 1 of 2 Description: Gray sheet vinyl

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Vinyl/Binder, Fine particles, Synthetic foam None Detected ND

one Detected ND None Detected ND

Layer 2 of 2 Description: Gray fibrous material with yellow soft mastic

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

Mastic/Binder, Fine particles Cellulose 40% None Detected ND

Glass fibers 20%

Synthetic fibers <1%

Lab ID: 18129769 Client Sample #: IGDCB-2-04

Location: N-A

Layer 1 of 2 Description: Gray rubbery material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Vinyl/Binder, Fine particles None Detected NI

Layer 2 of 2 Description: White soft mastic

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

Mastic/Binder, Fine particles, Wood flakes Cellulose 2% None Detected ND

Insect parts

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Tiffany Cummings Date: 12/26/2018

Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane Date: 12/28/2018 Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Note: If samples are not homogeneous, then subsamples of the components were analyzed separately. All bulk samples are analyzed using both EPA 600/R-93/116 and 600/M4-82-020 Methods with the following measurement uncertainties for the reported % Asbestos (1%=0-3%, 5%=1-9%, 10%=5-15%, 20%=10-30%, 50%=40-60%). This report relates only to the items tested. If sample was not collected by NVL personnel, then the accuracy of the results is limited by the methodology and acuity of the sample collector. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of NVL Laboratories, Inc. It shall not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any other agency of the US Government

ASBESTOS LABORATORY SERVICES



	Company	AECOM-Seattle			NVL Batch Number	1825181	.00	
	Address	1111 3rd Avenue	Ste. 160	00	TAT 1 Day		AH No	
		Seattle, WA 9810)1		Rush TAT			
Pro	ject Manager	Ms. Nicole Gladu			Due Date 12/26/201	18 Time	4:55 PM	
	Phone	(206) 438-2700			Email nicole.gladu@a	aecom.com		
	Cell	(206) 240-0644			Fax (866) 495-5288	8		
Pr	oject Name/l	Number: 6053792	20 Task 2	4 Project L	ocation: N-A			
Su	bcategory PL	.M Bulk						
ſ	tem Code AS	SB-02	EPA 60	00/R-93-116 Asl	pestos by PLM <bulk></bulk>			
٦	Γotal Numb	per of Samples	s2_	—			Rush Samples	
	Lab ID	Sample ID		Description				A/R

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client				
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	12/21/18	1655
Analyzed by	Tiffany Cummings		NVL	12/26/18	
Results Called by					
☐ Faxed ☐ Emailed					
Special Instructions:		1	·		

Date: 12/26/2018 Time: 10:52 AM

2 | 18129769

IGDCB-2-04

Entered By: Shaina Mitchell



ASBESTOS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Tin.

⊿1 Hour

24 Hours

2 Hours لــ _4 Hours ☐ 2 Days ☐ 3 Days → 10 Days

Please call for TAT less than 24 Hours

C	Company	AECOM Corporatio	<u>n</u>	Project Manager Nico	le Gladu		
	Address	1111 3rd Avenue, S	uite 1600	Cell (206) 240 - 0644			
		Seattle, WA 98101		Email nico	e.gladu@	gaecom.com	
		206.438.2700		Fax (866		5288	
Project	t Name/Nu	umber 60537920 Task 2.4	Project Location				
	PLM (EPA PLM Grav	NIOSH 7400) 600/R-93-116) rimetry (600/R-93-116) Friable/Non-Friable (EPA 6	Asbestos in Vermicu)/R-93-116) ulite (EPA 600/R-04/004)	☐ EPA 10	PA Level II Modified) 00Points (600/R-93-: os in Sediment (EPA	116)
Repo	orting Ins	tructions .email Nicole (Gladu.		97		
u	Call () -	☐ Fax (⊌ Email	shannon.	.mackay@aecon	n.com
1 2	Samp	ber of Samples <u>2</u> e ID B - 1-04 B - 2-04	Description				A/R
3	1900	18-2-04					
4							
5							
6							
7						1	
8							
9			_				-
10			-				
11	-						
13							
14							
15							
	1	Print Name	Signature	Company		Date	Time
Sami	oled by	David Simon, CAC	Dand I Sim	A	ECOM	12/19/18	2pm
,	uish by	Shannon MacKay	Su_	A	ECOM	12/21/18	6pm
I A	e Use Or Received Analyzed Called ed/Email	by S.M. +OUL	Signature	Company	VVL	Date 2/21/19	8 Time

October 8, 2018

Nicole Gladu AECOM-Seattle 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600 Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Bulk Asbestos Fiber Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819479.00

Client Project: 60537920 Task 2.4 Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find test results for the 9 sample(s) submitted to our laboratory for analysis on 10/2/2018.

Examination of these samples was conducted for the presence of identifiable asbestos fibers using polarized light microscopy (PLM) with dispersion staining in accordance with both **EPA 600/M4-82-020**, Interim Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Insulation Samples and **EPA 600/R-93/116** Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials.

For samples containing more than one separable layer of materials, the report will include findings for each layer (labeled Layer 1 and Layer 2, etc. for each individual layer). The asbestos concentration in the sample is determined by calibrated visual estimation.

For those samples with asbestos concentrations between 1 and 10 percent based on visual estimation, the EPA recommends a procedure known as point counting (NESHAPS, 40 CFR Part 61). Point counting is a statistically more accurate means of quantification for samples with low concentrations of asbestos.

The detection limit for the calibrated visual estimation is <1%, 400 point counts is 0.25% and 1000 point counts is 0.1%

Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. Please do not hesitate to call if there is anything further we can assist you with.

Sincerely,

Nick Ly, Technical Director

Enc.: Sample Results

1.888.NVL.LABS 1.888.(685.5227) www.nvllabs.com

By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Batch #: 1819479.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 9

Samples Analyzed: 9

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Asbestos Type: %

Asbestos Type: %

Lab ID: 18099801 Client Sample #: IGDCB-1-01

Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Layer 1 of 3 **Description:** Gray vinyl

> **Asbestos Type: %** Other Fibrous Materials:% Non-Fibrous Materials:

None Detected ND Vinyl/Binder, Calcareous particles None Detected ND

3%

Layer 2 of 3 **Description:** Gray fibrous backing

> Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

None Detected ND Binder/Filler, Fine particles Cellulose 34%

Glass fibers 25%

Layer 3 of 3 **Description:** Tan firm mastic

> Asbestos Type: % Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:% None Detected ND

Mastic/Binder, Insect parts, Fine particles Cellulose

Calcareous particles, Wood flakes, Fine grains Synthetic fibers <1%

Glass fibers <1%

Lab ID: 18099802 Client Sample #: IGDCB-1-02

Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Layer 1 of 3 **Description:** Grav vinvl

> Asbestos Type: % Other Fibrous Materials:% Non-Fibrous Materials: None Detected ND

None Detected Vinyl/Binder, Calcareous particles ND

Layer 2 of 3 **Description:** Gray fibrous backing

> Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

None Detected ND Binder/Filler, Fine particles, Calcareous particles Cellulose 35%

Glass fibers 26%

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: William Minor Date: 10/08/2018 Reviewed by: Nick Ly Date: 10/08/2018

Nick Ly, Technical Director

By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 9

Batch #: 1819479.00

Samples Analyzed: 9

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Description: Tan brittle mastic Layer 3 of 3

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Mastic/Binder, Fine particles, Insect parts

Cellulose 3%

2%

ND

None Detected ND

Wood flakes, Fine grains, Calcareous particles

Glass fibers

Synthetic fibers <1%

Lab ID: 18099803 Client Sample #: IGDCB-1-03

Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Layer 2 of 2

Layer 1 of 2 **Description:** Gray vinyl

> Other Fibrous Materials:% Non-Fibrous Materials:

Asbestos Type: %

None Detected ND

Vinyl/Binder, Calcareous particles Description: Gray fibrous backing with tan mastic

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

None Detected

Asbestos Type: %

Mastic/Binder, Fine grains, Fine particles

Cellulose 36% Glass fibers 26% **None Detected ND**

Asbestos Type: %

Asbestos Type: %

Fine grains, Calcareous particles

Lab ID: 18099804 Client Sample #: IGDCB-2-01

Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Layer 1 of 1 **Description:** Gray rubbery material

> Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

> > **None Detected ND** Vinyl/Binder None Detected

Client Sample #: IGDCB-2-02 Lab ID: 18099805

Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Layer 1 of 2 **Description:** Gray rubbery material

> Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

> > None Detected ND Vinyl/Binder None Detected ND

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: William Minor Date: 10/08/2018 Reviewed by: Nick Ly Date: 10/08/2018

Nick Ly, Technical Director



By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 9

Batch #: 1819479.00

Samples Analyzed: 9

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Laver 2 of 2 **Description:** White firm mastic

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Mastic/Binder, Calcareous particles, Fine particles

Cellulose 2% **None Detected ND**

Synthetic fibers <1%

Spider silk <1%

ND

2%

Lab ID: 18099806 Client Sample #: IGDCB-2-03

Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Layer 2 of 2

Description: Gray rubbery material Layer 1 of 2

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Vinyl/Binder

Other Fibrous Materials:% None Detected

Asbestos Type: % None Detected ND

Description: White firm mastic

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Cellulose

Asbestos Type: %

None Detected ND

Mastic/Binder, Calcareous particles, Fine particles

Lab ID: 18099807 Client Sample #: IGDCB-3-01

Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Layer 1 of 3 **Description:** White compacted powdery material

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Calcareous binder, Calcareous particles

Cellulose <1%

None Detected ND

Layer 2 of 3 Description: White compacted powdery material with paper

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Calcareous binder, Calcareous particles

Cellulose 2% None Detected ND

Layer 3 of 3 Description: Peach chalky material with paper

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Gypsum/Binder, Fine particles, Metal

Cellulose 22%

None Detected ND

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: William Minor Reviewed by: Nick Ly

Date: 10/08/2018 Date: 10/08/2018

Nick Ly, Technical Director

By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Batch #: 1819479.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 9

Samples Analyzed: 9

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

None Detected ND

Glass fibers 2%

Lab ID: 18099808 Client Sample #: IGDCB-3-02

Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Layer 1 of 3 Description: White compacted powdery material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Calcareous binder, Calcareous particles Cellulose 2% None Detected ND

Layer 2 of 3 Description: White compacted powdery material with paper

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Calcareous binder, Calcareous particles Cellulose 2% None Detected ND

Layer 3 of 3 Description: Peach chalky material with paper & paint

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Gypsum/Binder, Fine particles Cellulose 24%

Glass fibers 3%

Lab ID: 18099809 Client Sample #: IGDCB-3-03

Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Comments: Unsure of correct layer sequence.

Layer 1 of 4 Description: White compacted powdery material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Calcareous binder, Calcareous particles

Cellulose 2%

None Detected ND

Layer 2 of 4 Description: White chalky material with paper

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Gypsum/Binder Cellulose 18% None Detected ND

Glass fibers 3%

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: William Minor

Date: 10/08/2018

Reviewed by: Nick Ly

Date: 10/08/2018

Nick Ly, Technical Director

Layer 4 of 4

Bulk Asbestos Fibers Analysis

By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819479.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 9

Samples Analyzed: 9

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Communications Bldg

Layer 3 of 4 Description: White compacted powdery material

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %
None Detected ND

Calcareous binder, Calcareous particles

Description: Peach chalky material with paper

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Glass fibers

Asbestos Type: %

Gypsum/Binder, Fine particles

Cellulose 22%

3%

Cellulose <1%

None Detected ND

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: William Minor

Reviewed by: Nick Ly

Date: 10/08/2018

Date: 10/08/2018

Nick Ly, Technical Director

ASBESTOS LABORATORY SERVICES



4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com

Company	AECOM-Seattle	NVL Batch Number 1819479.00					
Address	1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600	TAT 4D)ays	3			AH No
	Seattle, WA 98101	Rush TA1	Γ				
Project Manager	Ms. Nicole Gladu	Due Date		10/8/201	8	Time	5:00 PM
Phone	(206) 438-2700	Email nic	ole.	.gladu@a	aec	om.com	
Cell	(206) 240-0644	Fax (86	66) 4	495-5288	8		

	OCH (200) 240 0044			1 dx (000) 400 020		
Proj	ect Name/Ni	umber: 60537920 T	ask 2	2.4 Project Loca	ation: IGD Communic	ations Bldg	
Subca	ategory PLM	1 Bulk					
Ite	m Code ASE	3-02 E	PA 60	00/R-93-116 Asbest	os by PLM <bulk></bulk>		
То	tal Numbe	er of Samples _	9			Rush Samples	
	Lab ID	Sample ID		Description			A/R
1	18099801	IGDCB-1-01					A
2	18099802	IGDCB-1-02					Α
3	18099803	IGDCB-1-03					A
4	18099804	IGDCB-2-01					Α
5	18099805	IGDCB-2-02					Α
6	18099806	IGDCB-2-03					А
7	18099807	IGDCB-3-01					А
8	18099808	IGDCB-3-02					А
9	18099809	IGDCB-3-03					А

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client	_			
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	William Minor		NVL	10/8/18	
Results Called by					
Faxed Emailed					
Special Instructions:		'			

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 11:11 AM

Entered By: Emily Schubert



ASBESTOS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Time

J 1 Hour ☐ 24 Hours ⊿ 4 Days

J 2 Hours J 4 Hours ⊒ 2 Days 🗀 3 Days

⊿ 5 Days → 10 Days

HYGIEI SERVIC			T-1-1-1	Please call for TA	T less than 24 Hours		
aboratory Manageme	-		1818	1000000		A TOTAL OF	
Company	AECOM Corporation		Project Manager	Nicole Gladu		-	
Address	1111 3rd Avenue, St	uite 1600	Cell (206) 240 - 0644				
	Seattle, WA 98101		Email	nicole.gladu@	@aecom.com		
Phone	206.438.2700		Fax	(866) 495	- 5288		
Project Name/N	umber 60537920 Task 2.4	Project Location G	D COMMI	INVICATION	K BLDG.		
-		TEM (NIOSH 7402)			EPA Level II Modified)	
	A 600/R-93-116)				000Points (600/R-93-		
	vimetry (600/R-93-116)						
→ Asbestos	Friable/Non-Friable (EPA 60	0/R-93/116)	→ Other ——				
Reporting Ins	structions email Nicole G	ladu EMAIL	EDD ALONG	WITH RESULT	75		
Li Call) -	J Fax ()		shannor	n.mackay@aecoi	n.com	
Total Num	ber of Samples						
Samp	ile ID	Description				A/R	
1 19D(B-1-01						
2 11	-1-02						
3 11	-1-03						
4 11	-2-01						
5 11	-2-02						
6 11	-2-03						
7 11	-3-01						
8 N	- 3-02						
9 11	- 3-03						
10						_	
11							
12							
14							
15							
,	Print Name	Signature	i C	ompany	Date	Time	
Sampled by	David Simon, CAC	Janel & James		AECOM	9/14/18	8am-46	
Relinquish by	Shannon MacKay	Ston		AECOM	10/02/18	5:00by	
		-	0		1.7.07.0		
Office Use O		Signajure , /	111 0	ompany []	Date,	Time	
Received		1 * *	HAZT	NVL	1012/18	1700	
Analyzed							
Called	by						

October 8, 2018

Nicole Gladu AECOM-Seattle 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600 Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Bulk Asbestos Fiber Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819458.00

Client Project: 60537920 Task 2.4 Location: IGD Diversion Tunnel Intake

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find test results for the 6 sample(s) submitted to our laboratory for analysis on 10/2/2018.

Examination of these samples was conducted for the presence of identifiable asbestos fibers using polarized light microscopy (PLM) with dispersion staining in accordance with both **EPA 600/M4-82-020**, Interim Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Insulation Samples and **EPA 600/R-93/116** Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials.

For samples containing more than one separable layer of materials, the report will include findings for each layer (labeled Layer 1 and Layer 2, etc. for each individual layer). The asbestos concentration in the sample is determined by calibrated visual estimation.

For those samples with asbestos concentrations between 1 and 10 percent based on visual estimation, the EPA recommends a procedure known as point counting (NESHAPS, 40 CFR Part 61). Point counting is a statistically more accurate means of quantification for samples with low concentrations of asbestos.

The detection limit for the calibrated visual estimation is <1%, 400 point counts is 0.25% and 1000 point counts is 0.1%

Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. Please do not hesitate to call if there is anything further we can assist you with.

Sincerely,

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Enc.: Sample Results

1.888.NVL.LABS 1.888.(685.5227) www.nvllabs.com Lab Code: 102063-0



By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018 Samples Received: 6

Batch #: 1819458.00

Samples Analyzed: 6

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Project Location: IGD Diversion Tunnel Intake

Client Sample #: IGDDTI-1-01 Lab ID: 18099686

Location: IGD Diversion Tunnel Intake

Layer 1 of 1 **Description:** Off-white crumbly material

> **Asbestos Type: %** Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

Chrysotile 5% Binder/Filler, Calcareous particles, Fine grains Cellulose 3%

Lab ID: 18099687 Client Sample #: IGDDTI-1-02

Location: IGD Diversion Tunnel Intake

Layer 1 of 1 **Description:** Gray crumbly material

> **Asbestos Type: %** Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

Chrysotile 6% Cellulose Binder/Filler, Calcareous particles, Fine grains 2%

> Spider silk 2%

Lab ID: 18099688 Client Sample #: IGDDTI-1-03

Location: IGD Diversion Tunnel Intake

Layer 1 of 2 **Description:** Silver paint

> Asbestos Type: % Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

> > **Paint** Cellulose 1% None Detected ND

Layer 2 of 2 **Description:** Gray crumbly material

> Other Fibrous Materials:% Asbestos Type: % Non-Fibrous Materials:

Binder/Filler, Calcareous particles, Fine grains Cellulose 2% **Chrysotile 6%**

Lab ID: 18099689 Client Sample #: IGDDTI-2-01

Location: IGD Diversion Tunnel Intake

Layer 1 of 1 **Description:** Beige rubbery material

> Asbestos Type: % Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

None Detected ND Rubber/Binder, Fine particles None Detected

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Akane Yoshikawa Date: 10/08/2018

Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane Date: 10/08/2018 Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



Bulk Asbestos Fibers Analysis

By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819458.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 6

Samples Analyzed: 6

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Asbestos Type: %

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Diversion Tunnel Intake

Lab ID: 18099690 Client Sample #: IGDDTI-2-02

Location: IGD Diversion Tunnel Intake

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Beige rubbery material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

Spider silk 2% None Detected ND

Rubber/Binder, Fine particles, Insect parts

Spider silk

Client Sample #: IGDDTI-2-03

Location: IGD Diversion Tunnel Intake

Lab ID: 18099691

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Beige rubbery material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Rubber/Binder, Fine particles Synthetic fibers 3% None Detected ND

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Akane Yoshikawa Date: 10/08/2018
Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane Date: 10/08/2018

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

ASBESTOS LABORATORY SERVICES



4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com

Company	AECOM-Seattle	NVL B	.00			
Address	1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600	TAT	4 Day	s		AH No
	Seattle, WA 98101	Rush	TAT_			
Project Manager	Ms. Nicole Gladu	Due D	ate	10/8/2018	Time	5:00 PM
Phone	(206) 438-2700	Email	nicole	.gladu@a	ecom.com	
Cell	(206) 240-0644	Fax	(866)	495-5288		

Project Name/Number: 60537920 Task 2.4 Project Location: IGD Diversion Tunnel Intake									
Subo	ategory PLM	l Bulk							
Item Code ASB-02			EPA 6	00/R-93-116 Asbestos by F	PLM <bulk></bulk>				
To	tal Numbe	er of Samples	66	_		Rush Samples			
	Lab ID	Sample ID		Description			A/R		
1	18099686	IGDDTI-1-01					А		
2	18099687	IGDDTI-1-02					Α		
3	18099688	IGDDTI-1-03					Α		
4	18099689	IGDDTI-2-01					Α		
5	18099690	IGDDTI-2-02					А		
6	18099691	IGDDTI-2-03					Α		

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client				
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Akane Yoshikawa		NVL	10/8/18	
Results Called by					
Faxed Emailed					
Special		<u>'</u>	·		

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 10:10 AM

Entered By: Shaina Mitchell

1819458



ASBESTOS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Time

⊿ 4 Days

. → 2 Hours → 4 Hours ☐ 2 Days
☐ 3 Days

∟ 5 Days ∟ 10 Days

SERVIC			Please call for TAT less than 24 Hours						
Laboratory Managen	ment Training								
Company	AECOM Corporation	n	Project Manager Nicole Gladu						
Address	1111 3rd Avenue, S	uite 1600	Cell (206) 240 - 0644						
	Seattle, WA 98101		Email _nicole.gladu@aecom.com						
Phone	206.438.2700		Fax (866) 495 - 5288						
THORE									
Project Name/N	lumber 60537920 Task 2.4	Project Location C	ID DIVERSION TUNNEL INTAKE						
② PLM (EPA → PLM Gra	A 600/R-93-116)	EPA 400 Points (60 Asbestos in Vermic	☐ TEM (AHERA) ☐ TEM (EPA Level II Modified) D/R-93-116) ☐ EPA 1000Points (600/R-93-11) ulite (EPA 600/R-04/004) ☐ Asbestos in Sediment (EPA 1) ☐ Other	.6) 900 Points)					
Reporting In:	structions email Nicole C	Bladu ENNI	EDD MONG WITH RESILES						
⊔ Call ⁽		→ Fax ()		.com					
Samp	nber of Samples(ple ID I - I - OI	Description		A/R					
2 11	-1-02			-					
3 11	- 1-03			-					
4 11	- 2-01								
5 N	-2-02			1					
6 11	- 2-03								
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
14				-					
15				-					
1	Print Name	Signature	Company Date	Time					
Sampled by	David Simon, CAC	Jan L. Lan	AECOM 9/17-9/18/18						
Relinquish by	Shannon MacKay	ABM	AECOM 10/02/18	8 am -4p					
Office Use Or Received by Analyzed by	Print Name	Signature	Company VL Date 10/2/18	Time 700					
Called t	by								

4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103 | p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com

October 8, 2018

Nicole Gladu AECOM-Seattle 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600 Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Bulk Asbestos Fiber Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819469.00

Client Project: 60537920 Task 2.4

Location: IGP Emergency Spill Equipment Shed

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find test results for the 3 sample(s) submitted to our laboratory for analysis on 10/2/2018.

Examination of these samples was conducted for the presence of identifiable asbestos fibers using polarized light microscopy (PLM) with dispersion staining in accordance with both **EPA 600/M4-82-020**, Interim Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Insulation Samples and **EPA 600/R-93/116** Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials.

For samples containing more than one separable layer of materials, the report will include findings for each layer (labeled Layer 1 and Layer 2, etc. for each individual layer). The asbestos concentration in the sample is determined by calibrated visual estimation.

For those samples with asbestos concentrations between 1 and 10 percent based on visual estimation, the EPA recommends a procedure known as point counting (NESHAPS, 40 CFR Part 61). Point counting is a statistically more accurate means of quantification for samples with low concentrations of asbestos.

The detection limit for the calibrated visual estimation is <1%, 400 point counts is 0.25% and 1000 point counts is 0.1%

Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. Please do not hesitate to call if there is anything further we can assist you with.

Sincerely,

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Enc.: Sample Results

1.888.NVL.LABS 1.888.(685.5227) www.nvllabs.com ПЛОГ

Lab Code: 102063-0

4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



Bulk Asbestos Fibers Analysis

By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819469.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 3

Samples Analyzed: 3

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Asbestos Type: %

None Detected ND

None Detected ND

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGP Emergency Spill Equipment Shed

Lab ID: 18099731 Client Sample #: IGDES-1-01

Location: IGP Emergency Spill Equipment Shed

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Black asphaltic fibrous material with granules

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asphalt/Binder, Granules, Fine grains Glass fibers 60%

Lab ID: 18099732 Client Sample #: IGDES-1-02

Location: IGP Emergency Spill Equipment Shed

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Black asphaltic fibrous material with granules

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Granules, Fine particles Glass fibers 63%

Lab ID: 18099733 Client Sample #: IGDES-1-03

Location: IGP Emergency Spill Equipment Shed

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Black asphaltic fibrous material with granules

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Granules, Fine particles

Glass fibers 62%

None Detected ND

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Michael Jenkins

Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane

Date: 10/08/2018

Date: 10/08/2018

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

ASBESTOS LABORATORY SERVICES



4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

Subcategory PLM Bulk

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com

Company	AECOM-Seattle	NVL Batch Number 1819469.00					
Address	1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600	TAT 4	4 Day	S		AH No	
	Seattle, WA 98101	Rush T	TAT				
Project Manager	Ms. Nicole Gladu	Due Da	ate	10/8/2018	Time	5:00 PM	
Phone	(206) 438-2700	Email	nicole	.gladu@ae	com.com		
Cell	(206) 240-0644	Fax	(866)	495-5288			

Project Name/Number: 60537920 Task 2.4 Project Location: IGP Emergency Spill Equipment Shed

Item Code ASB-02		-02 E	PA 600/R-93-116 Asbestos by PLM <bul></bul>	
То	tal Numbe	er of Samples_	3	Rush Samples
	Lab ID	Sample ID	Description	A/R
1	18099731	IGDES-1-01		A
2	18099732	IGDES-1-02		A
3	18099733	IGDES-1-03		Α

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client				
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Michael Jenkins		NVL	10/8/18	
Results Called by					
☐ Faxed ☐ Emailed					
Special Instructions:		'			

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 10:45 AM

Entered By: Shaina Mitchell

1819469



ASBESTOS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Time

J1 Hour

LI 24 Hours

4 Days

J2 Hours JA Hours

12 Days D 3 Days

15 Days → 10 Days

ZEHVIO	E 5		Please call for	TAT less than 24 Hours				
aboratory Manager	Hen) Training							
Company	AECOM Corporation	1	Project Manager Nicole Gladu					
Address	1111 3rd Avenue, S	uite 1600	Cell (206) 240 - 0644					
	Seattle, WA 98101		Email nicole.glad	u@aecom.com				
Phone	206.438.2700		Fax (866) 49					
Project Name/N	lumber 60537920 Task 2.4	Project Location IG	P EMERGENCY SPILL	L EQUIPMEN	I SHED			
☑ PLM (EP ☑ PLM Gr	A 600/R-93-116)	EPA 400 Points (600 Asbestos in Vermici	0/R-93-116) EPA ulite (EPA 600/R-04/004) Asb	I (EPA Level II Madified 1000Points (600/R-93 lestas in Sediment (EPA	-116)			
Reporting In	structions email Nicole G	ladu						
□ Call (1 -	⊒ Fax (- shanne	on.mackay@aeco	m.com			
Sam	nber of Samples	Description			A/R-			
	ES-1-01							
2 16	-1-02							
	- 1-03							
4 S		1						
6					_			
7					-			
8					_			
9								
10								
11								
12								
-13								
15								
12	en Viene							
	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time			
Sampled by	David Simon, CAC	Namil 2' &	AECOM	9/14/18	: 8am-4pm			
Relinquish by	Shannon MacKay	Alle	AECOM	10/0/18	Spm			
Received Analyzed Called Faxed/Email	by SMITCHEU by MATONIA (Simpature A	Campany VL	Date 10/7/1	0 1700			

October 8, 2018

Nicole Gladu AECOM-Seattle 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600 Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Bulk Asbestos Fiber Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819460.00

Client Project: 60537920 Task 2.4 Location: IGD Fish Holding Facilities

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find test results for the 3 sample(s) submitted to our laboratory for analysis on 10/2/2018.

Examination of these samples was conducted for the presence of identifiable asbestos fibers using polarized light microscopy (PLM) with dispersion staining in accordance with both **EPA 600/M4-82-020**, Interim Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Insulation Samples and **EPA 600/R-93/116** Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials.

For samples containing more than one separable layer of materials, the report will include findings for each layer (labeled Layer 1 and Layer 2, etc. for each individual layer). The asbestos concentration in the sample is determined by calibrated visual estimation.

For those samples with asbestos concentrations between 1 and 10 percent based on visual estimation, the EPA recommends a procedure known as point counting (NESHAPS, 40 CFR Part 61). Point counting is a statistically more accurate means of quantification for samples with low concentrations of asbestos.

The detection limit for the calibrated visual estimation is <1%, 400 point counts is 0.25% and 1000 point counts is 0.1%

Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. Please do not hesitate to call if there is anything further we can assist you with.

Sincerely,

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Enc.: Sample Results

1.888.NVL.LABS 1.888.(685.5227) www.nvllabs.com

4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



Bulk Asbestos Fibers Analysis

By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819460.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 3

Samples Analyzed: 3

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Fish Holding Facilities

Lab ID: 18099704 Client Sample #: IGDFHF-1-01

Location: IGD Fish Holding Facilities

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Gray soft material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asb

Cellulose 1%

Asbestos Type: %
Chrysotile 4%

Lab ID: 18099705 Client Sample #: IGDFHF-1-02

Putty Compound, Fine particles

Location: IGD Fish Holding Facilities

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Gray soft material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

Putty Compound, Fine particles Cellulose <1%

Asbestos Type: %
Chrysotile 6%

Location: IGD Fish Holding Facilities

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Gray soft material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Putty Compound, Fine particles Cellulose <1%

Chrysotile 4%

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Matthew McCallum

Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane

Date: 10/08/2018

Date: 10/08/2018

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

ASBESTOS LABORATORY SERVICES



A/R

Α

Α

Α

4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

Lab ID

18099704

2 | 18099705

3 | 18099706

Sample ID

IGDFHF-1-01

IGDFHF-1-02

IGDFHF-1-03

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com

Compai	ny AECOM-Seattle		NVL Batch Number 18194	460.00
Addres	ss 1111 3rd Avenue	Ste. 1600	TAT 4 Days	AH No
	Seattle, WA 9810	1	Rush TAT	
Project Manag	er Ms. Nicole Gladu		Due Date 10/8/2018 Tim	ne 5:00 PM
Pho	ne (206) 438-2700		Email nicole.gladu@aecom.o	com
C	ell (206) 240-0644		Fax (866) 495-5288	
Project Nam	e/Number: 60537920	Task 2.4 Project Loca	tion: IGD Fish Holding Faciliti	es
Subcategory	PLM Bulk			
Item Code	ASB-02	EPA 600/R-93-116 Asbest	os by PLM <bulk></bulk>	
Total Nur	nber of Samples	3		Rush Samples

Description

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client				
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Matthew McCallum		NVL	10/8/18	1
Results Called by					
Faxed Emailed					
Special Instructions:			·		

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 10:12 AM

Entered By: Shaina Mitchell

1819460



ASBESTOS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Time

⊒1 Hour

☐ 24 Hours

△ 4 Days

. → 2 Hours J 4 Hours

→ 2 Days ☐ 3 Days

→ 5 Days → 10 Days

Please call for TAT less than 24 Hours

SE	RVIC	E S			Please Call Iol 17	AT less than 24 mours		
aboratory	Managem	ent Training						
C	Company	AECOM Corporation	1	Project Manage	Nicole Gladu	ı		
	Address	1111 3rd Avenue, S	uite 1600	Ce	(206) 240	0644		
		Seattle, WA 98101		Ema	nicole.gladu	@aecom.com		
	Phone	206.438.2700		Fax (866) 495 - 5288				
Project	t Name/N	umber 60537920 Task 2.4	Project Location De	g FISH HO	LDING FACIL	ITIES		
IJ P	PLM (EPA PLM Gra	(NIOSH 7400)	Asbestos in Vermic	D/R-93-116) ulite (EPA 600/R-	→ EPA 1	(EPA Level II Modified) .000Points (600/R-93-1: stos in Sediment (EPA 1	-	
Repo	orting In:	structions email Nicole G	Hadu BART	EDD ALD	HE WITH KE	SALTS		
u	Call ()	→ Fax ()	4	Shannoi Shannoi	n.mackay@aecom	.com	
Tota	l Num	ber of Samples	3 Description				A/R	
1		IGD FHF-1-01					14%	
2	412110	" - 1-02						
3		11 -1-03						
4		,						
5								
6								
7								
8							4	
9							+	
11							+	
12								
13								
14								
15							4	
	1	Print Name	Signature	10	Company	Date	Time	
Samp	oled by	David Simon, CAC	David I dan		AECOM	9/17-19/18/18	8am-4p	
•	uish by	Shannon MacKay	Stully		AECOM	10/02/18	5.00p	
R A	e Use O Received Analyzed Called ed/Email	by S. M. H. M.	Signature	HA	Company	10/2/18	Time 17 00	

October 5, 2018

Nicole Gladu AECOM-Seattle 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600 Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Bulk Asbestos Fiber Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819456.00

Client Project: 60537920 Task 2.4

Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find test results for the 9 sample(s) submitted to our laboratory for analysis on 10/2/2018.

Examination of these samples was conducted for the presence of identifiable asbestos fibers using polarized light microscopy (PLM) with dispersion staining in accordance with both **EPA 600/M4-82-020**, Interim Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Insulation Samples and **EPA 600/R-93/116** Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials.

For samples containing more than one separable layer of materials, the report will include findings for each layer (labeled Layer 1 and Layer 2, etc. for each individual layer). The asbestos concentration in the sample is determined by calibrated visual estimation.

For those samples with asbestos concentrations between 1 and 10 percent based on visual estimation, the EPA recommends a procedure known as point counting (NESHAPS, 40 CFR Part 61). Point counting is a statistically more accurate means of quantification for samples with low concentrations of asbestos.

The detection limit for the calibrated visual estimation is <1%, 400 point counts is 0.25% and 1000 point counts is 0.1%

Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. Please do not hesitate to call if there is anything further we can assist you with.

Sincerely,

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Enc.: Sample Results

1.888.NVL.LABS 1.888.(685.5227) www.nvllabs.com Lab Code: 102063-0



By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819456.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 9

Samples Analyzed: 9

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Chrysotile 5%

Chrysotile 4%

Chrysotile 4%

Asbestos Type: %
None Detected ND

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Lab ID: 18099657 Client Sample #: IGDPIS-1-01

Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Gray putty material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Putty Compound, Calcareous particles None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099658 Client Sample #: IGDPIS-1-02

Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Gray putty material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Putty Compound, Calcareous particles None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099659 Client Sample #: IGDPIS-1-03

Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Gray putty material with paint

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Putty Compound, Calcareous particles Cellulose <1%

Lab ID: 18099660 Client Sample #: IGDPIS-2-01

Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Layer 1 of 2 Description: Tan soft elastic material with paint

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

Caulking compound, Paint None Detected ND

Layer 2 of 2 Description: Gray brittle material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Mineral grains, Fine particles None Detected ND None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099661 Client Sample #: IGDPIS-2-02

Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Welly Hsieh Date: 10/05/2018

Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane Date: 10/05/2018 Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor



By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819456.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 9

Samples Analyzed: 9

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Tan soft elastic material with paint

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Caulking compound, Paint, Rust

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

None Detected

ND

None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099662 Client Sample #: IGDPIS-2-03

Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Tan soft elastic material with paint

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Caulking compound, Paint, Rust

None Detected ND **None Detected ND**

Lab ID: 18099663 Client Sample #: IGDPIS-3-01

Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Off-white soft elastic material with paint

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Caulking compound, Calcareous particles, Paint

None Detected ND None Detected ND

Client Sample #: IGDPIS-3-02 Lab ID: 18099664

Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Layer 1 of 2 Description: Beige soft material with paint

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Caulking compound, Paint, Calcareous particles

Cellulose <1%

None Detected ND

Layer 2 of 2 **Description:** White compacted powdery material with paint

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Calcareous binder. Paint

None Detected ND None Detected ND

Client Sample #: IGDPIS-3-03 Lab ID: 18099665

Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Welly Hsieh

Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane

Date: 10/05/2018

Date: 10/05/2018

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



Bulk Asbestos Fibers Analysis

By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819456.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 9

Samples Analyzed: 9

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Off-white soft elastic material with paint

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Caulking compound, Calcareous particles, Paint

Cellulose <1%

None Detected ND

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Welly Hsieh Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane Date: 10/05/2018 Date: 10/05/2018

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

ASBESTOS LABORATORY SERVICES



4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com

Company	Company AECOM-Seattle NVL Batch		ch N	lumber 1	819456	.00
Address	1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600	TAT 4	Day	s		AH No
	Seattle, WA 98101	Rush TA	Τ			
Project Manager	Ms. Nicole Gladu	Due Date	e	10/8/2018	Time	5:00 PM
Phone	(206) 438-2700	Email ni	cole	e.gladu@ae	com.com	
Call	(206) 240 0644	F av. (0	ee)	40E E200		

	Cell (2	206) 240-0644			Fax	(866) 495-5288				
Project Name/Number: 60537920 Task 2.4 Project Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure										
Subc	ategory PLM	Bulk								
Ite	m Code ASB	-02	EPA 6	00/R-93-116 Asbe	stos by	PLM <bulk></bulk>				
То	tal Numbe	r of Samples _ Sample ID	9	—— Description			Rush Samples	A/R		
1	18099657	IGDPIS-1-01		Becomption				A		
2	18099658	IGDPIS-1-02						А		
3	18099659	IGDPIS-1-03						А		
4	18099660	IGDPIS-2-01						А		
5	18099661	IGDPIS-2-02						А		
6	18099662	IGDPIS-2-03						А		
7	18099663	IGDPIS-3-01						А		
8	18099664	IGDPIS-3-02						А		
9	18099665	IGDPIS-3-03						А		

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client				
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Welly Hsieh		NVL	10/5/18	
Results Called by					
☐ Faxed ☐ Emailed					
Special Instructions:		'			

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 10:05 AM

Entered By: Shaina Mitchell



ASBESTOS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Time

⊿1 Hour U

☐ 24 Hours

🛮 4 Days

 ⊒ 2 Days □ 3 Days □ 5 Days □ 10 Days

H Y G I E N E S E R V I C E S			- HOWINGTON	Please call for TAT	less than 24 Hours	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE
boratory Management T	raining		400 18541	1 24 2 3 4		
Company AE	ECOM Corporation		Project Manager	Nicole Gladu		
Address 11	11 3rd Avenue, Su	ite 1600	Cell	(206) 240	0644	
Se	eattle, WA 98101		Email	nicole.gladu@	gaecom.com	
	6.438.2700		Fax	(866) 495	5288	
FIIOTIE						
Project Name/Numbe	er 60537920 Task 2.4	Project Location (GD	PENSTOC	K INTAKE S	STRUCTURE	
☐ PLM Gravime	OSH 7400)	sbestos in Vermicı)/R-93-116) ulite (EPA 600/R-	☐ EPA 10	PA Level II Modified) 100Points (600/R-93-11 os in Sediment (EPA 19	
Reporting Instruc	tions email Nicole Gl	adu EMAN	LEDD AU	ONG WHAT RE	esuits,	
⊔ Call	1	」Fax (shannon shannon	.mackay@aecom.	com
	r of Samples					A /D
Sample ID)	Description				A/R
1 TOPTS	-1-01					
2 к —	1-02					-
3 4 -	1-03					
4 11 -	2-01					
5 11 -	2-02					
6 11 -	2-03					
7 4 -	3-01					
8 ,1 -	3-02					
9 11 -	3-03					
10						
11						
12						_
13						
14						-
15						
Į P	rint Name	Signature	î	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	David Simon, CAC	David & Sim		AECOM	9/17/18-9/18/18	8AM-4PM
Relinquish by	Shannon MacKay	en		AECOM	10/02/18	5:00p
	,	1000				
Received by Analyzed by Called by	S. Mithell	Signature		Сотрану	98/2/18	Time 700
Faxed/Email by			3/2			
-			7			THE STATE OF THE S

October 8, 2018

Nicole Gladu AECOM-Seattle 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600 Seattle, WA 98101 L A B S

INDUSTRIAL
H Y G I E N E
S E R V I C E S

Laboratory | Management | Training

RE: Bulk Asbestos Fiber Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819446.00

Client Project: 60537920 Task 2.4

Location: IGD Penstock

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find test results for the 12 sample(s) submitted to our laboratory for analysis on 10/2/2018.

Examination of these samples was conducted for the presence of identifiable asbestos fibers using polarized light microscopy (PLM) with dispersion staining in accordance with both **EPA 600/M4-82-020**, Interim Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Insulation Samples and **EPA 600/R-93/116** Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials.

For samples containing more than one separable layer of materials, the report will include findings for each layer (labeled Layer 1 and Layer 2, etc. for each individual layer). The asbestos concentration in the sample is determined by calibrated visual estimation.

For those samples with asbestos concentrations between 1 and 10 percent based on visual estimation, the EPA recommends a procedure known as point counting (NESHAPS, 40 CFR Part 61). Point counting is a statistically more accurate means of quantification for samples with low concentrations of asbestos.

The detection limit for the calibrated visual estimation is <1%, 400 point counts is 0.25% and 1000 point counts is 0.1%

Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. Please do not hesitate to call if there is anything further we can assist you with.

Sincerely,

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Enc.: Sample Results

1.888.NVL.LABS 1.888.(685.5227) www.nvllabs.com Lab Code: 102063-0



By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819446.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4 Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 12

Samples Analyzed: 12

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Lab ID: 18099619 Client Sample #: IGDPS-1-01

Location: IGD Penstock

Project Location: IGD Penstock

Layer 1 of 1 **Description:** Black asphaltic mastic

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Miscellaneous particles

Glass fibers 4% None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099620 Client Sample #: IGDPS-1-02

Location: IGD Penstock

Description: Black asphaltic mastic Layer 1 of 1

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:% Glass fibers

Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Miscellaneous particles

None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099621 Client Sample #: IGDPS-1-03

Location: IGD Penstock

Description: Black asphaltic mastic Layer 1 of 1

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Miscellaneous particles

Glass fibers

4%

5%

None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099622 Client Sample #: IGDPS-2-01

Location: IGD Penstock

Layer 1 of 2 Description: Silver-colored reflective coating with paint

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Binder/Filler, Metal, Paint

Cellulose 1% None Detected ND

Description: Black asphaltic mastic Layer 2 of 2

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Miscellaneous particles

Cellulose

None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099623 Client Sample #: IGDPS-2-02

Location: IGD Penstock

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Daniel Charbonneaux

Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane

Date: 10/06/2018 Date: 10/08/2018

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor



By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Penstock

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 12

Batch #: 1819446.00

Samples Analyzed: 12

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Layer 1 of 2 Description: Silver-colored reflective coating with paint

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Binder/Filler, Metal, Paint

Cellulose 2%

None Detected ND

Layer 2 of 2 Description: Black asphaltic mastic

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Miscellaneous particles

Cellulose 4%

None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099624 Client Sample #: IGDPS-2-03

Location: IGD Penstock

Layer 1 of 2 Description: Silver-colored reflective coating with paint

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Binder/Filler, Metal, Paint

Cellulose 1%

5%

None Detected ND

Layer 2 of 2 Description: Black asphaltic mastic

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Cellulose

Asbestos Type: %

None Detected ND

Asphalt/Binder, Miscellaneous particles

Location: IGD Penstock

Lab ID: 18099625

Layer 1 of 1

Description: Brown fibrous material

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Binder/Filler, Organic debris

Client Sample #: IGDPS-3-01

Synthetic fibers 75%

None Detected ND

Cellulose 12%

Lab ID: 18099626 Client Sample #: IGDPS-3-02

Location: IGD Penstock

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Brown fibrous material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

Binder/Filler, Organic debris Synthetic fibers 78%

Asbestos Type: %
None Detected ND

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Daniel Charbonneaux Date: 10/06/2018

Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane Date: 10/08/2018

 $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{U} \cup$

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor



By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819446.00 Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 12

Samples Analyzed: 12

Campics Analyz

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Penstock

Cellulose 11%

Lab ID: 18099627 Client Sample #: IGDPS-3-03

Location: IGD Penstock

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Brown fibrous material

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Binder/Filler, Organic debris

Synthetic fibers 74%

None Detected ND

Cellulose 14%

Lab ID: 18099628 Client Sample #: IGDPS-6-01

Location: IGD Penstock

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Black asphaltic mastic

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Miscellaneous particles

Glass fibers 1%

None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099629 Client Sample #: IGDPS-6-02

Location: IGD Penstock

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Black asphaltic mastic

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Miscellaneous particles

None Detected ND

None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099630 Client Sample #: IGDPS-6-03

Location: IGD Penstock

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Black asphaltic mastic

Non-Fibrous Materials:

Other Fibrous Materials:%

Asbestos Type: %

Asphalt/Binder, Miscellaneous particles

None Detected ND

None Detected ND

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Daniel Charbonneaux

Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane

Date: 10/06/2018 **Date:** 10/08/2018

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

ASBESTOS LABORATORY SERVICES



4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com

Company	AECOM-Seattle	NVL Batch No	umber 1	819446.	00
Address	1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600	TAT 4 Days			AH No
	Seattle, WA 98101	Rush TAT			
Project Manager	Ms. Nicole Gladu	Due Date	10/8/2018	Time	5:00 PM
Phone	(206) 438-2700	Email nicole.	gladu@ae	com.com	

	Cell (2	206) 240-0644			Fax	(866) 495-5288		
Proj	ect Name/Nu	ı mber: 6053792	20 Task 2.4	Project Lo	cation:	IGD Penstock		
Subc	ategory PLM	l Bulk						
Ite	m Code ASB	-02	EPA 600)/R-93-116 Asbe	estos by	PLM <bulk></bulk>		
То	tal Numbe	er of Samples	s 12	_			Rush Samples	
	Lab ID	Sample ID		Description				A/R
1	18099619	IGDPS-1-01						А
2	18099620	IGDPS-1-02						А
3	18099621	IGDPS-1-03						Α
4	18099622	IGDPS-2-01						Α
5	18099623	IGDPS-2-02						Α
6	18099624	IGDPS-2-03						А
7	18099625	IGDPS-3-01						Α
8	18099626	IGDPS-3-02						Α
9	18099627	IGDPS-3-03						Α
10	18099628	IGDPS-6-01						Α
11	18099629	IGDPS-6-02						А
12	18099630	IGDPS-6-03						А

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client	_			
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Daniel		NVL	10/6/18	
Results Called by					
Faxed Emailed					
Special		1		-	

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 9:42 AM

Entered By: Emily Schubert

1819446



ASBESTOS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Time

J 1 Hour **□** 24 Hours ⊿ 4 Days

.J 2 Hours J 4 Hours J 2 Days 🗓 3 Days → 10 Days

SERVIC	ES		1.000-1100-1100-1100-1100-1100-1100-110	riease can for th	ir less than 24 Hours	
boratory Managem	ent Training	-6	18 95	100	AND SUPERIN	
Company	AECOM Corporation	1	Project Manager	Nicole Gladu		
Address	1111 3rd Avenue, S	uite 1600	Cell	(206) 240	- 0644	
	Seattle, WA 98101		Fmail	nicole.gladu(@aecom.com	
Phone	206.438.2700			(866) 495		
			SWA			
roject Name/N	umber 60537920 Task 2.4	Project Location	DANGE 190	PENSTOCK	-	
☑ PLM (EPA☑ PLM Grav	(NIOSH 7400) \(\lambda\) 600/R-93-116) \(\lambda\) vimetry (600/R-93-116) \(\lambda\) Friable/Non-Friable (EPA 60)	Asbestos in Vermicu	/R-93-116) ulite (EPA 600/R-0	→ EPA 1	EPA Level II Modifie 000Points (600/R-93 tos in Sediment (EP	3-116)
Reporting Ins	tructions .email Nicole G	ladu EMALL	EDD ALON	IG WITH RESU	UTS	
) -			shannor	.mackay@aecc	m.com
		2				
		-				A /D
Samp		Description				A/R
1 IGDP	5-1-01					
3 11	-1-02 -1-03					_
4 11	- 2-01					_
5 11	- 2-02					
6 H	- 2-03					
7 II	- 3-01					
8 11	- 3-02					
9 ((-3-03					
10 1(-6-01					
11 11	- 6-02					
12 #	- 603					
13		-				
14 15						
	Print Name	Signature	, C	ompany	Date	Time
Sampled by	David Simon, CAC	Dand I day		AECOM	9/14/18	8am-4
elinquish by	Shannon MacKay	Strm-	_	AECOM	10/08/18	5:000
l		No.			1.7915	
Received by Analyzed by Called by	Print Name (+1/1)	Signature	b (c	Ompany V L	Date 10 [7]	18 1700
Faxed/Email t	ру Ц					

October 5, 2018

Nicole Gladu **AECOM-Seattle** 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600 Seattle, WA 98101

HYGIENE SERVICES Laboratory | Management | Training

RE: Bulk Asbestos Fiber Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819495.00

Client Project: 60537920 Task 2.4

Location: IGD Powerhouse

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find test results for the 9 sample(s) submitted to our laboratory for analysis on 10/2/2018.

Examination of these samples was conducted for the presence of identifiable asbestos fibers using polarized light microscopy (PLM) with dispersion staining in accordance with both EPA 600/M4-82-020, Interim Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Insulation Samples and EPA 600/R-93/116 Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials.

For samples containing more than one separable layer of materials, the report will include findings for each layer (labeled Layer 1 and Layer 2, etc. for each individual layer). The asbestos concentration in the sample is determined by calibrated visual estimation.

For those samples with asbestos concentrations between 1 and 10 percent based on visual estimation, the EPA recommends a procedure known as point counting (NESHAPS, 40 CFR Part 61). Point counting is a statistically more accurate means of quantification for samples with low concentrations of asbestos.

The detection limit for the calibrated visual estimation is <1%, 400 point counts is 0.25% and 1000 point counts is 0.1%

Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. Please do not hesitate to call if there is anything further we can assist you with.

Sincerely,

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Enc.: Sample Results

1.888.NVL.LABS 1,888.(685.5227) www.nvllabs.com



By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Powerhouse

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819495.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 9

Samples Analyzed: 9

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Chrysotile 5%

Lab ID: 18099908 Client Sample #: IGDPH-1-01

Location: IGD Powerhouse

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Gray putty material with silver paint

> **Asbestos Type: %** Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

Chrysotile 4% Putty Compound, Calcareous particles, Metallic paint None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099909 Client Sample #: IGDPH-1-02

Location: IGD Powerhouse

Layer 1 of 1 **Description:** Gray putty material with silver paint

> **Asbestos Type: %** Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

None Detected Putty Compound, Calcareous particles, Metallic paint ND

Lab ID: 18099910 Client Sample #: IGDPH-1-03

Location: IGD Powerhouse

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Gray putty material with silver paint

> Asbestos Type: % Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

Chrysotile 4% Putty Compound, Calcareous particles, Metallic paint None Detected

Lab ID: 18099911 Client Sample #: IGDPH-3-01

Location: IGD Powerhouse

Layer 1 of 1 **Description:** Gray soft elastic material

> Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:% Asbestos Type: % None Detected ND

Caulking compound None Detected ND

Client Sample #: IGDPH-3-02 Lab ID: 18099912

Location: IGD Powerhouse

Layer 1 of 1 **Description:** Gray soft elastic material

> Asbestos Type: % Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials:%

None Detected ND Caulking compound, Fine particles None Detected ND

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Welly Hsieh Date: 10/05/2018

Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane Date: 10/05/2018 Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Bulk Asbestos Fibers Analysis

By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819495.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 9

Samples Analyzed: 9

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu
Project Location: IGD Powerhouse

Lab ID: 18099913 Client Sample #: IGDPH-3-03

Location: IGD Powerhouse

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Gray soft elastic material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Caulking compound, Synthetic foam None Detected ND None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099914 Client Sample #: IGDPH-4-01

Location: IGD Powerhouse

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Brown/clear brittle material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Binder/Filler None Detected ND None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099915 Client Sample #: IGDPH-4-02

Location: IGD Powerhouse

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Brown/clear brittle material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Binder/Filler, Mineral grains None Detected ND None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099916 Client Sample #: IGDPH-4-03

Location: IGD Powerhouse

Layer 1 of 1 Description: Brown/clear brittle material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Binder/Filler, Mineral grains None Detected ND None Detected ND

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Welly Hsieh Date: 10/05/2018

Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane Date: 10/05/2018 Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Note: If samples are not homogeneous, then subsamples of the components were analyzed separately. All bulk samples are analyzed using both EPA 600/R-93/116 and 600/M4-82-020 Methods with the following measurement uncertainties for the reported % Asbestos (1%=0-3%, 5%=1-9%, 10%=5-15%, 20%=10-30%, 50%=40-60%). This report relates only to the items tested. If sample was not collected by NVL personnel, then the accuracy of the results is limited by the methodology and acuity of the sample collector. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of NVL Laboratories, Inc. It shall not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any other agency of the US Government

ASBESTOS LABORATORY SERVICES



4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com

Company	AECOM-Seattle	NVL Batch Number 1819495.00					
Address	1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600	TAT 4 Days	AH No				
	Seattle, WA 98101	Rush TAT					
Project Manager	Ms. Nicole Gladu	Due Date 10/8/2018 Time	5:00 PM				
Phone	(206) 438-2700	Email nicole.gladu@aecom.com					
Cell	(206) 240-0644	Fax (866) 495-5288					

	Cell (2	200) 240-0044		гах	(800) 493-3288		
Proj	ect Name/Nu	ı mber: 6053792	0 Task 2	2.4 Project Location:	: IGD Powerhouse		
Subc	ategory PLM	Bulk					
Ite	m Code ASB	-02	EPA 6	00/R-93-116 Asbestos by	y PLM <bulk></bulk>		
То	tal Numbe	r of Samples	s 9_	_		Rush Samples	
	Lab ID	Sample ID		Description			A/R
1	18099908	IGDPH-1-01					Α
2	18099909	IGDPH-1-02					Α
3	18099910	IGDPH-1-03					Α
4	18099911	IGDPH-3-01					А
5	18099912	IGDPH-3-02					А
6	18099913	IGDPH-3-03					Α
7	18099914	IGDPH-4-01					А
8	18099915	IGDPH-4-02					Α
9	18099916	IGDPH-4-03					А

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client	_			
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Welly Hsieh		NVL	10/5/18	
Results Called by					
☐ Faxed ☐ Emailed					
Special Instructions:		'			

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 11:50 AM

Entered By: Emily Schubert



ASBESTOS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Time

J1Hour U2

 ☐ 24 Hours

⊿ 4 Days

... 2 Hours ... 4 Hours ☐ 2 Days ☐ 3 Days → 5 Days

→ 10 Days

Please call for TAT less than 24 Hours

☐ EPA 100 04) ☐ Asbesto	aecom.com 5288 A Level II Modified) 0Points (600/R-93-11 s in Sediment (EPA 1	900 Points)
206) 240 - cole.gladu@ 866) 495 - MSE LI TEM (EP. LI EPA 100 04) LI Asbesto	aecom.com 5288 A Level II Modified) OPoints (600/R-93-11 s in Sediment (EPA 1	900 Points)
Cole.gladu@ 866) 495- ASE U TEM (EP. U EPA 100 04) U Asbesto	aecom.com 5288 A Level II Modified) OPoints (600/R-93-11 s in Sediment (EPA 1	900 Points)
1SE 1 TEM (EP. 1 EPA 100 04) 1 Asbesto	A Level II Modified) OPoints (600/R-93-11 s in Sediment (EPA 1	900 Points)
TEM (EP. LI TEM (EP. LI EPA 100 04) LI Asbesto	A Level II Modified) 0Points (600/R-93-11 s in Sediment (EPA 1	900 Points)
☐ TEM (EP. ☐ EPA 100 04) ☐ Asbesto	OPoints (600/R-93-11 s in Sediment (EPA 1	900 Points)
☐ EPA 100 04) ☐ Asbesto	OPoints (600/R-93-11 s in Sediment (EPA 1	900 Points)
shannon.r	mackay@aecom.	
		A/R
		A/R
		_
any	Date	Time
AECOM	9/17-9/18/18	8am-4p
AECOM	10/02/18	8am-4p.
any VL	Date 10/7/18	Time 700
		AECOM 9/13-9/18/18 AECOM 10/02/18

October 8, 2018

Nicole Gladu AECOM-Seattle 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600 Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Bulk Asbestos Fiber Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819509.00

Client Project: 60537920 Task 2.4

Location: IGD Restroom

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find test results for the 3 sample(s) submitted to our laboratory for analysis on 10/2/2018.

Examination of these samples was conducted for the presence of identifiable asbestos fibers using polarized light microscopy (PLM) with dispersion staining in accordance with both **EPA 600/M4-82-020**, Interim Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Insulation Samples and **EPA 600/R-93/116** Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials.

For samples containing more than one separable layer of materials, the report will include findings for each layer (labeled Layer 1 and Layer 2, etc. for each individual layer). The asbestos concentration in the sample is determined by calibrated visual estimation.

For those samples with asbestos concentrations between 1 and 10 percent based on visual estimation, the EPA recommends a procedure known as point counting (NESHAPS, 40 CFR Part 61). Point counting is a statistically more accurate means of quantification for samples with low concentrations of asbestos.

The detection limit for the calibrated visual estimation is <1%, 400 point counts is 0.25% and 1000 point counts is 0.1%

Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. Please do not hesitate to call if there is anything further we can assist you with.

Sincerely,

Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Enc.: Sample Results

1.888.NVL.LABS 1.888.(685.5227) www.nvllabs.com Lab Code: 102063-0

4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



Bulk Asbestos Fibers Analysis

By Polarized Light Microscopy

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819509.00

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 3

Samples Analyzed: 3

Method: EPA/600/R-93/116

& EPA/600/M4-82-020

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Restroom

Lab ID: 18099987 Client Sample #: IGDRR-1-01

Location: IGD Restroom

Layer 1 of 1 Description: White brittle material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Binder/Filler, Calcareous particles, Mineral grains Spider silk 4% None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099988 Client Sample #: IGDRR-1-02

Location: IGD Restroom

Layer 1 of 1 Description: White brittle material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Binder/Filler, Calcareous particles, Mineral grains Spider silk 2% None Detected ND

Lab ID: 18099989 Client Sample #: IGDRR-1-03

Location: IGD Restroom

Layer 1 of 1 Description: White brittle material

Non-Fibrous Materials: Other Fibrous Materials: Asbestos Type: %

Binder/Filler, Calcareous particles, Mineral grains

None Detected ND

None Detected ND

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Michael Jenkins Date: 10/08/2018

Reviewed by: Matt Macfarlane Date: 10/08/2018 Matt Macfarlane, Asbestos Lab Supervisor

Note: If samples are not homogeneous, then subsamples of the components were analyzed separately. All bulk samples are analyzed using both EPA 600/R-93/116 and 600/M4-82-020 Methods with the following measurement uncertainties for the reported % Asbestos (1%=0-3%, 5%=1-9%, 10%=5-15%, 20%=10-30%, 50%=40-60%). This report relates only to the items tested. If sample was not collected by NVL personnel, then the accuracy of the results is limited by the methodology and acuity of the sample collector. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of NVL Laboratories, Inc. It shall not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any other agency of the US Government

ASBESTOS LABORATORY SERVICES



4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com

Project Name/Number: 60537920 Task 2.4

Company	AECOM-Seattle	NVL Batch Number 1819509.00					
Address	1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600	TAT 4 Days					
	Seattle, WA 98101	Rush TAT_					
Project Manager	Ms. Nicole Gladu	Due Date	10/8/2018	Time	5:00 PM		
Phone	(206) 438-2700	Email nicol	e.gladu@ae	com.com			
Cell	(206) 240-0644	Fax (866) 495-5288				

Subc	ategory	PLM Bulk		
Ite	m Code	ASB-02	EPA 600/R-93-116 Asbestos by PLM <bulk></bulk>	
То	tal Nur	mber of Samples	3	Rush Samples
	Lab ID	Sample ID	Description	A/R
1	1809998	87 IGDRR-1-01		A
2	180999	88 IGDRR-1-02		A
3	180999	89 IGDRR-1-03		A

Project Location: IGD Restroom

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client				
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Michael Jenkins		NVL	10/8/18	
Results Called by					
Faxed Emailed					
Special		'	·		

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 12:11 PM

Entered By: Emily Schubert



ASBESTOS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Project Manager Nicole Gladu Cell (206) 240 - 0644 Email _nicole.gladu@aecom.com Fax (866) 495 - 5288 PRESTROOM J TEM (AHERA) TEM (EPA Level II Modified)
Cell (206) 240 - 0644 Email _nicole.gladu@aecom.com Fax (866) 495 - 5288
Fax (866) 495 - 5288 RESTROOM
Fax (866) 495 - 5288 > RESTROOM
Fax (866) 495 - 5288 > RESTROOM
1 TEM (AHERA) 1 TEM (EPA Level II Modified)
R-93-116)
shannon.mackay@aecom.com
A/R
Company Date Time
AECOM 9/14/18 8am-4
AECOM 10/02/18 5pm
Company VL Date 17/18 Time 1700
600/f niculi

October 5, 2018

Nicole Gladu **AECOM-Seattle**1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Metals Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819531.00

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find the test results for samples submitted to our laboratory for analysis. Preparation of these samples was conducted following protocol outlined in EPA Method SW 846-3051 unless stated otherwise. Analysis of these samples was performed using analytical instruments in accordance with U.S. EPA, NIOSH, OSHA and other ASTM methods.

For matrix materials submitted as paint, dust wipe, soil or TCLP samples, analysis for the presence of total metals is conducted using published U.S. EPA Methods. Paint and soil results are usually expressed in mg/Kg which is equivalent to parts per million (ppm). Lead (Pb) in paint is usually expressed in mg/Kg (ppm), Percent (%) or mg/cm² by area. Dust wipe sample results are usually expressed in ug/wipe and ug/ft². TCLP samples are reported in mg/L (ppm). For air filter samples, analyses are conducted using NIOSH and OSHA Methods. Results are expressed in ug/filter and ug/m³. Other matrix materials are analyzed accordingly using published methods or specified by client. The reported test results pertain only to items tested and are not blank corrected.

For recent regulation updates pertaining to current regulatory levels or permissible exposure levels, please call your local regulatory agencies for more details.

This report is considered highly confidential and will not be released without your approval. Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. if you need further assistance please feel free to call us at 206-547-0100 or 1-888-NVLLABS.

Sincerely,

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor





4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



Analysis Report

Total Lead (Pb)

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Diversion Tunnel Intake

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819531.00

Matrix: Paint

Method: EPA 3051/7000B

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 2

Samples Analyzed: 2

Lat	o ID	Client Sample #	Sample Weight (g)	RL in mg/Kg	Results in mg/Kg	Results in percent
181	00026	IGDDTI-Pb1-01	0.2157	46	470	0.047
181	00027	IGDDTI-Pb2-01	0 1976	51	1500	0.15

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Yasuyuki Hida Date Analyzed: 10/05/2018
Reviewed by: Shalini Patel Date Issued: 10/05/2018

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor

RL = Reporting Limit

mg/ Kg =Milligrams per kilogram

Percent = Milligrams per kilogram / 10000

'<' = Below the reporting Limit

Note: Method QC results are acceptable unless stated otherwise.

Unless otherwise indicated, the condition of all samples was acceptable at time of receipt.

Bench Run No: 2018-1004-13

LEAD LABORATORY SERVICES

4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103 p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



Company AECOM-Seattle

Address 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600
Seattle, WA 98101

Project Manager Ms. Nicole Gladu
Phone (206) 438-2700

Email nicole.gladu@aecom.com

Phone (206) 438-2700 Email nicole.gladu@aecom.com Cell (206) 240-0644 Fax (866) 495-5288						1		
	Cell (2							
Proje	ect Name/Nu	ı mber: 60537920	0 Task 2.4	Project Location	: IGD Diver	sion Tunnel Intak	9	
Subca	ategory Flam	ne AA (FAA)						
Iten	n Code FAA	-02	EPA 7000B	Lead by FAA <pair< th=""><th>nt></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></pair<>	nt>			
To	tal Numbe	er of Samples	2				Rush Samples	
	Lab ID	Sample ID	Des	scription				A/R
1	18100026	IGDDTI-Pb1-01						А
2	18100027	IGDDTI-Pb2-01						А

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client				
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Yasuyuki Hida		NVL	10/5/18	
Results Called by					
☐ Faxed ☐ Emailed					
Special Instructions:		'			

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 1:07 PM

Entered By: Emily Schubert



METALS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Time

☐ 2 Hour ☐ 4 Hours

🗀 24 Hours 4 Days

🗀 2 Days ☐ 5 Days 3 Days

△ 6-10 Days Please call for TAT less than 24 Hours

Con	mpany AECOM			Project Manage	r	Nicole G	ladu		
Ac	ddress 1111 3rd A	Avenue, Suite	1600	Ce	,	206)	240 - 0644		
	Seattle, W	/A 98101		Ema		icole.glad	u@aecon	n.com	
F	Phone 206-438-2	2700			x (495 - 5288		
Project Na	ame/Number 6053792(Task 2.4 Pro	ject Location 1 G	D DIVERS	101	TUNNE	EL INTA	KKE	
Total Mei	□ ICP (PPM □ GFAA (ppb)	☐ Air Filter ☐ Paint Chips (cm) ☐ Drinking Water ☐ Other	X Paint Chips (%) Dust Wipes □ Waste Water	□ Soil * RCR. □ Ba	A 8 rium	□ Chromiu □ Mercury	im 🗓 Silver	RCRA 11 Copper Zinc Other	
Report	ing Instructions	REDE AL	OKE WITH	KEGULTO					
□Ca	all ()		Fax ()	-	X Er	_{mail} shar	nnon.mac	kay@aecom.c	om
	Number of Samp Sample ID GDDTI - PbI -		Description						A/R
2	11 - 402-								
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									_
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
	Print Name		Signature	1870	Comp	any	10	ate	Time
Sample	d by Shannon N	/lacKay/David	Simon Jana	1200	ΑE	СОМ	9/1	7/18-9/18/18	8 am - 4 px
Relinquish	h by Shannon N	/lacKay	SON	2	AE	COM	10	1/02/18	5:00p
Ana C	reived by slyzed by Called by (Email by	-cheu	Signature		Comp	any V L		012/18	Time

October 4, 2018

Nicole Gladu

AECOM-Seattle

1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Metals Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819511.00

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find the test results for samples submitted to our laboratory for analysis. Preparation of these samples was conducted following protocol outlined in EPA Method SW 846-3051 unless stated otherwise. Analysis of these samples was performed using analytical instruments in accordance with U.S. EPA, NIOSH, OSHA and other ASTM methods.

For matrix materials submitted as paint, dust wipe, soil or TCLP samples, analysis for the presence of total metals is conducted using published U.S. EPA Methods. Paint and soil results are usually expressed in mg/Kg which is equivalent to parts per million (ppm). Lead (Pb) in paint is usually expressed in mg/Kg (ppm), Percent (%) or mg/cm² by area. Dust wipe sample results are usually expressed in ug/wipe and ug/ft². TCLP samples are reported in mg/L (ppm). For air filter samples, analyses are conducted using NIOSH and OSHA Methods. Results are expressed in ug/filter and ug/m³. Other matrix materials are analyzed accordingly using published methods or specified by client. The reported test results pertain only to items tested and are not blank corrected.

For recent regulation updates pertaining to current regulatory levels or permissible exposure levels, please call your local regulatory agencies for more details.

This report is considered highly confidential and will not be released without your approval. Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. if you need further assistance please feel free to call us at 206-547-0100 or 1-888-NVLLABS.

Sincerely,

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor



4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



Analysis Report

Total Lead (Pb)

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819511.00

Matrix: Paint Method: EPA 3051/7000B

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 1 Samples Analyzed: 1

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Emergency Spill Equipment Shed

Lab ID	Client Sample #	Sample Weight (g)	RL in mg/Kg	Results in mg/Kg	Results in percent
18099992	IGDES-Pb1-01	0.0697	140	< 140	<0.014

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Yasuyuki Hida Date Analyzed: 10/04/2018 Reviewed by: Shalini Patel Date Issued: 10/04/2018

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor

mg/ Kg =Milligrams per kilogram

Percent = Milligrams per kilogram / 10000

'<' = Below the reporting Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

Note: Method QC results are acceptable unless stated otherwise.

Unless otherwise indicated, the condition of all samples was acceptable at time of receipt.

Bench Run No: 2018-1004-8

LEAD LABORATORY SERVICES



4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com

	1//		тм
- 1	Δ	R	S

Company AECOM-Seattle			NVL Batch Number 1819511.00					
Address	1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 160	00	TAT 4 Days	AH No				
	Seattle, WA 98101		Rush TAT					
Project Manager Ms. Nicole Gladu			Due Date 10/8/2018 Time 5:00 PM					
Phone	(206) 438-2700		Email nicole.gladu@aec	om.com				
Cell	(206) 240-0644		Fax (866) 495-5288					
Project Name/	Number: 60537920 Task 2	2.4 Project Locati	on: IGD Emergency Spi	I Equipment Shed				
Subcategory Fla	ame AA (FAA)							
Item Code FA	AA-02 EPA 7	000B Lead by FAA <	paint>					
Total Numb	ber of Samples1			Rush Samples				
Lab ID	Sample ID	Description			A/R			
1 18099992	IGDES-Pb1-01		·		Α			

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client				
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Yasuyuki Hida		NVL	10/4/18	
Results Called by					
☐ Faxed ☐ Emailed					
Special Instructions:		'			

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 12:13 PM

Entered By: Emily Schubert



METALS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Tin

🗀 2 Hour ☐ 4 Hours

24 Hours 🗖 4 Days

2 Days ☐ 5 Days 3 Days

□ 6-10 Days Please call for TAT less than 24 Hours

Company	AECOM			Project	Manager	Nicole Gladu			
Address	1111 3rd	d Avenue, Suite	e 1600	Cell (206) 240 - 0644					
	Seattle, WA 98101 206-438-2700				cole.gladu@a	aecom coi	n		
Phone				,		- 5288			
THORE					Fax	2007			
Project Name/N	umber 605379	20 Task 2.4 Pro	oject Location 19	DEM	ERGEN	CY SPILL	EQUII	MENT.	SHED
XTotal Metals ☐ TCLP	FAA (ppm LICP (PPM GFAA (ppb) LICVAA (ppb)	☐ Air Filter ☐ Paint Chips (cm) ☐ Drinking Water ☐ Othe <u>r</u>	XQ Paint Chips (%) Dust Wipes ☐ Waste Water	□ Soil	RCRA 8 Barium Arsenic Selenium	□ Chromium	☐ Silver ☐ E	RCRA 11 DCopper DZinc DOther	
Reporting Ins	tructions 🌉	magnepa	and and an	MRES	MS				
□ Call ()		Fax ()		KEma	ail shannor	n.mackay@	aecom.co	om
Total Num	ber of Sam	iples \							
Samp			 Description						A/R
1 KADES	5-161-01								
2									
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11 12									
13									
14									
15									-
	Print Name	7	Signature		Company		D .		
				St	Compan		Date	,	Time
Sampled by Relinquish by		MacKay/David		Same !	AEC		9/14/18	, ,	Ваш-Чри
	Shannon	МасКау	ALINO		AEC	OM	10/07/1	8	Spm
Received b Received b Analyzed b Called b Faxed/Email b	y S-WIN	Hell .	Signature		Compan	VL	Date 10	2/18	Time 1400

October 4, 2018

Nicole Gladu **AECOM-Seattle**1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Metals Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819426.00

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find the test results for samples submitted to our laboratory for analysis. Preparation of these samples was conducted following protocol outlined in EPA Method SW 846-3051 unless stated otherwise. Analysis of these samples was performed using analytical instruments in accordance with U.S. EPA, NIOSH, OSHA and other ASTM methods.

For matrix materials submitted as paint, dust wipe, soil or TCLP samples, analysis for the presence of total metals is conducted using published U.S. EPA Methods. Paint and soil results are usually expressed in mg/Kg which is equivalent to parts per million (ppm). Lead (Pb) in paint is usually expressed in mg/Kg (ppm), Percent (%) or mg/cm² by area. Dust wipe sample results are usually expressed in ug/wipe and ug/ft². TCLP samples are reported in mg/L (ppm). For air filter samples, analyses are conducted using NIOSH and OSHA Methods. Results are expressed in ug/filter and ug/m³. Other matrix materials are analyzed accordingly using published methods or specified by client. The reported test results pertain only to items tested and are not blank corrected.

For recent regulation updates pertaining to current regulatory levels or permissible exposure levels, please call your local regulatory agencies for more details.

This report is considered highly confidential and will not be released without your approval. Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. if you need further assistance please feel free to call us at 206-547-0100 or 1-888-NVLLABS.

Sincerely,

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor





4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



Analysis Report

Total Lead (Pb)

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819426.00

Matrix: Paint Method: EPA 3051/7000B

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 3

Samples Analyzed: 3

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IDG Fish Holding Facilities and Ponds

Lab ID	Client Sample #	Sample Weight (g)	RL in mg/Kg	Results in mg/Kg	Results in percent
18099568	IDGFHF-Pb1-01	0.1950	51	500	0.050
18099569	IDGFHF-Pb2-01	0.2016	50	< 50	<0.0050
18099570	IDGFHF-Pb3-01	0.1990	50	110000	11

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Yasuyuki Hida Reviewed by: Shalini Patel Date Analyzed: 10/04/2018 Date Issued: 10/04/2018

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor

mg/ Kg =Milligrams per kilogram

Percent = Milligrams per kilogram / 10000

'<' = Below the reporting Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

Note: Method QC results are acceptable unless stated otherwise.

Unless otherwise indicated, the condition of all samples was acceptable at time of receipt.

Bench Run No: 2018-1004-3

LEAD LABORATORY SERVICES

4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



	Company	AECOM-Seattle		NVL Batch Number 1819426.00				
	Address	1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 16	600	TAT 4 Days	AH No			
		Seattle, WA 98101		Rush TAT	Rush TAT			
Project Manager Ms. Nicole Gladu			Due Date 10/8/201	8 Time	5:00 PM			
	Phone	(206) 438-2700		Email nicole.gladu@a	aecom.com	1		
	Cell	(206) 240-0644		Fax (866) 495-5288				
Subca		Number: 60537920 Task ame AA (FAA) AA-02 EPA	2.4 Project Lo 7000B Lead by FA	ocation: IDG Fish Holding A <paint></paint>	g Facilities a	and Ponds		
Tot	tal Numb	per of Samples 3	——— Description			Rush Samples	A/R	
1	18099568	IDGFHF-Pb1-01					Α	
2	18099569	IDGFHF-Pb2-01					А	
3	18099570	IDGFHF-Pb3-01					А	

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client				
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell	_	NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Yasuyuki Hida		NVL	10/4/18	
Results Called by					
☐ Faxed ☐ Emailed					
Special Instructions:		'			

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 7:54 AM

Entered By: Emily Schubert



METALS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Time

☐ 2 Hour 4 Hours

2 Days 3 Days

24 Hours 省 4 Days

☐ 5 Days

山 6-10 Days Please call for TAT less than 24 Hours

Company	AECOM			Project Mai	nager Ni	cole Gladi	u		
Address	1111 3rd Av	/enue, Suite	1600	r ojece ma			0-0644		
	Seattle, WA					le.gladu@	aecom.	com	
Phone	206-438-27			Fax (206) 495 - 5288					
Project Name/N	umber 60537920	Task 2.4 Pro	ect Location IDG	FISH H	olding 1	FACILITIE	5 AN	D POND	S
Total Metals	☐ ICP (PPM ☐ GFAA (ppb) ☐	Air Filter Paint Chips (cm) Drinking Water Othe <u>r</u>	№ Paint Chips (%) Dust Wipes Waste Water		□ Arsenic □	1 Chromium 1 Mercury 1 Cadmium	Silver	RCRA 11 Copper Zinc Other	
Reporting Ins	tructions EMA	HLEBE	ALBRICE	WAY RE	Seres 5				
□ Call ()		ax ()	~	_ KEmail	shanno	n.macka	y@aecom.c	om
Гotal Num	ber of Sample	es 3							
Sampl	e ID		Description						A/R
1 IDGF1	1F-Pb1-01								
2 n	-Pb2-01								
3 11	- Pb3-01		Pond						
5									
6									1
7									
8									
9									
10									
11 12									4
13									
14									
15									
T	Print Name	1	Signature		Company		Date	· Ar	Time
Sampled by	Shannon Ma	cKay/David	Simon Sand 1	Ala	AECO	M	9/17/	18-9/18/18	8 nm - 4/
Relinquish by	Shannon Ma		AISI		AECO	M	10/0	2/18	5:00
Office Use On Received b Analyzed b Called b	y S. MI+C	hell	Signature 44	A-	Company	VL	Date	12/18	Time 1700
Faxed/Email b									

page 4 of 4

October 4, 2018

Nicole Gladu **AECOM-Seattle**1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Metals Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819503.00

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find the test results for samples submitted to our laboratory for analysis. Preparation of these samples was conducted following protocol outlined in EPA Method SW 846-3051 unless stated otherwise. Analysis of these samples was performed using analytical instruments in accordance with U.S. EPA, NIOSH, OSHA and other ASTM methods.

For matrix materials submitted as paint, dust wipe, soil or TCLP samples, analysis for the presence of total metals is conducted using published U.S. EPA Methods. Paint and soil results are usually expressed in mg/Kg which is equivalent to parts per million (ppm). Lead (Pb) in paint is usually expressed in mg/Kg (ppm), Percent (%) or mg/cm² by area. Dust wipe sample results are usually expressed in ug/wipe and ug/ft². TCLP samples are reported in mg/L (ppm). For air filter samples, analyses are conducted using NIOSH and OSHA Methods. Results are expressed in ug/filter and ug/m³. Other matrix materials are analyzed accordingly using published methods or specified by client. The reported test results pertain only to items tested and are not blank corrected.

For recent regulation updates pertaining to current regulatory levels or permissible exposure levels, please call your local regulatory agencies for more details.

This report is considered highly confidential and will not be released without your approval. Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. if you need further assistance please feel free to call us at 206-547-0100 or 1-888-NVLLABS.

Sincerely,

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor







4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



Analysis Report

Total Lead (Pb)

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Penstock Intake Structure

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819503.00

Matrix: Paint

Method: EPA 3051/7000B

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 1

Samples Analyzed: 1

 Lab ID	Client Sample #	Sample Weight (g)	RL in mg/Kg	Results in mg/Kg	Results in percent	
 18099951	IGDPIS-Ph1-01	ი 1977	51	140	0.014	

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Yasuyuki Hida Date Analyzed: 10/04/2018 Reviewed by: Shalini Patel Date Issued: 10/04/2018

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor

mg/ Kg =Milligrams per kilogram

Percent = Milligrams per kilogram / 10000

'<' = Below the reporting Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

Note: Method QC results are acceptable unless stated otherwise.

Unless otherwise indicated, the condition of all samples was acceptable at time of receipt.

Bench Run No: 2018-1004-8

LEAD LABORATORY SERVICES

4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103	LEAD EABOTATION OF	(1020		11
206.547.0100 f 206.634.1936 www.nvllabs.com			L /	4
Company AECOM-Seattle	NVL Batch Number	1819503.00		

Address 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600 Seattle, WA 98101 Project Manager Ms. Nicole Gladu			TAT 4 Days Rush TAT	AH No		
			Due Date 10/8/20	5:00 PM		
Phone (206) 438-2700		Email nicole.gladu@aecom.com Fax (866) 495-5288				
Cell (206) 240-0644						
Project Name/N	lumber: 60537920 Task 2	2.4 Project Locat	tion: IGD Penstock I	ntake Struct	ure	
Subcategory Fla	ıme AA (FAA)					
Item Code FA	, ,	000B Lead by FAA <	paint>			
		,	,			
Total Numb	er of Samples1				Rush Samples	
Lab ID	Sample ID	Description				A/R
1 18099951	IGDPIS-Pb1-01					А

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client				
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Yasuyuki Hida		NVL	10/4/18	
Results Called by					
Faxed Emailed					
Special		'	·		

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 12:03 PM

Entered By: Shaina Mitchell



METALS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Time

☐ 2 Hour 🗀 4 Hours 🗓 2 Days

24 Hours

🖺 5 Days

3 Days **山** 6-10 Days 4 Days

Please call for TAT less than 24 Hours

Company	AECOM		Project Manager	Nicole Gladu		
Address	1111 3rd Avenue,	Suite 1600	Cell (206) 240	-0644	
	Seattle, WA 9810	1	Email	nicole.gladu@a	aecom.com	
Phone	206-438-2700		Fax (206) 495	- 5288	
			n acule = 0			
	umber 60537920 Task 2			K INTAKE	STRUCTURE	
X Total Metals	FAA (ppm	Water 🗀 Waste Water	□ Soil RCRA 8 □ Barium □ Arsenic □ Selenic	: ☐ Mercury	RCRA 11 Copper Copper Circle Cother	
Reporting In:	structions BMBHUS				n.mackay@aecom.c	om
Total Nun	ber of Samples	A				
Samp	ole ID	Description				A/R
1 TGDP	IS- Pb1-01					
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7 8						+
9						
10						
11						
12		-				
13						
14						4
15						
1	Print Name	Signature	Com	ipany	Date	Time
Sampled by	Shannon MacKay/	David Simon James	1 Sin A	ECOM	4/17/18-0/18/18	8 nm - 4 p
Relinquish by	Shannon MacKay			ECOM	10/02/18	5:00pv
Office Use O Received Analyzed Called Faxed/Email	by B-Mitthell	Signature	Con	npany V V	Date 10/7/18	Time 7700

October 4, 2018

Nicole Gladu **AECOM-Seattle**1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Metals Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819536.00

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find the test results for samples submitted to our laboratory for analysis. Preparation of these samples was conducted following protocol outlined in EPA Method SW 846-3051 unless stated otherwise. Analysis of these samples was performed using analytical instruments in accordance with U.S. EPA, NIOSH, OSHA and other ASTM methods.

For matrix materials submitted as paint, dust wipe, soil or TCLP samples, analysis for the presence of total metals is conducted using published U.S. EPA Methods. Paint and soil results are usually expressed in mg/Kg which is equivalent to parts per million (ppm). Lead (Pb) in paint is usually expressed in mg/Kg (ppm), Percent (%) or mg/cm² by area. Dust wipe sample results are usually expressed in ug/wipe and ug/ft². TCLP samples are reported in mg/L (ppm). For air filter samples, analyses are conducted using NIOSH and OSHA Methods. Results are expressed in ug/filter and ug/m³. Other matrix materials are analyzed accordingly using published methods or specified by client. The reported test results pertain only to items tested and are not blank corrected.

For recent regulation updates pertaining to current regulatory levels or permissible exposure levels, please call your local regulatory agencies for more details.

This report is considered highly confidential and will not be released without your approval. Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. if you need further assistance please feel free to call us at 206-547-0100 or 1-888-NVLLABS.

Sincerely,

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor



4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



Analysis Report

Total Lead (Pb)

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Penstock

18100036

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

IGDPS-Pb2-01

Batch #: 1819536.00

Matrix: Paint

Method: EPA 3051/7000B

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

0.0060

Samples Received: 2

Samples Analyzed: 2

		Sample	RL in	Results	Results in	
Lab ID	Client Sample #	Weight (g)	mg/Kg	in mg/Kg	percent	
18100035	IGDPS-Pb1-01	0.1355	74	65000	6.5	

0.2030

49

60

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Yasuyuki Hida Reviewed by: Shalini Patel Date Analyzed: 10/04/2018 Date Issued: 10/04/2018

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor

mg/ Kg =Milligrams per kilogram

Percent = Milligrams per kilogram / 10000

'<' = Below the reporting Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

Note: Method QC results are acceptable unless stated otherwise.

Unless otherwise indicated, the condition of all samples was acceptable at time of receipt.

Bench Run No: 2018-1004-3

LEAD LABORATORY SERVICES

4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103



p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com

	Company	AECOM-Seattle		NVL Batch Number	1819536	5.00	
	Address	1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 16	00	TAT 4 Days	AH No		
	•			Rush TAT			
Projec				Due Date 10/8/20	018 Time	5:00 PM	
	Phone	(206) 438-2700		Email nicole.gladu@	@aecom.com		
	Cell	(206) 240-0644		Fax (866) 495-52	88		
Proje	ect Name/I	Number: 60537920 Task 2	2.4 Project Loca	tion: IGD Penstock			
Subca	ategory Fla	ame AA (FAA)					
lten	n Code FA	A-02 EPA 7	000B Lead by FAA	<paint></paint>			
Tot	tal Numb	per of Samples2				Rush Samples	
	Lab ID	Sample ID	Description				A/R
1	18100035	IGDPS-Pb1-01					Α
2	18100036	IGDPS-Pb2-01					Α
	· ·						

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client				
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Yasuyuki Hida		NVL	10/4/18	
Results Called by					
☐ Faxed ☐ Emailed					
Special Instructions:		'			

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 1:13 PM

Entered By: Emily Schubert



METALS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Time

🗀 2 Hour ☐ 4 Hours 24 Hours

2 Days

3 Days

🖪 4 Days

🗀 5 Days

□ 6-10 Days

Please call for TAT less than 24 Hours

	AECOM			_{ger} Nicole	Gladu		
Company		1- 1000	Project Mana	206	240-064	1	
Address	1111 3rd Avenue, Sui	te 1600	Cell				
	Seattle, WA 98101				adu@aecor		
Phone	206-438-2700	<u></u>		Fax (206)	495 - 528	8	
Project Name/Nu	ımber 60537920 Task 2.4 P	roject Location G	D PENS	Tock			
LI TCLP	Air Filter Li ICP (PPM GFAA (ppb) CVAA (ppb) CVAA (ppb) CVAA (ppb) COT Air Filter Paint Chips (cm Drinking Water CVAA (ppb)	r □ Waste Water	_	RA 8 Barium □ Chroi Arseníc □ Merc Selenium □ Cadm	ury A Lead		
	tructions EMAIL EDD				annon mad	ckay@aecom	com
Call \)	□ Fax ()		¥Email Sh	iaimon,mac	,kay@aecom	.COM
Total Num	ber of Samples	Description					A/R
1 Tapp	5-Pb1-01						
	5-962-01						
3	, , , , , ,						
4							
5							
6							
7							
8		1					
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15					=		
1	Print Name	Signature	Sty	Company		Date	Time
Sampled by	Shannon MacKay/Day	id Simon Sands	1 Sim	AECOM	a	14/18	Ваш-Чри
Relinquish by	Shannon MacKay	Stim		AECOM	10	100/18	5:00pm
Office Use On Received b Analyzed b Called b Faxed/Email b	y SMI FOUN	Signature	AL	Company L		Date 10/7/11	3 1700

October 5, 2018

Nicole Gladu **AECOM-Seattle**1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Metals Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819427.00

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find the test results for samples submitted to our laboratory for analysis. Preparation of these samples was conducted following protocol outlined in EPA Method SW 846-3051 unless stated otherwise. Analysis of these samples was performed using analytical instruments in accordance with U.S. EPA, NIOSH, OSHA and other ASTM methods.

For matrix materials submitted as paint, dust wipe, soil or TCLP samples, analysis for the presence of total metals is conducted using published U.S. EPA Methods. Paint and soil results are usually expressed in mg/Kg which is equivalent to parts per million (ppm). Lead (Pb) in paint is usually expressed in mg/Kg (ppm), Percent (%) or mg/cm² by area. Dust wipe sample results are usually expressed in ug/wipe and ug/ft². TCLP samples are reported in mg/L (ppm). For air filter samples, analyses are conducted using NIOSH and OSHA Methods. Results are expressed in ug/filter and ug/m³. Other matrix materials are analyzed accordingly using published methods or specified by client. The reported test results pertain only to items tested and are not blank corrected.

For recent regulation updates pertaining to current regulatory levels or permissible exposure levels, please call your local regulatory agencies for more details.

This report is considered highly confidential and will not be released without your approval. Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. if you need further assistance please feel free to call us at 206-547-0100 or 1-888-NVLLABS.

Sincerely,

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor



4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



Analysis Report

Total Lead (Pb)

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819427.00

Matrix: Paint

Method: EPA 3051/7000B

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 5 Samples Analyzed: 5

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu
Project Location: IGD Powerhouse

Lab ID	Client Sample #	Sample Weight (g)	RL in mg/Kg	Results in mg/Kg	Results in percent	
18099571	IGDPH-Pb1-01	0.1436	70	83000	8.3	
18099572	IGDPH-Pb2-01	0.1590	63	980	0.098	
18099573	IGDPH-Pb3-01	0.1602	62	7200	0.72	
18099574	IGDPH-Pb4-01	0.1754	57	860	0.086	
18099575	IGDPH-Pb5-01	0.0095	530	150000	15	

Comments: Small sample size (<0.05g) for IGDPH-Pb5-01.

Sampled by: Client

Analyzed by: Yasuyuki Hida Date Analyzed: 10/05/2018
Reviewed by: Shalini Patel Date Issued: 10/05/2018

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor

mg/ Kg =Milligrams per kilogram

Percent = Milligrams per kilogram / 10000

'<' = Below the reporting Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

Note: Method QC results are acceptable unless stated otherwise.

Unless otherwise indicated, the condition of all samples was acceptable at time of receipt.

Bench Run No: 2018-1005-3

LEAD LABORATORY SERVICES

4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



NVL Batch Number 1819427.00 Company AECOM-Seattle Address 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600 TAT 4 Days AH No Seattle, WA 98101 Rush TAT **Due Date** 10/8/2018 Time 5:00 PM Project Manager Ms. Nicole Gladu Phone (206) 438-2700 Email nicole.gladu@aecom.com Cell (206) 240-0644 (866) 495-5288 Fax

Pr	Project Name/Number: 60537920 Task 2.4 Project Location: IGD Powerhouse							
Sul	bcategory Flar	me AA (FAA)						
Item Code FAA-02 EP			EPA 7000B Lead by FAA <paint></paint>					
7	Γotal Numbe	er of Samples	5	Rush Samples				
	Lab ID	Sample ID	Description	A/R				
	1 18000571	ICDPH_Ph1_01		Δ				

_	Lab ID	Sample ID	Description	A/R
1	18099571	IGDPH-Pb1-01		Α
2	18099572	IGDPH-Pb2-01		Α
3	18099573	IGDPH-Pb3-01		Α
4	18099574	IGDPH-Pb4-01		Α
5	18099575	IGDPH-Pb5-01		Α

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Sampled by	Client	_			
Relinquished by	Client				
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700
Analyzed by	Yasuyuki Hida		NVL	10/5/18	
Results Called by					
Faxed Emailed					
Special		'	·		

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 7:56 AM

Entered By: Emily Schubert



METALS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Time

🔾 2 Hour 4 Hours 2 Days 3 Days

24 Hours △ 4 Days

🗆 5 Days

山 6-10 Days

Please call for TAT less than 24 Hours

Company	AECOM		Project Manager	Nicole Gladu		
Address	1111 3rd Avenue, Su	ite 1600	Cell (206) 240 - (0644	
, , , , ,	Seattle, WA 98101			icole.gladu@ae	com.com	
Phone	206-438-2700		Fax (206) 495 - 5288			
Project Name/Nu	umber 60537920 Task 2.4	Project Location 6	D POWERHO	USF.		
Total Metals	XFAA (ppm Air Filter Paint Chips (compared to the point of the point o	X Paint Chips (%) m) Dust Wipes	□ Soil RCRA 8 □ Barium □ Arsenic □ Selenium	□ Chromium □	RCRA 11 Silver Copper Lead Zinc Cother	
Reporting Inst	ructions <u>EMEMUEO</u>)	DALANGW	METH BESULT	- la	mackay@aecom.c	om
Total Num	ber of Samples	Description				A/R
	1-861-01	Description				A/K
2 K -	P62-01					-
3 K -	Pb3-01					+
4 11 -	Pb4-01					
5 11 -	P65-01					
6	10701					
7						-
8						1
9		-1				
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
-	Print Name	Signature	Comp		Date	Time
Sampled by	Shannon MacKay/Da	vid Simon Jam	AE AE	COM	7/17/18-9/18/18	8am 4p
Relinquish by	Shannon MacKay	Som	AE	СОМ	10/02/18	5pm
Office Use On Received b Analyzed b Called b Faxed/Email b	Print, Namer + Chok	Signature 4	Comp		Date 10/2/18	Time

October 5, 2018

Nicole Gladu

AECOM-Seattle

1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101



RE: Metals Analysis; NVL Batch # 1819508.00

Dear Ms. Gladu,

Enclosed please find the test results for samples submitted to our laboratory for analysis. Preparation of these samples was conducted following protocol outlined in EPA Method SW 846-3051 unless stated otherwise. Analysis of these samples was performed using analytical instruments in accordance with U.S. EPA, NIOSH, OSHA and other ASTM methods.

For matrix materials submitted as paint, dust wipe, soil or TCLP samples, analysis for the presence of total metals is conducted using published U.S. EPA Methods. Paint and soil results are usually expressed in mg/Kg which is equivalent to parts per million (ppm). Lead (Pb) in paint is usually expressed in mg/Kg (ppm), Percent (%) or mg/cm² by area. Dust wipe sample results are usually expressed in ug/wipe and ug/ft². TCLP samples are reported in mg/L (ppm). For air filter samples, analyses are conducted using NIOSH and OSHA Methods. Results are expressed in ug/filter and ug/m³. Other matrix materials are analyzed accordingly using published methods or specified by client. The reported test results pertain only to items tested and are not blank corrected.

For recent regulation updates pertaining to current regulatory levels or permissible exposure levels, please call your local regulatory agencies for more details.

This report is considered highly confidential and will not be released without your approval. Samples are archived for two weeks following analysis. Samples that are not retrieved by the client are discarded after two weeks.

Thank you for using our laboratory services. if you need further assistance please feel free to call us at 206-547-0100 or 1-888-NVLLABS.

Sincerely,

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor





4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com



Analysis Report

Total Lead (Pb)

Client: AECOM-Seattle

Attention: Ms. Nicole Gladu

Project Location: IGD Spillway

Address: 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600

Seattle, WA 98101

Batch #: 1819508.00

Matrix: Paint

Method: EPA 3051/7000B

Client Project #: 60537920 Task 2.4

Date Received: 10/2/2018

Samples Received: 1

Samples Analyzed: 1

Lab ID	Client Sample #	Sample Weight (g)	RL in mg/Kg	Results in mg/Kg	Results in percent	
18099986	IGDSW-Pb1-01	0.0880	110	< 110	< 0.011	

Sampled by: Client

Date Analyzed: 10/05/2018 Analyzed by: Yasuyuki Hida Reviewed by: Shalini Patel Date Issued: 10/05/2018

Shalini Patel, Lab Supervisor

mg/ Kg =Milligrams per kilogram

Percent = Milligrams per kilogram / 10000

'<' = Below the reporting Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

Note: Method QC results are acceptable unless stated otherwise.

Unless otherwise indicated, the condition of all samples was acceptable at time of receipt.

Bench Run No: 2018-1004-13

LEAD LABORATORY SERVICES



4708 Aurora Ave N, Seattle, WA 98103

p 206.547.0100 | f 206.634.1936 | www.nvllabs.com

Company		AECOM-Seattle		NVL Batch Number	.00		
Address 1111 3rd Avenue Ste. 1600		1600	TAT 4 Days		AH No		
	Seattle, WA 98101			Rush TAT			
•		Ms. Nicole Gladu		Due Date 10/8/2018 Time		5:00 PM	
		(206) 438-2700		Email nicole.gladu@aecom.com			
	Cell	(206) 240-0644		Fax (866) 495-5288			
Р	roject Name/	Number: 60537920 Tas	k 2.4 Project Loc	ation: IGD Spillway			
Sι	ubcategory Fla	ame AA (FAA)					
	Item Code EA	AA-02 EPA	7000B Lead by FAA	<paint></paint>			
,	Total Numl	ber of Samples	1			Rush Samples	
	Lab ID	Sample ID	Description				A/R
	1 18099986	IGDSW-Pb1-01					Α

	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time		
Sampled by	Client						
Relinquished by	Client						
Office Use Only	Print Name	Signature	Company	Date	Time		
Received by	Shaina Mitchell		NVL	10/2/18	1700		
Analyzed by	Yasuyuki Hida		NVL	10/5/18			
Results Called by							
☐ Faxed ☐ Emailed							
Special Instructions:							

Date: 10/3/2018 Time: 12:11 PM

Entered By: Shaina Mitchell



METALS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Turn Around Tin

🗀 2 Hour 🗀 4 Hours

🗀 2 Days

3 Days

🗀 24 Hours 🗷 4 Days

5 Days ☐ 6-10 Days Please call for TAT less than 24 Hours

Company	1111 3rd Avenue, Suite 1600 Seattle, WA 98101		Project Manager Cell (206) 240 - 0644 Email nicole.gladu@aecom.com Fax (206) 495 - 5288				
Address							
Phone							
Project Name/N	umber 60537920 Task 2.4	Project Location	19D S	PILLWA	/		
Total Metals	¥ FAA (ppm ☐ Air Filter	XQ Paint Chips (%)		CRA 8		l RCRA 11	
LI TCLP	☐ ICP (PPM ☐ Paint Chips (cm) Dust Wipes ☐ GFAA (ppb) ☐ Drinking Water ☐ Waste Water ☐ CVAA (ppb) ☐ Other		☐ Barium ☐ Chromium ☐ Silver ☐ Copper☐ Arsenic ☐ Mercury ☐ Lead ☐ Zinc				
			☐ Selenium ☐ Cadmium ☐ ☐ Other			□ Other	
Reporting Ins	structions EMATURED	DA HONG TO	HA BES	HITS			
Call () =	□ Fax ()	3	Email	shannon.m	ackay@aecom.c	om
Total Num	ber of Samples						
Samp	le ID	Description					A/R
1 IGDS	W-P61-01						
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							4
7							
9							
10							-
11							
12							+
13							_
14							
15							
	Print Name	Signature	7.7	Company		Date	Time
Sampled by	Shannon MacKay/David Simon Saud		AECOM		M 5	9/17-9/18/18	Sam-4pi
Relinquish by	Shannon MacKay	Ath		AECO	М	10/02/15	5:00 pm
Office Use Or Received Analyzed Called Faxed/Email	Print Name Che ((Signature	7	Company	VVL	Date /2/18	Time 1700



3600 Fremont Ave. N. Seattle, WA 98103 T: (206) 352-3790 F: (206) 352-7178 info@fremontanalytical.com

AECOM

Nicole Gladu 1111 3rd Avenue Suite 1600 Seattle, WA 98101

RE: Iron Gate Dam

Work Order Number: 1810400

October 31, 2018

Attention Nicole Gladu:

Fremont Analytical, Inc. received 1 sample(s) on 10/24/2018 for the analyses presented in the following report.

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) by EPA 8270 (GCMS)

This report consists of the following:

- Case Narrative
- Analytical Results
- Applicable Quality Control Summary Reports
- Chain of Custody

All analyses were performed consistent with the Quality Assurance program of Fremont Analytical, Inc. Please contact the laboratory if you should have any questions about the results.

Thank you for using Fremont Analytical.

Sincerely,

Mike Ridgeway

Laboratory Director

CC:

Shannon Mackay



Date: 10/31/2018

CLIENT: AECOM Work Order Sample Summary

Project: Iron Gate Dam **Work Order:** 1810400

Lab Sample ID Client Sample ID Date/Time Collected Date/Time Received

1810400-001 IGDPH-PCB1-01 09/17/2018 11:00 AM 10/24/2018 4:40 PM



Case Narrative

WO#: **1810400**Date: **10/31/2018**

CLIENT: AECOM

Project: Iron Gate Dam

WorkOrder Narrative:

I. SAMPLE RECEIPT:

Samples receipt information is recorded on the attached Sample Receipt Checklist.

II. GENERAL REPORTING COMMENTS:

Results are reported on a wet weight basis unless dry-weight correction is denoted in the units field on the analytical report ("mg/kg-dry" or "ug/kg-dry").

The validity of the analytical procedures for which data is reported in this analytical report is determined by the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) and the Method Blank (MB). The LCS and the MB are processed with the samples to ensure method criteria are achieved throughout the entire analytical process.

III. ANALYSES AND EXCEPTIONS:

Exceptions associated with this report will be footnoted in the analytical results page(s) or the quality control summary page(s) and/or noted below.



Qualifiers & Acronyms

WO#: **1810400**

Date Reported: 10/31/2018

Qualifiers:

- * Flagged value is not within established control limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- D Dilution was required
- E Value above quantitation range
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- I Analyte with an internal standard that does not meet established acceptance criteria
- J Analyte detected below Reporting Limit
- N Tentatively Identified Compound (TIC)
- Q Analyte with an initial or continuing calibration that does not meet established acceptance criteria (<20%RSD, <20% Drift or minimum RRF)
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit
- R High relative percent difference observed

Acronyms:

%Rec - Percent Recovery

CCB - Continued Calibration Blank

CCV - Continued Calibration Verification

DF - Dilution Factor

HEM - Hexane Extractable Material

ICV - Initial Calibration Verification

LCS/LCSD - Laboratory Control Sample / Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

MB or MBLANK - Method Blank

MDL - Method Detection Limit

MS/MSD - Matrix Spike / Matrix Spike Duplicate

PDS - Post Digestion Spike

Ref Val - Reference Value

RL - Reporting Limit

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

SD - Serial Dilution

SGT - Silica Gel Treatment

SPK - Spike

Surr - Surrogate



Analytical Report

Work Order: **1810400**Date Reported: **10/31/2018**

Client: AECOM Collection Date: 9/17/2018 11:00:00 AM

Project: Iron Gate Dam


Lab ID: 1810400-001 **Matrix:** Product

Client Sample ID: IGDPH-PCB1-01

Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
y EPA 8270	(GCMS)		Batcl	n ID: 22	421 Analyst: IH
ND	1.02		mg/Kg	1	10/31/2018 1:02:25 PM
ND	1.02		mg/Kg	1	10/31/2018 1:02:25 PM
ND	1.02		mg/Kg	1	10/31/2018 1:02:25 PM
ND	1.02		mg/Kg	1	10/31/2018 1:02:25 PM
ND	1.02		mg/Kg	1	10/31/2018 1:02:25 PM
ND	1.02		mg/Kg	1	10/31/2018 1:02:25 PM
ND	1.02		mg/Kg	1	10/31/2018 1:02:25 PM
ND	1.02		mg/Kg	1	10/31/2018 1:02:25 PM
ND	1.02		mg/Kg	1	10/31/2018 1:02:25 PM
ND	1.02		mg/Kg	1	10/31/2018 1:02:25 PM
194	20 - 191	S	%Rec	1	10/31/2018 1:02:25 PM
103	20 - 173		%Rec	1	10/31/2018 1:02:25 PM
	ND N	ND 1.02	ND 1.02	ND 1.02 mg/Kg 194 20 - 191 S %Rec	ND 1.02 mg/Kg 1 ND 1.02 Ng/Kg 1 ND 1.

NOTES:

 $S - Outlying \ spike \ recovery \ observed \ (high \ bias). \ Samples \ are \ non-detect \ for \ this \ analyte; \ no \ further \ action \ required.$





Work Order: 1810400

QC SUMMARY REPORT

AECOM CLIENT:

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) by EPA 8270 (GCMS)

Sample ID MB-22421	SampType: MBLK			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Date	e: 10/26/2 0	018	RunNo: 472	290	
Client ID: MBLKS	Batch ID: 22421			3 3		Analysis Date			SeqNo: 920		
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC			RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aroclor 1016	ND	0.100									
Aroclor 1221	ND	0.100									
Aroclor 1232	ND	0.100									
Aroclor 1242	ND	0.100									
Aroclor 1248	ND	0.100									
Aroclor 1254	ND	0.100									
Aroclor 1260	ND	0.100									
Aroclor 1262	ND	0.100									
Aroclor 1268	ND	0.100									
Surr: Decachlorobiphenyl	0.0488		0.05000		97.7	20	191				
Surr: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	0.0562		0.05000		112	20	173				
Sample ID LCS1-22421	SampType: LCS			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Date	e: 10/26/2 0	D18	RunNo: 472	290	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 22421					Analysis Date	e: 10/31/2 0	018	SeqNo: 920	925	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aroclor 1016	0.954	0.100	1.000	0	95.4	38.4	155				
Aroclor 1260	1.05	0.100	1.000	0	105	42.8	168				
Surr: Decachlorobiphenyl	0.0540		0.05000		108	20	191				
Surr: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	0.0510		0.05000		102	20	173				
Sample ID LCS1D-22421	SampType: LCSD			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Date	e: 10/26/2 0	D18	RunNo: 472	290	
Client ID: LCSS02	Batch ID: 22421					Analysis Date	e: 10/31/2 0	018	SeqNo: 920	926	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qua
Aroclor 1016	1.20	0.100	1.000	0	120	38.4	155	0.9542	22.8	30	
Aroclor 1260	1.27	0.100	1.000	0	127	42.8	168	1.047	19.0	30	
Surr: Decachlorobiphenyl	0.0511		0.05000		102	20	191		0		
			0.05000		113	20	173		0		

Page 6 of 9 Original

Date: 10/31/2018



1810400 Work Order:

QC SUMMARY REPORT

AECOM **CLIENT:**

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) by EPA 8270 (GCMS)

Project: Iron Gate	: Iron Gate Dam			Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) by EPA 8270 (G						GCMS)	
Sample ID LCS2-22421	SampType: LCS			Units: mg/Kg		Prep Da	te: 10/26/2	2018	RunNo: 47	290	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 22421					Analysis Da	te: 10/31/2	2018	SeqNo: 92	0962	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aroclor 1254	1.14	0.100	1.000	0	114	40.9	164				
Surr: Decachlorobiphenyl	0.0509		0.05000		102	20	191				
Surr: Tetrachloro-m-xylene	0.0459		0.05000		91.8	20	173				

Page 7 of 9 Original



Sample Log-In Check List

С	lient Name:	URS		Work Order Numb	er: 1810400			
Lo	ogged by:	Clare Griggs		Date Received:	10/24/201	8 4:40:00 PM		
Cha	in of Custo	ody						
		ustody complete?		Yes 🗸	No 🗌	Not Present		
2.	How was the	sample delivered?		<u>Client</u>				
<u>Log In</u>								
_	Coolers are p	resent?		Yes	No 🗸	NA 🗆		
٥.	осолого ало р			Product Sample				
4.	Shipping conf	tainer/cooler in good condition	?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
5.		s present on shipping contain ments for Custody Seals not		Yes	No 🗌	Not Required ✓		
6.	Was an atten	npt made to cool the samples	?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹		
7.	Were all item	s received at a temperature o	f >0°C to 10.0°C*	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹		
8.	Sample(s) in	proper container(s)?		Yes 🗸	No \square			
9.	Sufficient san	nple volume for indicated test	(s)?	Yes 🗸	No 🗌			
10.	Are samples	properly preserved?		Yes 🗸	No 🗌			
11.	Was preserva	ative added to bottles?		Yes	No 🗸	NA \square		
12.	Is there head	space in the VOA vials?		Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗸		
13.	Did all sample	es containers arrive in good c	ondition(unbroken)?	Yes 🗸	No 🗌			
14.	Does paperw	ork match bottle labels?		Yes 🗸	No 🗌			
15.	Are matrices	correctly identified on Chain o	f Custody?	Yes 🗸	No 🗌			
16.	Is it clear wha	at analyses were requested?		Yes 🗸	No 🗌			
17.	Were all hold	ing times able to be met?		Yes 🗸	No 🗌			
Spe	cial Handli	ing (if applicable)						
		otified of all discrepancies with	this order?	Yes	No 🗆	NA 🗹		
	Person	Notified:	Dat	е				
	By Who	m:	Via	: eMail Pho	one Fax [In Person		
	Regardi	ng:						
	Client In	structions:						
19.	Additional rer	narks:						
ltem	<u>Information</u>							
		Item #	Temp °C					
	Sample		22.6					

^{*} Note: DoD/ELAP and TNI require items to be received at 4°C +/- 2°C

Page 9 of 9

COC 1.2 - 2.22.17

Same Day



APPENDIX D PERSONNEL AND LABORATORY CERTIFICATIONS







Certification No. 192-0005

Expires on 06/24/19

This certification was used to the Division of Occupational Sun to and the allhow authorized by Sections 7 to 15 feet at the Business and Performance Certifications (Certification Certification Certificati Professions Code.

Certificate Of Completion

Asbestos Building Inspector Refresher Course

DOSH #:CA-015-06

Shannon MacKay

ABIR0115190004N18965

David Wallach

Principal Instructor

1/15/2019

Course Start Date

1/15/2019

Course End Date

Michael W. Home

Michael W. Horner

Training Director

1/15/2019

Exam Date

1/15/2020

Expiration Date

This course satisfies the education requirements for Asbestos accreditation under the Toxic Substances Control Act, Title II. This course has been approved by the Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Occupational Safety and Health of the State of California

NATEC International, Inc.

National Association of Training and Environmental Consulting

1100 Technology Circle-Suite A, Anaheim, CA 92805 • www.natecintl.com • 800-969-3228



Important Industry Contacts

CAL -OSHA:

Ph# (916) 574-2993 (916) 483-0572 Fax Notification Web: www.dir.ca.gov or calosha.com

CDPH/CLPPB:Ph# (510) 620-5600

Web: www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/CLPPB

Ph# (909) 396-3739 SCAQMD:

Fax#(909) 396-3342

Ph# (415) 749-4762 **BAAQMD:**

NATEC International, Inc.

National Association of Training and Environmental Consulting

Anaheim, CA . Dakland, CA . Fresno, CA . Sacramento, CA

Asbestos • Lead • Mold • HAZWOPER

P.O. Box 25205 Anaheim, CA 92825-5205 (714) 678-2750, (800) 969-3228, Fax (714) 678-2757

www.natecintl.com

NATEC International, Inc.

National Association of Training and Environmental Consulting

This Card Acknowledges That Shannon MacKay

Holds Training Certification For Asbestos Building Inspector Refresher Course

Expiration: 01/15/2020

Certificate No. ABIR0115190004N18965

Michael W. Horner Training Director



This is to certify that

Shannon R. MacKay

has satisfactorily completed 4 hours of refresher training as an

AHERA Building Inspector

to comply with the training requirements of TSCA Title II, 40 CFR 763 (AHERA)

EPA Provider # 1085

167196 Certificate Number



May 2, 2018

Date(s) of Training

Expires in 1 year.

Exam Score: If appropriate:

Instructor

ARGUS PACIFIC, INC / 1900 WEST NICKERSON ST, SUITE 315 / SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98119 / 206.285.3373 / ARGUSPACIFIC.COM







ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAM

CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ACCREDITATION

Is hereby granted to

NVL Laboratory

4708 Aurora Avenue North
Seattle, WA 98103

Scope of the certificate is limited to the "Fields of Testing" which accompany this Certificate.

Continued accredited status depends on successful completion of on-site inspection, proficiency testing studies, and payment of applicable fees.

This Certificate is granted in accordance with provisions of Section 100825, et seq. of the Health and Safety Code.

Certificate No.: 2757

Expiration Date: 9/30/2019

Effective Date: 10/1/2018

Sacramento, California subject to forfeiture or revocation

Christine Sotelo, Chief

Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program



CALIFORNIA STATE ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAM Accredited Fields of Testing



NVL Laboratories, Inc.

PLM Dept.

4708 Aurora Avenue North

Seattle, WA 98103

Phone: (206) 547-0100

Certificate No.

2757

Expiration Date 9/30/2019

Field of Testing: 121 - Bulk Asbestos Analysis of Hazardous Waste

121.010 001

Bulk Asbestos

EPA 600/M4-82-020

United States Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology



Certificate of Accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025:2005

NVLAP LAB CODE: 102063-0

NVL Laboratories, Inc.

Seattle, WA

is accredited by the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program for specific services, listed on the Scope of Accreditation, for:

Asbestos Fiber Analysis

This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognized International Standard ISO/IEC 17025:2005.

This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (refer to joint ISO-ILAC-IAF Communique dated January 2009).

2018-10-01 through 2019-09-30

Effective Dates



For the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program



AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC

acknowledges that

NVL Laboratories, Inc.

4708 Aurora Avenue N., Seattle, WA 98103

Laboratory ID: 101861

along with all premises from which key activities are performed, as listed above, has fulfilled the requirements of the AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs (AIHA-LAP), LLC accreditation to the ISO/IEC 17025:2005 international standard, *General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories* in the following:

LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAMS

- ✓ INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE
- ✓ ENVIRONMENTAL LEAD
- ✓ ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY
- □ FOOD
- ✓ UNIQUE SCOPES

Accreditation Expires: June 01, 2019

Accreditation Expires: June 01, 2019

Accreditation Expires: June 01, 2019

Accreditation Expires:

Accreditation Expires: June 01, 2019

Specific Field(s) of Testing (FoT)/Method(s) within each Accreditation Program for which the above named laboratory maintains accreditation is outlined on the attached **Scope of Accreditation**. Continued accreditation is contingent upon successful on-going compliance with ISO/IEC 17025:2005 and AIHA-LAP, LLC requirements. This certificate is not valid without the attached **Scope of Accreditation**. Please review the AIHA-LAP, LLC website (www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org) for the most current Scope.

Um marke

William Walsh, CIH
Chairperson, Analytical Accreditation Board

Cheryl O. Morton

Managing Director, AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC

Revision 15: 03/30/2016

Date Issued: 05/31/2017



Laboratory ID: **101861**

Issue Date: 05/31/2017

NVL Laboratories, Inc.

4708 Aurora Avenue N., Seattle, WA 98103

The laboratory is approved for those specific field(s) of testing/methods listed in the table below. Clients are urged to verify the laboratory's current accreditation status for the particular field(s) of testing/Methods, since these can change due to proficiency status, suspension and/or withdrawal of accreditation.

Industrial Hygiene Laboratory Accreditation Program (IHLAP)

Initial Accreditation Date: 04/01/1997

IHLAP Scope Category	Field of Testing (FoT) (FoTs cover all relevant IH matrices)	Technology sub-type/ Detector	Published Reference Method/Title of In- house Method	Method Description or Analyte (for internal methods only)
	Inductively-Coupled	ICP/AES	EPA 3051	
Spectrometry Core	Plasma	ICI/ALS	NIOSH 7300 Modified	
	X-ray Diffraction (XRD)		NIOSH 7500	
Asbestos/Fiber Microscopy Core	Phase Contrast Microscopy (PCM)		NIOSH 7400	
Miscellaneous Core	Gravimetric		NIOSH 0500 Modified	
Miscenaneous Core	Gravimetric		NIOSH 0600 Modified	

A complete listing of currently accredited Industrial Hygiene laboratories is available on the AIHA-LAP, LLC website at: http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org

Effective: 04/10/2015

101861_Scope_IHLAP_2017_05_31



NVL Laboratories, Inc.

4708 Aurora Avenue N., Seattle, WA 98103

Laboratory ID: **101861**Issue Date: 05/31/2017

The laboratory is approved for those specific field(s) of testing/methods listed in the table below. Clients are urged to verify the laboratory's current accreditation status for the particular field(s) of testing/Methods, since these can change due to proficiency status, suspension and/or withdrawal of accreditation.

The EPA recognizes the AIHA-LAP, LLC ELLAP program as meeting the requirements of the National Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program (NLLAP) established under Title X of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992 and includes paint, soil and dust wipe analysis. Air and composited wipes analyses are not included as part of the NLLAP.

Environmental Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELLAP)

Initial Accreditation Date: 02/07/1997

Field of Testing (FoT)	Technology sub-type/ Detector	Method	Method Description (for internal methods only)
Paint		EPA SW-846 3051	
Fami		EPA SW-846 7000B	
Soil		EPA SW-846 3051	
Son		EPA SW-846 7000B	
Sottled Dust by Wine		EPA SW-846 3051	
Settled Dust by Wipe		EPA SW-846 7000B	
Airborne Dust		EPA SW-846 3051	
All borne Dust		NIOSH 7082	

A complete listing of currently accredited Environmental Lead laboratories is available on the AIHA-LAP, LLC website at: http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org

Effective: 10/14/2016 Scope_ELLAP_R7



NVL Laboratories, Inc.

4708 Aurora Avenue N., Seattle, WA 98103

Laboratory ID: **101861**Issue Date: 05/31/2017

The laboratory is approved for those specific field(s) of testing/methods listed in the table below. Clients are urged to verify the laboratory's current accreditation status for the particular field(s) of testing/Methods, since these can change due to proficiency status, suspension and/or withdrawal of accreditation.

Environmental Microbiology Laboratory Accreditation Program (EMLAP)

Initial Accreditation Date: 02/01/1997

EMLAP Category	Field of Testing (FoT)	Method	Method Description (for internal methods only)
	Air - Direct Examination	SOP 12.133	In-House: Analysis of Spore Trap
Fungal	Bulk - Direct Examination	SOP 12.133	In-House: Bulk Analysis
	Surface - Direct Examination	SOP 12.133	In-House: Surface Analysis

A complete listing of currently accredited Environmental Microbiology laboratories is available on the AIHA-LAP, LLC website at: http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org

Effective: 03/12/2013

101861_Scope_EMLAP_2017_05_31



NVL Laboratories, Inc.

4708 Aurora Avenue N., Seattle, WA 98103

Laboratory ID: **101861**Issue Date: 05/31/2017

The laboratory is approved for those specific field(s) of testing/methods listed in the table below. Clients are urged to verify the laboratory's current accreditation status for the particular field(s) of testing/Methods, since these can change due to proficiency status, suspension and/or withdrawal of accreditation.

Unique Scopes Laboratory Accreditation Program (Unique Scopes)

Initial Accreditation Date: 04/01/2013

Unique Scope Category	Field of Testing (FoT)	Method	Method Description (for internal methods only)
	Lead in Paint and Other Similar Surface Coatings	CPSC-CH-E1003-09.1	
Consumer Product Testing	Total Lead in Metal Children's Products	CPSC-CH-E1001-08.2	
	Total Lead in Non-Metal Children's Products	CPSC-CH-E1002-08.1	

A complete listing of currently accredited Unique Scope laboratories is available on the AIHA-LAP, LLC website at: http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org

Effective: 08/29/2014 Scope_UniqueScopes_R1



BTATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARDS

CALIFORNIA STATE



ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAM

CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION

Is hereby granted to

EMSL Analytical Inc.

200 Route 130 North

Cinnaminson, NJ 08077

Scope of the certificate is limited to the "Fields of Testing" which accompany this Certificate.

Continued accredited status depends on successful completion of on-site inspection, proficiency testing studies, and payment of applicable fees.

This Certificate is granted in accordance with provisions of Section 100825, et seq. of the Health and Safety Code.

Certificate No.: 1877

Expiration Date: 3/31/2017

Effective Date: 4/1/2015

Sacramento, California subject to forfeiture or revocation

Christine Sotelo, Chief

Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program



CALIFORNIA STATE ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAM Accredited Fields of Testing



EMSL Analytical Inc.

200 Route 130 North Cinnaminson, NJ 08077 Phone: (800) 220-3675

Certificate No. **Expiration Date**

1877 3/31/2017

	T	g: 102 - Inorganic Chemistry of Drin	
102.030		Bromide	EPA 300.0
102.030		Chloride	EPA 300.0
102.030		Fluoride	EPA 300.0
102.030	006	Nitrate	EPA 300.0
102.030	007	Nitrite	EPA 300.0
102.030	008	Phosphate, Ortho	EPA 300.0
102.030	009	Sulfate	EPA 300.0
102.100	001	Alkalinity	SM2320B
102.130	001	Conductivity	SM2510B
102.140	001	Total Dissolved Solids	SM2540C
102.175	001	Chlorine, Free and Total	SM4500-CI G
102.190	001	Cyanide, Total	SM4500-CN E
102.192	001	Cyanide, amenable	SM4500-CN G
102.262	001	Total Organic Carbon TOC	SM5310C
102.270	001	Surfactants	SM5540C
102.520	001	Calcium	EPA 200.7
102.520	002	Magnesium	EPA 200.7
102.520	003	Potassium	EPA 200.7
102.520	004	Silica	EPA 200.7
102.520	005	Sodium	EPA 200.7
02.520	006	Hardness (calculation)	EPA 200.7
ield of	Testing	g: 103 - Toxic Chemical Elements of	Drinking Water
103.030		Mercury	SM3112B
103.060	001	Aluminum	SM3120B
103.060	003	Barium	SM3120B
103.060	007	Chromium	SM3120B
103.060	009	Iron	SM3120B
03.060	011	Manganese	SM3120B
03.060	015	Silver	SM3120B
03.060	017	Zinc	SM3120B
03.130	007	Chromium	EPA 200.7
	008	Copper	EPA 200.7
03.130	009	Iron	EPA 200.7
	011	Manganese	LFA 200.7
-	015	Silver	EPA 200.7
	017	Zinc	EPA 200.7
	001		EPA 200.7
		Aluminum	EPA 200.8
03.140	002	Antimony	EPA 200.8

Certificate No 1877 Expiration Date 3/31/2017

				Expirati	on Date 3/31/2017
	103.140	003	Arsenic	EPA 200.8	
	103.140	004	Barium	EPA 200.8	
	103.140	005	Beryllium	EPA 200.8	
	103.140	006	Cadmium	EPA 200.8	
	103.140	007	Chromium	EPA 200.8	11 11
	103.140	008	Copper	EPA 200.8	
	103,140	009	Lead	EPA 200.8	
	103.140	010	Manganese	EPA 200.8	
	103.140		Nickel	EPA 200.8	
	103.140	013	Selenium	EPA 200.8	
	103.140	014	Silver	EPA 200.8	
	103.140	015	Thallium	EPA 200.8	
	103.140	016	Zinc	EPA 200.8	
	103.150	009	Lead	EPA 200.9	<u> </u>
	103.160	001	Mercury	EPA 245.1	<u> </u>
	103.300 103.301	001	Asbestos	EPA 100.1	
		001	Asbestos	EPA 100.2	<u> </u>
-		· .	g: 104 - Volatile Organic Chemistry of Drinking V		
	104.040	000	Volatile Organic Compounds	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	001	Benzene	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	007	n-Butylbenzene	EPA 524,2	<u> 18 - 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 </u>
	104.040	800	sec-Butylbenzene	EPA 524.2	<u> </u>
	1 <u>04.040</u> 1 <u>04.040</u>	009	tert-Butylbenzene	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	010	Carbon Tetrachloride Chlorobenzene	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	015	2-Chlorotoluene	EPA 524.2	<u> </u>
٠.	104.040	016	4-Chlorotoluene	EPA 524.2	<u> </u>
	104.040	019	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 524.2 EPA 524.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		020	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	021	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	022	Dichlorodifluoromethane	EPA 524.2	
		023	1,1-Dichloroethane	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	024	1,2-Dichloroethane	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	025	1,1-Dichloroethene	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	026	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	027	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	028	Dichloromethane	EPA 524.2	<u> </u>
٠.	104.040	029	1,2-Dichloropropane	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	033	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	034	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	035	Ethylbenzene	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	037	Isopropylbenzene	EPA 524.2	-
		039	Naphthalene	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	041	N-propylbenzene	EPA 524.2	
		042	Styrene	EPA 524.2	
	-	044	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	EPA 524.2	
	104.040	045	Tetrachloroethene	EPA 524.2	
_			<u> </u>		· ·

As of 9/16/2015 , this list supersedes all previous lists for this certificate number. Customers: Please verify the current accreditation standing with the State.

			the state of the s						
	104.040		Toluene	EPA 524.2		• .		11.	
٠.	104.040	048	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 524.2					
	104.040	049	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	EPA 524.2		<u> </u>			
	104.040	050	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	EPA 524.2		-			
	104.040	051	Trichloroethene	EPA 524.2					
٠.	104.040	052	Trichlorofluoromethane	EPA 524.2					
:	104.040	054	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 524.2		4.			
	104.040	055	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 524.2				- 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	-
	104.040	056	Vinyl Chloride	EPA 524.2					
	104.040	057	Xylenes, Total	EPA 524.2				-	
	104.045	001	Bromodichloromethane	EPA 524.2					
	104.045	002	Bromoform	EPA 524.2			- :-		 .
	104.045	003	Chloroform	EPA 524.2					
	104.045	004	Dibromochloromethane	EPA 524.2		· .	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	104.050	002	Methyl tert-butyl Ether (MTBE)	EPA 524.2				_	
	104.050	006	tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	EPA 524.2	The state of the s			11	 -
	104.050	800	Carbon Disulfide	EPA 524.2		-			 .
	104.050	009	Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	EPA 524.2				-	
•	Field of	resting	g: 109 - Toxic Chemical Elements o	f Wastewater					
			Aluminum	EPA 200.7				 	 .
	109.010	002	Antimony	EPA 200.7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	109.010	003	Arsenic	EPA 200.7			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	109.010	004	Barium	EPA 200.7		·		<u></u>	
	109.010	005	Beryllium	EPA 200.7					 .
٠.	109.010	007	Cadmium	EPA 200.7			•		
	109.010	009	Chromium	EPA 200.7				·	
	109.010	010	Cobalt	EPA 200.7	<u> </u>	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	109.010	011	Copper	EPA 200.7		1. 7.	· · · · · ·		
	109.010	012	Iron	EPA 200.7		: · · ·		· · · ·	
	109.010	013	Lead	EPA 200.7				.	-
	109.010	015	Manganese	EPA 200.7			- <u>i </u>		
	109.010	016	Molybdenum	EPA 200.7	<u></u>		· ·		
	109.010	017	Nickel	EPA 200.7	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
	109.010		Selenium	EPA 200.7			-	<u> </u>	 -
		021	Silver	EPA 200.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	109.010		Thallium	EPA 200.7	 	- :	<u> </u>		
	109.010		Tin	EPA 200.7				<u> </u>	
	109.010		Vanadium	EPA 200.7				:	-
	109.010		Zinc	EPA 200.7		***			<u>-: </u>
		001	Aluminum	EPA 200.8		 	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
		002	Antimony	EPA 200.8			<u> </u>		·
	109.020		Arsenic					··	
		004	Barium	EPA 200.8			-	- 	·
		005	Beryllium	EPA 200.8		<u>.</u> .			<u> </u>
		006	Cadmium	EPA 200.8		_		· ·	
		007	Chromium	EPA 200.8			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 -
		008	Cobalt	EPA 200.8		<u> </u>	·	<u> </u>	
_			- Commit	EPA 200.8			- · · · · ·	 	
_									

As of 9/16/2015 , this list supersedes all previous lists for this certificate number. Customers: Please verify the current accreditation standing with the State.

109.020	009	Copper	EPA 200.8	
109.020	_	Lead	EDA 200 9	
109.020		Manganese	EPA 200.8	
109.020	012	Molybdenum	EPA 200.8	
109.020	013	Nickel	EPA 200.8	
109.020	014	Selenium	EPA 200.8	
109.020	015	Silver	EPA 200.8	
109.020	016	Thallium	EPA 200.8	
109.020	017	Vanadium	EPA 200.8	
109.020	018	Zinc	EPA 200.8	
109.020	021	Iron	EPA 200.8	
109.020	022	Tin	EPA 200.8	
109.020	023	Titanium	EPA 200.8	
109.025	010	Lead	EPA 200.9	
109.190	001	Mercury	EPA 245.1	
109.370	007	Gold	SM3111B	
109.370	010	Lead	SM3111B	
109.370	014	Palladium	SM3111B	
109.370	015	Platinum	SM3111B	
109.400	001	Mercury	SM3112B	
109.430	001	Aluminum	SM3120B	
109.430	002	Antimony	SM3120B	
109.430	005	Beryllium	SM3120B	
109.430	007	Cadmium	SM3120B	
109.430	009	Chromium	SM3120B	
109.430	010	Cobalt	SM3120B	
109.430	011	Copper	SM3120B	
109.430	012	Iron	SM3120B	
		Lead	SM3120B	
109.430	015	Manganese	SM3120B	
109.430	016	Molybdenum	SM3120B	
109.430	017	Nickel	SM3120B	
109.430	019	Selenium	SM3120B	
109.430		Silver Vanadium	SM3120B	
109.430 109.430	024		SM3120B	
109.430		Zinc Chromium (VI)	SM3120B	
		······································	SM3500-Cr D (18th/19th)	
		g: 114 - Inorganic Chemistry of Hazardous Was		<u> </u>
114.010		Antimony	EPA 6010B	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Arsenic	EPA 6010B	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	003	Barium	EPA 6010B	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	004	Beryllium	EPA 6010B	
	005	Cadmium	EPA 6010B	
	006	Chromium	EPA 6010B	
**	007	Cobalt	EPA 6010B	
	800	Copper	EPA 6010B	
114.010	009	Lead	EPA 6010B	

114.010		Molybdenum	EPA 6010B	
114.010	011	Nickel	EPA 6010B	
114.010		Selenium	EPA 6010B	
114.010		Silver	EPA 6010B	
114.010		Thallium	EPA 6010B	
114.010		Vanadium	EPA 6010B	
114.010	-	Zinc	EPA 6010B	
114.020	••	Antimony	EPA 6020	
114.020	002	Arsenic	EPA 6020	
114.020	003	Barium	EPA 6020	
114.020		Beryllium	EPA 6020	
114.020	005	Cadmium	EPA 6020	
114.020	006	Chromium	EPA 6020	
114.020		Cobalt	EPA 6020	
114.020	008	Copper	EPA 6020	
114.020	009	Lead	EPA 6020	
114.020	-,-	Molybdenum	EPA 6020	
114.020 114.020		Nickel	EPA 6020	
114.020	012	Selenium	EPA 6020	
114.020	013	Silver Thallium	EPA 6020	
114.020	015	Vanadium	EPA 6020	
114.020	016	Zinc	EPA 6020	
114.103	001	Chromium (VI)	EPA 6020	
	001	Lead	EPA 7196A EPA 7420	
114.131	001	Lead	EPA 7420	
114,140	001	Mercury	EPA 7470A	
	001	Mercury	EPA 7471A	
		g: 115 - Extraction Test of Hazardous Waste	EIRTHIA	
	-			
115.020 115.030		Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP)	EPA 1311	
		Waste Extraction Test (WET)		11, Article 5, Appendix II
		g: 116 - Volatile Organic Chemistry of Hazardou	is Waste	
	000	EDB and DBCP	EPA 8011	
	030	Nonhalogenated Volatiles	EPA 8015B	
	031	Ethanol and Methanol	EPA 8015B	
116.030	001	Gasoline-range Organics	EPA 8015B	
. ——	000	Volatile Organic Compounds	EPA 8260B	
116.080		Oxygenates	EPA 8260B	
-		: 117 - Semi-volatile Organic Chemistry of Haz	ardous Waste	
117.010		Diesel-range Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	EPA 8015B	
·. ——	000	Extractable Organics	EPA 8270C	
· · -	000	Pesticides & PCBs	EPA 8081A	
	000	PCBs	EPA 8082	
117.250	000	Chlorinated Herbicides	EPA 8151A	
Field of T	esting	: 121 - Bulk Asbestos Analysis of Hazardous V	/aste	
121.010	001	Bulk Asbestos	EPA 600/M4-82	2-020
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

EMSL Analytical Inc.

Certificate No 1877 Expiration Date 3/31/2017

Field of Testing: 129 - Cryptosporidium & Giardia			
129.020 001 Cryptosporidium and Giardia	EPA 1623		
129.030 001 Cryptosporidium and Giardia	EPA 1623.1		



Fremont Analytical, Inc.

OREGON

Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program

ORELAP Fields of Accreditation

ORELAP ID: WA100009

EPA CODE: WA01224

3600 Fremont Ave. N Certificate: WA100009 - 012

Seattle, WA 98103 Issue Date: 5/10/2018 Expiration Date: 5/9/2019

As of 5/10/2018 this list supersedes all previous lists for this certificate number.

Solids	EPA 8270D	5562	Azobenzene
		5595	Benzidine
		5575	Benzo(a)anthracene
		5580	Benzo(a)pyrene
		5590	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
		9309	Benzo(j)fluoranthene
		5600	Benzo(k)fluoranthene
		5585	Benzo[b]fluoranthene
	/47 3	5610	Benzoic acid
	/ 1	5630	Benzyl alcohol
		5760	bis(2-Chloroe <mark>th</mark> oxy)meth <mark>an</mark> e
		5765	bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether
		5780	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether
		6062	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate
		5670	Butyl benzyl phthalate
		5680	Carbazole

6065	Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	(bis(2-
	Ethylhexyl)phthalate, DEH	P)
9354	Dibenz(a, h) acridine	
5900	Dibenz(a, j) acridine	

5895 Dibenz(a,h) anthracene 9348 Dibenzo(a, h) pyrene 5890 Dibenzo(a,e) pyrene 5905 Dibenzofuran

Chrysene

5855

6070 Diethyl phthalate
6135 Dimethyl phthalate
5925 Di-n-butyl phthalate
6200 Di-n-octyl phthalate

6205 Diphenylamine6265 Fluoranthene

6270 Fluorene6275 Hexachlorobenzene


4835 Hexachlorobutadiene6285 Hexachlorocyclopentadiene

4840 Hexachloroethane

6315 Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene 6320 Isophorone

5005 Naphthalene5015 Nitrobenzene

n-Nitrosodiethylamine
n-Nitrosodimethylamine
n-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine







OREGON

Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program

ORELAP Fields of Accreditation

ORELAP ID: WA100009

JRELAP ID. WATOOOG

Fremont Analytical, Inc.

EPA CODE: WA01224

3600 Fremont Ave. N

Certificate: WA100009 - 012

Seattle, WA 98103 Issue Date: 5/10/2018 Expiration Date: 5/9/2019

As of 5/10/2018 this list supersedes all previous lists for this certificate number.

Solids	EPA 8270D	6605	Pentachlorophenol		
_		6608	Perylene		
		6615	Phenanthrene		
		6625	Phenol		
		6665	Pyrene		
		5095	Pyridine	100	
	EPA 8270D SIM	.1	17.	10242509	Semivolatile Organic compounds by GC/MS Selective Ion Monitoring
		6380	1-Methylnaphthalene		
	/3/ 6	6385	2-Methylnaphthalene		
		5500	Acenaphthen <mark>e</mark>		
		5505	Acenaphthylene		
		5555	Anthracene		
		5575	Benzo(a)anthracene		
		5580	Benzo(a)pyrene		
		5590	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		
		5600	Benzo(k)fluoranthene		
		5585	Benzo[b]fluoranthene		
		5670	Butyl benzyl phthalate		
		5855	Chrysene		
		6065	Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (bis(2- Ethylhexyl)phthalate, DEHP)		
		5895	Dibenz(a,h) anthracene		
		5905	Dibenzofuran		
		6070	Diethyl phthalate		
		6135	Dimethyl phthalate		1/2/
		5925	Di-n-butyl phthalate		A 1/2/
		6200	Di-n-octyl phthalate		
		6265	Fluoranthene		
		6270	Fluorene	- 400	
		6315	Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene		
		5005	Naphthalene		
		6605	Pentachlorophenol		
		6615	Phenanthrene		
		6665	Pyrene		
	EPA 8270E			988	Semivolatile Organic compounds by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)
		5155	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		,, ()
	EPA 8270E			10242543	Semivolatile Organic compounds by GC/MS
		5155	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		
		4610	1,2-Dichlorobenzene		
		6155	1,2-Dinitrobenzene		
		4615	1,3-Dichlorobenzene		



Fremont Analytical, Inc.

3600 Fremont Ave. N

OREGON

Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program

ORELAP Fields of Accreditation

ORELAP ID: WA100009

EPA CODE: WA01224

Certificate: WA100009 - 012

Seattle, WA 98103 Issue Date: 5/10/2018 Expiration Date: 5/9/2019

Solids	EPA 8270E	persedes all previous lists for this certificate number. 6160 1,3-Dinitrobenzene (1,3-DNB)
Jonas		4620 1,4-Dichlorobenzene
		6165 1,4-Dinitrobenzene
		6380 1-Methylnaphthalene
		4659 2,2-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)
		6735 2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol
		6740 2,3,5,6-Tetrachlorophenol
		6835 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol
	/6/ 2	6840 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol
	/4/	6000 2,4-Dichlorophenol
		6130 2,4-Dimethylp <mark>h</mark> enol
		6175 2,4-Dinitrophenol
		6185 2,4-Dinitrotoluene (2,4-DNT)
		6190 2,6-Dinitrotoluene (2,6-DNT)
		5 <mark>795 2-Chloronaphthalene</mark>
		5800 2-Chlorophenol
		6360 2-Methyl-4,6-d <mark>initrophenol (4,6-Dinit</mark> ro-2 -methylphenol)
		5145 2-Methylaniline (o-Toluidine)
		6385 2-Methylnaphthalene
		6400 2-Methylphenol (o-Cresol)
		6460 2-Nitroaniline
		6490 2-Nitrophenol
		6412 3 & 4 Methylphenol
		5945 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine
		6355 3-Methylcholanthrene
		6465 3-Nitroaniline
		5660 4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether (BDE-3)
		5700 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol
		5745 4-Chloroaniline
		5825 4-Chlorophenyl phenylether
		6470 4-Nitroaniline
		6500 4-Nitrophenol
		5500 Acenaphthene
		5505 Acenaphthylene
		5510 Acetophenone
		5545 Aniline
		5555 Anthracene
		5562 Azobenzene
		5570 Benzaldehyde
		5595 Benzidine
		5575 Benzo(a)anthracene
		5580 Benzo(a)pyrene

5590

Benzo(g,h,i)perylene



Fremont Analytical, Inc.

3600 Fremont Ave. N

OREGON

Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program

ORELAP Fields of Accreditation

ORELAP ID: WA100009

EPA CODE: WA01224

Certificate: WA100009 - 012

Seattle, WA 98103 Issue Date: 5/10/2018 Expiration Date: 5/9/2019

Solids	EPA 8270E	9309	Benzo(j)fluoranthene
0011010		5600	Benzo(k)fluoranthene
		5585	Benzo[b]fluoranthene
		5610	Benzoic acid
		5630	Benzyl alcohol
		5635	Benzyl chloride
		5760	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane
		5765	bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether
	/8/ .	5780	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether
	/4/	6062	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)adipate
		5670	Butyl benzyl phthalate
		5680	Carbazole
		5855	Chrysene
		6065	Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (bis(2- Ethylhexyl)phthalate, DEHP)
		9354	Dibenz(a, h) acridine
		5900	Dibenz(a, j) ac <mark>ridine</mark>
		5895	Dibenz(a,h) anthracene
		9348	Dibenzo(a, h) pyrene
		9351	Dibenzo(a, i) pyrene
		5890	Dibenzo(a,e) pyrene
		5905	Dibenzofuran
		6070	Diethyl phthalate
		6135	Dimethyl phthalate
		5925	Di-n-butyl phthalate
		6200	Di-n-octyl phthalate
	1000	6205	Diphenylamine
		6265	Fluoranthene
		6270	Fluorene
		6275	Hexachlorobenzene
		4835	Hexachlorobutadiene
		6285	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene
		4840	Hexachloroethane
		6315	Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene
		5005	Naphthalene
		5015	Nitrobenzene
		6530	n-Nitrosodimethylamine
		6545	n-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine
		6535	n-Nitrosodiphenylamine
		6605	Pentachlorophenol
		6608	Perylene
		6615	Phenanthrene
		6625	Phenol

7985

Phorate



Seattle, WA 98103

OREGON

Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program

ORELAP Fields of Accreditation

ORELAP ID: WA100009

Issue Date: 5/10/2018 Expiration Date: 5/9/2019

Fremont Analytical, Inc. EPA CODE: WA01224

3600 Fremont Ave. N **Certificate:** WA100009 - 012

As of 5/10/2018 this list supersedes all previous lists for this certificate number.

Solids	EPA 8270E	6665	Pyrene		
		5095	Pyridine		
	EPA 8270E SIM		DECO	989	Semivolatile Organic compounds by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS) SIM Mode
		6380	1-Methylnaphthalene	0	Spectrometry (Service) Shirt Wode
		5795	2-Chloronaphthalene	UTA.	
		6385	2-Methylnaphthalene	~///	
		5500	Acenaphthene		
	19	5505	Acenaphthy <mark>l</mark> ene		- 16/
		5555	Anthracene		
		5575	Benzo(a)anthracene		
	/ 9	5580	Benzo(a)pyrene		
		5590	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		
		5600	Benzo(k)fluoranthene		
		5585	Benzo[b]fluoranthene		
		5670	Butyl benzyl phthalate		
		5680	Carbazole		
		5855	Chrysene		
		6065	Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (bis(2- Ethylhexyl)phthalate, DEHP)		
		5895	Dibenz(a,h) anthracene		
		5905	Dibenzofuran		
		6070	Diethyl phthalate		
		6135	Dimethyl phthalate		
		5925	Di-n-butyl phthalate		
		6200	Di-n-octyl phthalate		(A)
		6265	Fluoranthene		
		6270	Fluorene		
		6315	Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene	- 11/2	
		5005	Naphthalene		
		6605	Pentachlorophenol		
		6615	Phenanthrene		
		6665	Pyrene		
	NWTPH-Dx			90018409	Oregon DEQ TPH Diesel Range
		9369	Diesel range organics (DRO)		
		9499	Motor Oil		
		2050	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)		
	NWTPH-Gx		<u> </u>	90018603	Oregon DEQ TPH Gasoline Range Organics by GC/FID-PID Purge & Tra
		9408	Gasoline range organics (GRO)		3 , 33 33 33
			' '		

Lower Klamath Project – FERC No. 14803							

California Waste Disposal Plan



Lower Klamath Project FERC Project No. 14803

California Waste Disposal Plan

Klamath River Renewal Corporation 2001 Addison Street, Suite 317 Berkeley, CA 94704

Prepared by:
Camas LLC
680 G Street, Suite C
Jacksonville, OR 97530

December 2022

This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

1.0	Intro	duction	1
	1.1	Purpose of Waste Disposal Plan	1
	1.2	Relationship to Other Management Plans	1
2.0	Quai	ntity and Type of Anticipated Non-Hazardous Waste	1
	2.1	Material Descriptions	3
3.0	Upla	nd Disposal Sites	4
	3.1	Erosion and Sediment Control	6
4.0	Pow	erhouse, Tailrace, and Spillway Disposal Sites	6
	4.1	Erosion and Sediment Control	9
5.0	Refe	rences	9

List of Tables

Table 2-1. Copco No. 1 and Copco No. 2 Developments Non-Hazardous Waste Disposal	2
Table 2-2. Iron Gate Development Non-Hazardous Waste Disposal	2
Table 2-3. Material Descriptions	3
Table 3-1. Upland Disposal Sites	5
Table 4-1. Powerhouse and Tailrace and Spillway Disposal Sites	7

Appendices

Appendix A Figures

1.0 Introduction

The California Waste Disposal Plan is a sub-plan of the Waste Disposal and Hazardous Materials Management Plan to be implemented as part of the Proposed Action for the Lower Klamath Project.

1.1 Purpose of Waste Disposal Plan

The California Waste Disposal Plan describes the measures the Renewal Corporation (directly or through its contractor) will implement to manage non-hazardous waste resulting from the Proposed Action for portions located in California.

Non-hazardous waste will be stored, managed, and disposed of in accordance with all local, state, and federal applicable laws. Additional consultation with Siskiyou County was conducted and the results of this consultation are included herein.

1.2 Relationship to Other Management Plans

The California Waste Disposal Plan is supported by elements of the following management plans for effective implementation: California Hazardous Materials Management Plan. So as not to duplicate information, elements from this other management plan are not repeated herein but are, where appropriate, referred to in this California Waste Disposal Plan.

2.0 Quantity and Type of Anticipated Non-Hazardous Waste

The precise quantities and types of non-hazardous wastes generated by the Proposed Action will be determined in connection with waste characterization activities at the time of generation. Generally accepted waste characterization procedures, which are described in the California Hazardous Materials Management Plan, will also be observed by the Renewal Corporation (directly or through its contractor) with respect to non-hazardous wastes.

Anticipated non-hazardous waste to be generated during the decommissioning of Copco No. 1, Copco No. 2, and Iron Gate Developments is presented in Table 2-1 and Table 2-2. Specifically, the approximate bulk quantity, type of anticipated non-hazardous waste, and the proposed disposal locations (on-site and off-site) are presented below and are based on the Knight Piésold 100% Design Report (Knight Piésold 2022a). A description of these materials is presented in Section 2.1 (Knight Piésold 2022b).

Table 2-1. Copco No. 1 and Copco No. 2 Developments Non-Hazardous Waste Disposal

ТҮРЕ	QUANTITY	ANTICIPATED DISPOSAL LOCATION
Earthen Material ¹	2,100 CY	Disposed of on-site: Copco No. 1 Disposal Site Copco No. 1 Powerhouse and Tailrace Disposal Site Copco No. 2 Tailrace Disposal Site
Concrete Rubble ¹	120,600 CY	Disposed of on-site: Copco No. 1 Disposal Site Copco No. 2 Tailrace Disposal Site
Building Waste ²	2,600 CY	Disposed of off-site: City of Yreka Landfill
Rebar	1,400 tons	Disposed of off-site: Schnitzer (recycled)
Mechanical and Electrical Materials	3,300 tons	Disposed of off-site: Permitted landfill, pending selected contractor
Transmission Lines	9.5 miles	Disposed off-site: Permitted landfill, pending selected contractor

- 1. Subject to confirmation by waste characterization at the time of generation, it is anticipated that earthen materials and concrete rubble removed during decommissioning will constitute Inert waste.
- 2. Building waste, which is anticipated to be non-hazardous solid waste subject to confirmation by waste characterization, includes but is not limited to steel penstocks, generator equipment, gates, valves, lighting, HVAC etc.

Table 2-2. Iron Gate Development Non-Hazardous Waste Disposal

TYPE	QUANTITY	ANTICIPATED DISPOSAL LOCATION
Earthen Material ¹	1,257,000 CY	Disposed of on-site: Iron Gate Spillway Disposal Site Iron Gate Powerhouse and Tailrace Disposal Site Iron Gate Upland Disposal Site
Concrete Rubble ¹	20,700 CY	Disposed of on-site: Iron Gate Upland Disposal Site Iron Gate Powerhouse and Tailrace Disposal Site
Building Waste ²	600 CY	Disposed of off-site: City of Yreka Landfill
Rebar	700 tons	Disposed of off-site: Schnitzer (recycled)
Mechanical and Electrical Materials	1,200 tons	Disposed of off-site: Permitted landfill, pending selected contractor
Transmission Lines	0.5 miles	Disposed off-site: Permitted landfill, pending selected contractor

- Subject to confirmation by waste characterization at the time of generation, it is anticipated that earthen materials and concrete rubble removed during decommissioning will constitute Inert waste.
- 2. Building waste, which is anticipated to be non-hazardous solid waste subject to confirmation by waste characterization, includes but is not limited to steel penstocks, generator equipment, gates, valves, lighting, HVAC etc.

2.1 Material Descriptions

Table 2-3 includes materials that will either be placed within on-site disposal sites, used as a capping material, or for erosion and sediment control purposes. In addition, the source of the material is included in the table.

Table 2-3. Material Descriptions

TYPE	DESCRIPTION	DEFINITION
E4	Select Fill	Cobbles, Gravel, and Sand, particles ranging from 4 in. to the #200 Sieve (0.0030 in.), low to no fines content, sourced from offsite.
E6	Bedding	Cobbles and Gravel, particles ranging from 3 in. to 3/8 in., low to no fines content, sourced from offsite.
E7	Erosion Protection	Boulders and Cobbles, particles ranging from +50 in. to 3 in., material subdivided into three classifications E7a/b/c, each with minimum D85, D50 and D15 values, sourced from existing erosion protection at the dam sites, or talus material sourced from nearby borrow areas within limits of work.
E7a	Erosion Protection	Boulders and Cobbles with a nominal diameter size of 9 inches and varies from 5.5 inches to 11.5 inches
E7b	Erosion Protection	Boulders and Cobbles with a nominal diameter size of 21 inches and varies from 21 inches to 27.5 inches
E7c	Erosion Protection	Boulders and Cobbles with a nominal diameter size of 36 inches and varies from 22 inches to 47 inches
E8	Bedding Material	Cobbles and Gravel, particles ranging from 12 in. to 1 in., low to no fines content, sourced from offsite.
E9	General Fill (Earthen Material)	Boulders, Cobbles, Gravel, Sand and Fines, particles ranging from 20 in. to silt and clay, up to 30% fines content, sourced from project excavations or nearby borrow areas within limits of work.
E9a	General Fill (Earthen Material)	Boulders, Cobbles, Gravel, Sand and Fines, particles ranging from 20 in. to silt and clay, up to 40% fines content, sourced from project excavations or nearby borrow areas within limits of work.

TYPE	DESCRIPTION	DEFINITION
E9b	General Fill (Earthen Material)	Boulders, Cobbles, Gravel and Sand, particles ranging from 20 in. to the #200 sieve (0.0030 in), low to no fines content, sourced from project excavations or nearby borrows area within limits of work.
E10	Random Fill (Earthen Material)	Overburden, Rocks or Organics, no gradation requirements, sourced from project excavations.
CR1	Concrete Rubble ^{1,2}	Particles ranging from 36 in. to the #200 Sieve (0.0030 in.), with up to 30% fines content, steel reinforcement to remain concrete, sourced from demolition of onsite concrete structures.
CR2	Concrete Rubble ^{1,2}	Particles ranging from 24 in. to the #200 Sieve (0.0030 in.), with up to 30% fines content, steel reinforcement to remain concrete, sourced from demolition of onsite concrete structures.
NA	Riverbed Material	Material stockpiled on the right bank upstream of the Copco No. 1 Dam originates from the historic Copco No. 1 Dam excavation and is assumed to be suitable for direct placement as riverbed material. Riverbed material not sourced from this area must be well graded material with an upper particle size of approximately 36 inches, and a 15% maximum of material smaller than 6 inches (by volume).

- 1. Hazardous materials and substances will be removed prior to burying concrete rubble in a disposal site.
- 2. If access to rebar is safe, rebar protruding out of concrete will be cut flush and recycled off-site. Rebar and steel embedded in concrete will remain in its encased condition and buried.

3.0 Upland Disposal Sites

General Fill (Earthen Material) and Concrete Rubble will be disposed of at two on-site upland disposal sites (Upland Disposal Sites). Details pertaining to the location, construction, size, disposal materials, and associated figures for each disposal site are presented in Table 3-1 and in Appendix A, Figures. Disposal site locations were selected where drainage patterns can be preserved, such that onsite disposal would not create a threat to water quality. Appendix A includes two figures for each disposal site: general site location and a plan and profile of the disposal sites.

Table 3-1. Upland Disposal Sites

LOCATION	CONSTRUCTION	SIZE/INFILL CAPACITY	DISPOSAL MATERIALS	FIGURES
	COPCO NO. 1 DIS	POSAL SITE		
Located within an existing depression between Copco No. 1 and Copco No. 2 dams, to the north. The location is approximately at 2,675 (MSL), which is 175 feet above the current Klamath River active stream channel (2,500 MSL).	 Located above anticipated post-drawdown Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM). Demolish existing structures. Relocate existing powerlines. Clear vegetation. Place disposal materials on existing ground surface. 3H:1V slope range (maximum). Cap with a minimum of 2-foot cover of General Fill (E9/E9b). Grade and slope for drainage to surrounding topography. Final stabilization (see Section 3.1) 	 Disposal area is approx. 5.2 acres. Infill capacity is approx. 189,000 CY. 	General Fill (E9). Concrete Rubble (CR1).	Appendix A: Figure A-1. Copco No. 1 Disposal Site Appendix A: Figure A-2. Copco No. 1 Disposal Site – Plan and Profile
	IRON GATE UPLAND	DISPOSAL SITE		
Located in the original borrow site for the Iron Gate dam construction on the south side of the reservoir. The location is approximately 300 to 400 feet above the anticipated Klamath River active stream channel.	 Located above anticipated post-drawdown OHWM. Clear vegetation. Place disposal materials on existing ground surface. 5H:1V slope range (maximum). Cap with a minimum of 2-foot cover of General Fill (E9/E9b). Grade and slope for drainage to surrounding topography. Final stabilization (see Section 3.1) 	 Disposal area is approx. 36 acres Infill capacity is approx. 1,000,000 CY. 	 General Fill (E9). Random Fill (E10). 	Appendix A: Figure A-3. Iron Gate Disposal Site Locations Appendix A: Figure A-4. Iron Gate Upland Disposal Site – Plan and Profile

3.1 Erosion and Sediment Control

Erosion and sediment control temporary best management practices (BMPs) installed during the construction of the disposal sites will be presented in the site-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPP) required as part of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) California State Water Board Construction General Permit (CGP). If disposal areas are utilized during the rainy season, the disposal sites shall be protected with appropriate BMPs to prevent erosion.

Following the final placement of material within the disposal sites, permanent BMPs will be installed for final stabilization. Monitoring and reporting required as part of the CGP SWPP will be conducted to achieve final stabilization.

4.0 Powerhouse, Tailrace, and Spillway Disposal Sites

General Fill and Concrete Rubble will also be disposed of in existing structures (i.e., powerhouse, tailrace, and spillway). Details pertaining to the location, construction, size, disposal materials, location in relation to the anticipated post-drawdown OHWM and associated figures for each on-site disposal site are presented in Table 4-1. Appendix A includes two figures for each disposal site: general site location and a plan and profile of the disposal sites.

Table 4-1. Powerhouse and Tailrace and Spillway Disposal Sites

LOCATION	CONSTRUCTION	SIZE/INFILL CAPACITY	DISPOSAL MATERIALS	FIGURES
	COPCO NO. 1 POWERHOUSE AND	TAILRACE DISPOS	AL SITE	
Located within a portion of existing Copco No.1 powerhouse and tailrace.	 Partially located below anticipated post-drawdown OHWM. Remove interior electrical, mechanical, and miscellaneous fixtures not imbedded in concrete from powerhouse prior to backfill. Place disposal materials within powerhouse and portion of tailrace. 1.5 H:1V slope range for powerhouse. 2 H:1V slope range for transition of powerhouse to tailrace. Cap with 4-foot cover of Select Fill (E4) on slope of former powerhouse. Cap with 1-foot cover of Riverbed Material and 4–7-foot cover of Erosion Protection (E7c) on transition of powerhouse to tailrace. Final stabilization of former powerhouse (see Section 4.1). 	Disposal area is approx. 0.38 acres Infill capacity is approx. 3,950 CY	 General Fill (E9/E9a). Concrete Rubble (CR2). 	Appendix A: Figure A-5. Copco No. 1 Powerhouse and Tailrace Disposal Site Appendix A: Figure A-6. Copco No. 1 Powerhouse and Tailrace Disposal Site — Plan Appendix A: Figure A-6a. Copco No. 1 Powerhouse and Tailrace Disposal Site — Profile
	COPCO NO. 2 TAILRACE	DISPOSAL SITE		
Located within a portion of existing Copco No. 2 tailrace.	 Partially located below anticipated post-drawdown OHWM. Place disposal materials within former tailrace Details for the placement of fill within the tailrace are currently being designed. Final erosion and sediment control stabilization (see Section 4.1). 	Details for the placement of fill within the tailrace are currently being designed.	Details for the placement of fill within the tailrace are currently being designed.	Appendix A: Figure A-7. Copco No. 2 Tailrace Disposal Site

LOCATION CONSTRUCTION		SIZE/INFILL CAPACITY	DISPOSAL MATERIALS	FIGURES
	IRON GATE POWERHOUSE AND T	AILRACE DISPOSA	AL SITE	
Powerhouse tailrace located south of dam.	 Located partially below anticipated post-drawdown OHWM. Remove interior electrical, mechanical, and miscellaneous fixtures not imbedded in concrete from powerhouse prior to backfill. Place disposal materials within former tailrace and portion of powerhouse. 2.5H:1V slope range Cap former powerhouse portion with 3-foot cover of General Fill (E9) and the former tailrace portion (E7b) with 3-foot cover of Erosion Protection (E7b). Final erosion and sediment control stabilization (see Section 4.1). 	 Disposal area is approx. 0.99 acres. Infill capacity is approx. 22,615 CY. 	General Fill (E9). Concrete Rubble (CR1 and CR2).	Appendix A: Figure A-3. Iron Gate Disposal Site Locations Appendix A: Figure A-8a. Iron Gate Powerhouse Disposal Site – Plan Appendix A: Figure A-8b. Iron Gate Powerhouse Disposal Site – Profile
	IRON GATE SPILLWAY	DISPOSAL SITE		
Spillway located west of dam on river right.	 Located above anticipated post-drawdown OHWM. Place disposal materials in existing concrete spillway. Cap horizontal portion with 2-foot General Fill (E9) Place Erosion Protection (E7a/E7b) on downstream toe of spillway or riprap removed from downstream face of dam for lower spillway lifts to establish riprapped toe. Final erosion and sediment control stabilization (see Section 4.1). 	 Disposal area is approx. 4.2 acres. Infill capacity is approx. 249,200 CY. 	General Fill (E9/E9a/E9b) Random Fill (E10)	Appendix A: Figure A-3. Iron Gate Disposal Site Locations Figure A-9. Iron Gate Spillway Disposal Site Plan and Profile

4.1 Erosion and Sediment Control

Erosion and sediment control temporary BMPs installed during the construction of the disposal sites will be presented in the site-specific SWPP required as part of the NPDES California State Water Board CGP. If disposal areas are utilized during the rainy season, the disposal sites will be protected with appropriate BMPs to prevent erosion.


Following the final placement of material within the disposal sites, permanent BMPs will be installed for final stabilization. Monitoring and reporting required as part of the CGP SWPP will be conducted to achieve final stabilization.

5.0 References

Knight Piésold. 2022a. Design Report. Prepared for Klamath River Renewal Project. June.


Knight Piésold. 2022b. Technical Specifications. Prepared for Klamath River Renewal Project. June.

Lower Klamath Project – FERC No. 14803	
	Appendix A
	Figures









(At original document size of 8.5 x 11) 1:4,090

Notes
1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401

2. Data Sources: Disposal sites, Limits of Work and Access Routes: Knight

3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Legend




Lower Klamath Project


Figure A-1: Copco No. 1 Disposal Site



PRELIMINARY DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)

Disclaimer: This document has been prepared based on information provided by others as cited in the Notes section. McMillen Jacobs Associates has not verified the accuracy and/or completeness of this information and shall not be responsible for any errors or omissions which may be incorporated herein as a result. McMillen Jacobs Associates assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format, sand the recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data.









1,450 725 ☐ Feet

(At original document size of 8.5 x 11) 1:10,150

- 1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401 Feet
- 2. Data Sources: Disposal sites, Limits of Work and Access Routes: Knight
- 7. Detail of the Community Priesold 100 design 3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Legend



Roads


Lower Klamath Project

A-3: Iron Gate Disposal Site Locations




PRELIMINARY DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)

Disclaimer: This document has been prepared based on information provided by others as cited in the Notes section. McMillen Jacobs Associates has not verified the accuracy and/or completeness of this information and shall not be responsible for any errors or omissions which may be incorporated herein as a result. McMillen Jacobs Associates assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format, sand the recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data.







- 1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401 Feet
- 2. Data Sources: Disposal sites, Limits of Work and Access Routes: Knight
- Piesold 100 design

 3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Legend



Roads


Lower Klamath Project


A-5 Copco No. 1 Powerhouse and Tailrace Disposal Site




PRELIMINARY DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)

Disclaimer: This document has been prepared based on information provided by others as cited in the Notes section. McMillen Jacobs Associates has not verified the accuracy and/or completeness of this information and shall not be responsible for any errors omissions which may be incorporated herein as a result. McMillen Jacobs Associates assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format, sand the recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data.














(At original document size of 8.5 x 11) 1:1,890

1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401 Feet

2.Data Sources: Disposal sites, Limits of Work and Access Routes: Knight

7. Piesold 100 design

3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Legend



Roads


Lower Klamath Project


A-7 Copco No. 2 Tailrace Disposal Site



PRELIMINARY DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)

Disclaimer: This document has been prepared based on information provided by others as cited in the Notes section. McMillen Jacobs Associates has not verified the accuracy and/or completeness of this information and shall not be responsible for any errors or omissions which may be incorporated herein as a result. McMillen Jacobs Associates assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format, sand the recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data.





KTW SY SRM05/27/22

BY CHK APP DATE

THEN DRAWING IS

NOT TO SCALE

0 ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION

- 1. REMOVE ALL MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT PRIOR TO BACKFILL OF POWERHOUSE AND TAILRACE.
- 2. ALL OIL AND WATER SUPPLY LINES TO BE FLUSHED
- 3. POWERHOUSE SITE INFILL AND SPOIL SHALL BE COMPRISED OF REMOVED EMBANKMENT MATERIALS (E9) OR CONCRETE RUBBLE (CR1).
- 4. WHERE CONCRETE RUBBLE IS SPOILED, IT MUST BE CAPPED BY A MINIMUM LAYER OF 3 ft OF COVER
- 5. RECOMMENDED MINIMUM CONCRETE REMOVAL IS
- 6. POWERHOUSE FILL AND SPILLWAY FILL TOES SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF STOCKPILED RIPRAP FROM THE DOWNSTREAM FACE OF THE DAM UP TO ELEVATION 2193 ft OR PROTECTED WITH EROSION PROTECTION, FILL TYPE E7b AND BEDDING TYPE E8.
- 7. SEE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 31 23 00 FOR PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS IN CONFINED OPENINGS AND CAVITIES.

DEMOLITION / REMOVAL


GENERAL FILL (E9)

CONCRETE RUBBLE (CR1/CR2)

EROSION PROTECTION (E7a/E7b)

VOID INFILL

ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION




IN CHARGE N. BISHOP APPROVED CORPORATION

S. MOTTRAM

RIVER RENEWAL

VA103-640/1 05/27/2022

C4402



Lower Klamath Project –	FERC No.	14803

Appendix C

Oregon Waste Disposal and Hazardous Materials Management Plan



Lower Klamath Project FERC Project No. 14803

Oregon Waste Disposal and Hazardous Materials Management Plan

Klamath River Renewal Corporation 2001 Addison Street, Suite 317 Berkeley, CA 94704

> Prepared by: Camas LLC 680 G Street, Suite C Jacksonville, OR 97530

> > December 2022

This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

1.0	Intro	duction.		
	1.1	Purpos	se of Waste Disposal and Management Plan	1
	1.2	Relatio	onship to Other Management Plans	1
2.0	Non-	Hazardo	ous Waste	1
	2.1	Quanti	ity and Type of Anticipated Non-Hazardous Waste	1
	2.2	Materia	al Descriptions	2
3.0	Disp	osal Site	es	3
	3.1	Upland	d Disposal Sites	3
	3.2	Powerl	house and Tailrace Disposal Site	6
	3.3	Erosion	n and Sediment Control and Final Stabilization	8
4.0	Haza	rdous W	Vaste Types	8
	4.1	RCRA	Hazardous Waste	8
	4.2	RCRA	Characteristic Hazardous Wastes	8
	4.3	RCRA	Listed Hazardous Wastes	9
	4.4	Non-R	CRA Hazardous Waste	9
		4.4.1	Asbestos	9
		4.4.2	Lead	10
	4.5	Univers	sal Waste	10
	4.6	Used C	Oil	10
	4.7	Oregor	n State Only Hazardous Waste	10
	4.8	Waste	Characterization.	11
5.0	Prev	ious Env	vironmental Evaluations	11
	5.1	Phase	I Environmental Site Assessments	11
	5.2	Hazard	dous Waste Surveys and Inventory	13
		5.2.1	Surveys	13
		5.2.2	Hazardous Materials Inventory	14
6.0	Haza	rdous W	Vaste and Material Management	14
	6.1	Hazard	dous Waste Generator	14
	6.2	Trainin	ng Requirements	14
	6.3	Person	nnel Safety	14

9.0	References			17
8.0	Delet	erious \	Waste	16
7.0	Spill	Clean U	Jp, Notification and Reporting Procedures	16
		6.6.1	Hazardous Waste and Material Containment	16
	6.6	Contai	inment	16
		6.5.3	Used Oil	15
		6.5.2	Universal Hazardous Waste and Materials	15
		6.5.1	Hazardous Waste and Materials	15
	6.5	Transp	portation	15
		6.4.3	Used Oil	15
		6.4.2	Universal Waste Storage	15
		6.4.1	Hazardous Waste Storage	15
	6.4	Storage		

List of Tables

Table 2-1. Non-Hazardous Waste Disposal	. 2
Table 2-2. Material Descriptions	
Table 3-1. Upland Disposal Sites	. 4
Table 3-2. Powerhouse and Tailrace Disposal Site	. 7

Appendices

Appendix A Figures

Appendix B J.C. Boyle Hazardous Materials Survey Report

Appendix C J.C. Boyle Hazardous Materials Inventory

1.0 Introduction

The Oregon Waste Disposal and Hazardous Materials Management Plan is a sub-plan of the Waste Disposal and Hazardous Materials Management Plan to be implemented as part of the Proposed Action for the Lower Klamath Project.

1.1 Purpose of Waste Disposal and Management Plan

The Oregon Waste Disposal and Hazardous Materials Management Plan describes the measures the Renewal Corporation (directly or through its contractor) will implement to manage hazardous and non-hazardous waste and materials resulting from the Proposed Action for portions located in Oregon. Specifically, the Oregon Waste Disposal and Hazardous Materials Management Plan addresses hazardous waste and hazardous material transportation, storage, spill prevention, and release reporting. The Renewal Corporation proposes to handle, store, transport, treat and dispose of hazardous waste and hazardous material in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local law.

In addition, the Oregon Waste Disposal and Hazardous Materials Management Plan states the measures the Renewal Corporation will implement to decommission existing septic tanks in accordance with the Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 340-071.

1.2 Relationship to Other Management Plans

The Oregon Waste Disposal and Hazardous Materials Management Plan is supported by elements of the Oregon Erosion and Sediment Control Plan and Construction Management Plan (Use and Occupancy Plan for Bureau of Land Management Lands) for effective implementation. So as not to duplicate information, elements from the Oregon Erosion and Sediment Control Plan and Construction Management Plan (Use and Occupancy Plan for Bureau of Land Management Lands) are not repeated herein but are, where appropriate, referred to in this Oregon Waste Disposal and Hazardous Materials Management Plan.

2.0 Non-Hazardous Waste

2.1 Quantity and Type of Anticipated Non-Hazardous Waste

The precise quantities and types of non-hazardous wastes generated by the Proposed Action will be determined in connection with waste characterization activities at the time of generation. Generally accepted waste characterization procedures will also be observed by the Renewal Corporation (directly or through its contractor) with respect to non-hazardous wastes.

Non-hazardous waste will be stored, managed, and disposed of in accordance with all local, state, and federal applicable laws.

Anticipated non-hazardous waste to be generated during the decommissioning of the J.C. Boyle Development is presented in Table 2-1. Specifically, the approximate bulk quantity, type of non-

hazardous waste, and the proposed disposal locations (on-site and off-site) are presented below and based on the Knight Piésold 100% Design Report (Knight Piésold 2022a). A description of these materials is presented in Section 2.2 (Knight Piésold 2022b).

Table 2-1. Non-Hazardous Waste Disposal

TYPE	QUANTITY	ANTICIPATED DISPOSAL LOCATION
Earthen Material	130,800 CY	Disposed of on-site: Left Bank Disposal Site Scour Hole Disposal Site Powerhouse and Tailrace Disposal Site Power Canal
Concrete Rubble ¹	51,900 CY	Disposed of on-site: Scour Hole Disposal Site Powerhouse and Tailrace Disposal Site
Building Waste ²	2,700 CY	Disposed of off-site: Recycler or Permitted Landfill, pending selected contractor
Rebar	4,100 tons	Disposed of off-site: Recycler
Mechanical and Electrical Materials	2,500 tons	Disposed of off-site: Permitted Landfill, pending selected contractor
Transmission Lines	2.8 miles	Disposed of off-site: Permitted Landfill, pending selected contractor

Notes:

- 1. Subject to confirmation by waste characterization at the time of generation, it is anticipated that concrete rubble removed during decommissioning will constitute Inert waste.
- 2. Building waste, which is anticipated to be non-hazardous solid waste subject to confirmation by waste characterization, includes but is not limited to steel penstocks, generator equipment, gates, valves, lighting, HVAC etc.

2.2 Material Descriptions

Table 2-2 includes materials that will either be placed within on-site disposal sites, used as a capping material, or for erosion and sediment control purposes. In addition, the source of the materials is included in the table.

Table 2-2. Material Descriptions

TYPE	DESCRIPTION	DEFINITION
E	Earthfill (Earthen Material)	Natural earth materials excavated from the surrounding area.

TYPE	DESCRIPTION	DEFINITION
E4	Select Fill	Cobbles, Gravel, and Sand, particles ranging from 4 in. to the #200 Sieve (0.0030 in.), low to no fines content, sourced offsite.
E9	General Fill (Earthen Material)	Boulders, Cobbles, Gravel, Sand and Fines, particles ranging from 20 in. to silt and clay, up to 30% fines content, sourced from project excavations or nearby borrow areas within limits of work.
E9a	General Fill (Earthen Material)	Boulders, Cobbles, Gravel, Sand and Fines, particles ranging from 20 in. to silt and clay, up to 40% fines content, sourced from project excavations or nearby borrow areas within limits of work.
E9b	General Fill (Earthen Material)	Boulders, Cobbles, Gravel and Sand, particles ranging from 20 in. to the #200 sieve (0.0030 in), low to no fines content, sourced from project excavations or nearby borrows area within limits of work.
E10	Random Fill (Earthen Material)	Overburden, Rocks or Organics, no gradation requirements, sourced from project excavations.
CR1	Concrete Rubble ^{1,2}	Particles ranging from 36 in. to the #200 Sieve (0.0030 in.), with up to 30% fines content, steel reinforcement to remain concrete, sourced from demolition of onsite concrete structures.
CR2	Concrete Rubble ^{1,2}	Particles ranging from 24 in. to the #200 Sieve (0.0030 in.), with up to 30% fines content, steel reinforcement to remain concrete, sourced from demolition of onsite concrete structures.

- 1. Hazardous materials and substances will be removed prior to burying concrete rubble in a disposal site.
- 2. If access to rebar is safe, rebar protruding out of concrete will be cut flush and recycled off-site. Rebar and steel embedded in concrete will remain in its encased condition and buried.

3.0 Disposal Sites

3.1 Upland Disposal Sites

General Fill (Earthen Material) and Concrete Rubble will be disposed of at four on-site upland disposal sites (Upland Disposal Sites). Details pertaining to the location, construction, size, disposal materials, and associated figures for each disposal site are presented in Table 3-1. The Renewal Corporation will divert non-earthen material from being placed into the disposal sites. Disposal site locations were selected where drainage patterns can be preserved, such that onsite disposal would not create a threat to water quality. Appendix A, Figures includes two figures: general site location and a plan and profile of the disposal sites.

Table 3-1. Upland Disposal Sites

LOCATION	CONSTRUCTION	SIZE/INFILL CAPACITY	DISPOSAL MATERIALS	FIGURES		
 Located between J.C. Boyle canal spillway and the Klamath River. The location is approximately between 3,579 and 3,771 MSL, which is approximately 30 feet above the anticipated Klamath River active stream channel. 	 Located above anticipated post-drawdown OHWM. Rock material eroded from scour hole to be left in place. Place disposal materials within scour hole. 1.7H:1V slope range. Cap with minimum 6-foot cover of General Fill (E9 or E9b). Grade and slope for drainage to surrounding topography. Final erosion and sediment control stabilization (see Section 3.3). 	 Disposal area is approx. 1.8 acres Infill capacity is approx. 45,000 CY 	Concrete Rubble (CR1/CR2) General Fill (E9/E9a/E9b)	 Appendix A Figure A-3– J.C. Boyle Disposal Site – Scour Hole Appendix A: Figure A-4a: J.C. Boyle Disposal Site – Scour Hole Plan Appendix A: Figure A-4b: J.C. Boyle Disposal Site – Scour Hole Profile 		
LEFT BANK DISPOSAL SITE						
Located on the left bank upstream of the dam. The location is approximately between 3,768 and 3,798 MSL, which is approximately 60 feet	 Located above anticipated post-drawdown OHWM. Remove residual reservoir sediment from bank. Place disposal materials on slope. Slope varies. 	 Disposal area is approx. 10.8 acres Infill capacity is approx. 122,000 CY 	 Random Fill (E10) General Fill(E9/E9b) 	Appendix A Figure A-5– J.C. Boyle Disposal Site – Left Bank		

¹ The Scour Hole Disposal Site is located on Bureau of Land Management-owned land.

LOCATION	CONSTRUCTION	SIZE/INFILL CAPACITY	DISPOSAL MATERIALS	FIGURES
above the anticipated Klamath River active stream channel.	 Cap with minimum 6-foot cover of General Fill (E9/E9b). Grade and slope for drainage to surrounding topography. Final erosion and sediment control stabilization (see Section 3.3). 			 Appendix A: Figure A-6a: J.C. Boyle Disposal Site - Left Bank Disposal Plan Appendix A: Figure A-6b: J.C. Boyle Disposal Site – Left Bank Profile

3.2 Powerhouse and Tailrace Disposal Site

General Fill and Concrete Rubble will be disposed of in existing structures (i.e., powerhouse and tailrace). Details pertaining to the location, construction, size, disposal materials, location in relation to the anticipated post-drawdown Ordinary High-Water Mark (OHWM) and associated figures for the disposal site is presented in Table 3-2. The disposal site is detailed in two figures; one figure depicts general site location, and the second figure presents a plan and profile of the disposal site. Figures are presented in Appendix A.

Table 3-2. Powerhouse and Tailrace Disposal Site

LOCATION	CONSTRUCTION	SIZE/INFILL CAPACITY	DISPOSAL MATERIALS	FIGURES				
	POWERHOUSE AND TAILRACE DISPOSAL SITE ²							
Located adjacent to the J.C. Boyle Powerhouse Road and the Klamath River.	 Located partially below anticipated post-drawdown OHWM. Remove interior electrical, mechanical, and miscellaneous fixtures not imbedded in concrete from powerhouse prior to backfill. Place disposal materials within former tailrace and portion of powerhouse. Cap with a minimum of 2-foot cover of General Fill (E9/E9b). Cap with a minimum of 2-foot cover of Select Fill (E4) on the downward slope of the toe for erosion protection. 	 Disposal area is approx. 0.3 acres Infill capacity is approx. 6,000 CY 	Concrete Rubble (CR2) General Fill (E9/E9b)	 Appendix A: Figure A-7– J.C. Boyle Disposal Site – Powerhouse and Tailrace Appendix A: Figure A-8a– J.C. Boyle Disposal Site – Powerhouse and Tailrace Plan Appendix A: Figure A-8b– J.C. Boyle Disposal Site – Powerhouse and Tailrace Profile 				

² The Powerhouse and Tailrace Disposal Site is located on Bureau of Land Management-owned land.

3.3 Erosion and Sediment Control and Final Stabilization

Erosion and sediment control methods and final stabilization of the disposal sites will be conducted in accordance with the Oregon Erosion and Sediment Control Plan and Construction Management Plan (Use and Occupancy Plan for Bureau of Land Management Lands). Please refer to these management plans for additional details.

4.0 Hazardous Waste Types

Specific procedures are required to handle, store, transport, treat, and dispose of hazardous waste to maintain compliance with federal, state, and local regulations. The following section categorizes various waste types consistent with applicable laws and specifies what constitutes a waste of that type.

4.1 RCRA Hazardous Waste

Hazardous waste is federally regulated by environmental agencies including the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). A waste is considered Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) hazardous waste if:

- 1. It is not excluded or exempt from classification as a waste or a hazardous waste; and
- 2. It meets hazardous waste classification criteria including:
 - a. It exhibits any hazardous characteristic under applicable laws (ignitability, corrosivity, reactivity, or toxicity);
 - b. It is a "listed waste" appearing on one of four lists prepared and maintained by environmental agencies including EPA (the F, K, P and U lists); or
 - c. It is a mixture of a waste and one or more hazardous wastes. However, the mixtures of solid wastes and hazardous wastes listed in subpart D are not hazardous wastes (except by application of paragraph (a)(2) (i) or (ii) of 40 CFR 261.3) if the generator can demonstrate that the mixture consists of wastewater, the discharge of which is subject to regulation under either Section 402 or Section 307(b) of the Clean Water Act (including wastewater at facilities which have eliminated the discharge of wastewater).

4.2 RCRA Characteristic Hazardous Wastes

A RCRA Characteristic hazardous waste is a solid waste that exhibits at least one of the four EPA-assigned hazardous waste characteristics and definitions presented below:

Flammability/Ignitability

A solid waste is ignitable if it has any of the following properties: (1) it is a liquid and has a flash point below 140 °F, (2) it is not a liquid and can cause fire through friction, absorption of moisture or spontaneous chemical changes and when ignited it burns so vigorously that it creates a hazard, (3) it is an ignitable compressed gas, or (4) it is an oxidizer.

Corrosivity

A solid waste is corrosive if it has any of the following properties: (1) it is aqueous and has a pH less than or equal to 2 or greater than or equal to 12.5, or (2) is a liquid and corrodes steel at a rate greater than 0.25 inches a year.

Reactivity

A solid waste is reactive if it has any of the following properties: (1) it is normally unstable and readily undergoes violent change without detonating, (2) it reacts violently with water, (3) it forms explosive mixtures with water, (4) when mixed with water it generates toxic gases, vapors, or fumes, (5) it is a cyanide or sulfide bearing waste, which when exposed to pH conditions between 2 and 12.5, can generate toxic gases, vapors, or fumes, (6) capable of detonation or explosive reaction if subjected to a strong initiating source or if heated under confinement, or (7) it is readily capable of detonation or explosive reaction at standard temperature.

Toxicity

A solid waste exhibits the characteristic of toxicity if it is equal to or exceeds the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) limit listed in 40 CFR 261.24 Table I – Maximum Concentration of Contaminants for the Toxicity Characteristic.

4.3 RCRA Listed Hazardous Wastes

A RCRA Listed hazardous waste is a solid waste the EPA has determined to be hazardous waste. There are three categories of listed wastes:

- 1. Chemical products which are regulated as hazardous wastes when they are discarded commercial chemical products, off-specification species, container residues, and spill residues thereof (P-listed and U-listed waste codes).
- 2. Specific wastes from specific types of industrial processes (K-listed waste code).
- 3. Wastes from non-specific types of industrial processes (F-listed waste code).

4.4 Non-RCRA Hazardous Waste

4.4.1 Asbestos

Disturbance of any asbestos containing material (ACM) or asbestos containing waste material could generate airborne asbestos fibers and would be regulated by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). DEQ worker health and safety regulations apply during any disturbance of ACM or asbestos containing waste material by a person while in the employ of another. Disturbance of any asbestos containing material (ACM) or asbestos containing waste material will be conducted by an Oregon-Licensed asbestos abatement contractor in accordance with OAR 340-248-0110(1).

4.4.2 Lead

Following determination of RCRA levels of lead (D008 is >5.0 mg/l), disturbance of lead containing products or surfaces (which does not include remediating a lead hazard or action specifically designed to remove lead-based paint (LBP) to reduce or eliminate a known hazard), would be considered lead-related construction work.

4.5 Universal Waste

Universal wastes are hazardous wastes that are common to the workplace and pose a lower risk to people and the environment than other hazardous wastes. Types of waste streams regulated as universal wastes include the following:

- Batteries
- Pesticides
- Mercury-containing equipment
- Mercury-containing lamps (fluorescent light tubes and high-intensity discharge or HID lamps)

4.6 Used Oil

The DEQ defines used oil as any oil that has been refined from crude or synthetic oil and used as one of the following: lubricant, electrical insulation oil, hydraulic fluid, heat transfer oil, brake fluid, refrigeration oil, grease, and machine cutting oil. Used oil can be recycled to make new lubricants or used as an industrial fuel under established safeguards. When properly recycled, it is excluded from hazardous waste regulation.

Used oil does not include the following: used oil mixed with hazardous waste except as allowed in 40 CFR 279.10(b), petroleum and synthetic-based products used as solvent, antifreeze, wastewaters from which the oil has been removed, and oil-contaminated media or debris. Other materials that contain or are contaminated with used oil may also be subject to regulation as "used oil".

4.7 Oregon State Only Hazardous Waste

If no other federally listed RCRA codes apply, the DEQ recognizes RCRA listed wastes as hazardous, with a few exceptions that fall into the acutely hazardous category. Oregon adds to the federally listed hazardous wastes:

- Any residue, including manufacturing process wastes and unused chemicals, that has
 either: a 3 percent or greater concentration of any substance or mixture of substances
 listed in 40 CFR 261.33(e), or a 10 percent or greater concentration of any substance or
 mixture of substances listed in 40 CFR 261.33(f).
- Any residue or contaminated soil, water or other debris resulting from the cleanup of a spill into or on any land or water, of either: a residue identified in OAR 340-101-0033(2)(a)(A) or a residue identified in subsection OAR 340-101-0033 (2)(a)(B).

 X001 for Waste Pesticide residue, except for those that are managed as universal wastes; or whose constituents are listed in 40 CFR 261.24 (a) but are below the prescribed regulatory levels.

4.8 Waste Characterization

To determine the manner in which waste is required to be handled, stored, treated, transported or disposed, the waste generator must perform waste characterization in accordance with applicable laws. Generally accepted methods of waste characterization in Oregon (40 CFR 262.11) include the following:

- 1. Testing or sampling the waste according to approved methods (Sampling & Analysis); or
- 2. Applying knowledge of the hazardous properties of the waste considering the materials or the processes used and the characteristics (Process Knowledge).

5.0 Previous Environmental Evaluations

5.1 Phase I Environmental Site Assessments

Phase I Environmental Site Assessments (ESA) have been conducted for the Proposed Action to identify the presence, nature, and quantities of hazardous waste prior to commencement of dam removal. These ESAs are summarized below.

Phase I Environmental Site Assessment J.C. Boyle Dam, Copco No. 1 Dam, Copco No. 2 Dam, Iron Gate Dam, Iron Gate Fish Hatchery

Prepared by AECOM, for the Renewal Corporation November 2018

The 2018 ESA included an assessment of the J.C. Boyle, Copco No. 1, Copco No. 2, Iron Gate, and Iron Gate Fish Hatchery Developments. The summary provides below includes the information pertaining to the J.C. Boyle Development from the 2018 ESA and does not include the undeveloped lands surrounding the J.C. Boyle Development. The objectives of this report were to identify Recognized Environmental Conditions (RECs) that may exist at the J.C. Boyle Development. The 2018 ESA did not identify the presence of RECs associated with the J.C. Boyle Development. Although RECs were not identified as part of the ESA, additional findings included the following:

- An environmental regulatory database report identified an underground storage tank (UST) at the "J C BOYLE POWER PLANT". The report noted that this listing was an unmappable location due to poor or inadequate address information. No further information was available with regards to the specific location of the UST or whether it has been removed.
- One 500-gallon diesel and one-1,000-gallon gasoline above-ground storage tank (AST) and associated dispenser pumps are located adjacent to the Hazardous Material

Storage Shed. Both ASTs are double walled, are properly labeled and are underneath a permanent "cover", on top of concrete pads and appear to be in good condition with no observable signs of leakage. A metal grate was noted within the concrete fueling pad in front of the ASTs that drains to an oil-water separator. Although scattered snow and ice cover the areas surrounding the ASTs, no observable signs of staining, petroleum odors or distressed vegetation were noted.

According to PacifiCorp's 2019 SPCC Plan, there are no USTs located at the J.C. Boyle Development. Any gasoline in the AST will be excluded from the manifest, the generator category, and management as hazardous waste when managed under 40 CFR 261.2(c)(2)(ii)

Draft Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Parcel B Lands

Prepared by AECOM, for the Renewal Corporation January 2020

The subject of the 2020 ESA includes an assessment of the undeveloped land, known as Parcel B lands surrounding the J.C. Boyle Development. The objectives of this report were to identify RECs that may exist on the Parcel B lands surrounding the J.C. Boyle Development. Two RECS were identified as part of the Phase I ESA and are included below.

Dispersed Recreation Area – 2

A burn pit and stressed vegetation were identified on the north banks of the northeast side of the J.C. Boyle Reservoir, approximately 2,200 feet east of Spencer Creek. The burn pit is approximately 10 feet by 10 feet and contains ash, charred wood, broken glass, and other debris. The burning of these materials may generate contaminants that can leach into the soil and groundwater beneath the pit. A Phase II ESA soil and groundwater assessment is proposed to assess potential impacts.

Debris Piles

Three debris piles containing chopped wood, trees, household materials and appliances, potentially treated wood beams and metal scraps were observed approximately 600 feet west of Topsy Grade Road and 1,000 feet south of the J.C. Boyle Reservoir. Since the nature of this debris is unknown, a Phase II soil and groundwater assessment is proposed to assess potential impacts.

Following further review of the RECs identified in the Draft 2018 Phase I ESA, it was determined the Debris Piles did not warrant an additional soil and groundwater assessment. The Dispersed Recreation Area-2 was determined to be further evaluated. This evaluation is included below in the November 2021 Oregon Site Investigation Work Plan (OR SIWP).

Lower Klamath Hydroelectric Project Oregon Site Investigation Work Plan

Prepared by Jacobs, for PacifCorp November 2021

The 2021 OR SIWP was prepared to address the Dispersed Recreation Area-2 REC identified in the Draft January 2020 Phase I ESA – Parcel B Lands. A sampling plan was developed for this REC. Soil samples will be collected within and adjacent to the fire ring and from outside the visually impacted area (Figure 3-1) and analyzed for Title 22 metals, volatile organic compounds, semi-volatile organic compounds, dioxins, and furans.

The SIWP indicated potential contamination will likely be confined to shallow soil. Samples will be collected at 6-inch intervals every foot beginning at the surface to approximately 3.5 feet below the ground surface (bgs) at each sample location. The boring in the center of the fire ring will be advanced to a depth of 6 feet bgs for the collection of soil samples at the following intervals: 0.0-0.5 foot, 1.0-1.5 feet, 3.0-3.5 feet, and 5.5-6.0 feet bgs. Borings will be extended if visual observations or field instruments indicate COPCs are deeper. Two additional soil samples will be collected below the impacted soil. If groundwater is encountered in any of the borings, groundwater samples will be collected.

Implementation of the Oregon SIWP is being completed as part of the land transfer.

5.2 Hazardous Waste Surveys and Inventory

5.2.1 Surveys

The Renewal Corporation conducted surveys to identify and quantify hazardous waste with potential to be generated from demolition of dams and associated structures that will be managed and disposed of as part of the Proposed Action.

Hazardous Building Material Surveys (HBMSs)

J.C. Boyle Development

Prepared by AECOM, for the Renewal Corporation April 2019

A HBMS was conducted in April 2019 at the J.C. Boyle Development. The purpose of the HBMS survey was to provide information regarding the presence of lead-based paint (LBP) containing coatings, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB)-containing light ballasts, PCB-containing caulking, and mercury-containing sources, and the presence, location, and quantity of ACMs, for the purposes of decommissioning planning. Additional hazardous materials identified as part of this survey are presented as part of the October 2020 surveys presented below.

Hazardous Materials Survey Report (HMS)

J.C. Boyle Development

Prepared by Entek Consulting Group, Inc. for NV5 October 2020

A HMS was conducted in October 2020 at the J.C. Boyle Development. The purpose of this survey was to conduct a supplementary investigation to the April 2019 HBMS for hazardous materials. The October 2020 survey results include the April 2019 survey results. Since the HMS report is a compilation of the surveys conducted in 2019 and 2020, this report is included as Appendix B.

5.2.2 Hazardous Materials Inventory

The hazardous wastes identified as part of the surveys are presented in the following tables within Appendix C.

- Table C-1.: Universal Waste Inventoary
- Table C-2.: Non-RCRA Hazardous Waste Inventory
- Table C-3.: Characteristic Hazardous Waste Inventory

6.0 Hazardous Waste and Material Management

6.1 Hazardous Waste Generator

As a likely generator or co-generator of hazardous waste, the Renewal Corporation (directly or through its contractor) will conduct waste characterization for solid waste streams associated with the Proposed Action at the time of generation in compliance with generally accepted waste characterization procedures under applicable laws. The Renewal Corporation (directly or through its contractor) will manage all wastes characterized as hazardous waste produced as part of the Proposed Action in accordance with applicable federal and state law.

6.2 Training Requirements

Personnel will be trained to handle hazardous waste and materials in compliance with applicable federal and state laws. The Health and Safety Plan states additional personnel training requirements relevant to the handling of hazardous waste and hazardous materials.

6.3 Personnel Safety

Please reference the Health and Safety Plan for guidelines on personnel health and safety when handling hazardous waste and materials. The Renewal Corporation has also developed an Emergency Response Plan if accidents involving personnel occur.

6.4 Storage

Hazardous waste and materials will be stored in compliance with applicable laws and managed to prevent spills or releases of hazardous substances and to prevent the mixing of incompatible waste streams until they can be properly disposed of in accordance with local, state, and federal regulations. Storage locations will be selected prior to implementing the Proposed Action.

6.4.1 Hazardous Waste Storage

Hazardous waste will be stored prior to off-site transport and disposal in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including rules governing waste generator pre-transport requirements and hazardous waste accumulation timelines.

6.4.2 Universal Waste Storage

The Renewal Corporation will store batteries, pesticides, mercury-containing equipment, and mercury-containing lamps (fluorescent light tubes and high-intensity discharge or HID lamps) in accordance with applicable universal waste storage regulations.

6.4.3 Used Oil

Used oil will be stored in accordance with applicable standards for management of used oil.

6.5 Transportation

Hazardous waste and materials will be transported in accordance with all local, state, and federal regulations.

6.5.1 Hazardous Waste and Materials

Hazardous waste and materials will be transported by a licensed hazardous waste transporter in accordance with applicable laws. Before being transported, waste and materials will be packaged, labeled, and marked in accordance with application requirements of governmental agencies. Hazardous waste transporters will obtain a completed and signed Uniform Hazardous Waste Manifest. Hazardous waste and materials will be contained in appropriate containers when transported.

6.5.2 Universal Hazardous Waste and Materials

Universal waste and materials will be transported to an off-site authorized universal waste collection site or to a universal waste destination facility, which will be determined at the time of disposal. An off-site collection site is a location where the waste may be shipped for consolidation before shipment to a universal waste destination facility.

Universal waste shall be transferred to a destination facility that treats, recycles, or disposes of universal waste and will meet applicable state and federal transportation requirements for packaging, labeling, placarding, and preparing shipping documentation.

6.5.3 Used Oil

Used oil generators shipping more than 55 gallons of used oil at a time will use a DEQ registered used oil transporter. The transporter will deliver used oil collected from the generator to:

Another used oil transporter who also has obtained a DEQ/EPA identification number

- A used oil processing/re-refining facility that has obtained a DEQ/EPA identification number
- An off-specification used oil burning facility that has obtained a DEQ/EPA identification number
- An on-specification used oil burning facility

6.6 Containment

Containment of hazardous wastes will be managed in accordance with applicable local, state, and federal regulations.

6.6.1 Hazardous Waste and Material Containment

As discussed above, hazardous waste and materials will be stored in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including rules governing waste generator pre-transport requirements and hazardous waste accumulation timelines.

Storage locations for hazardous waste and materials to be used in connection with the Proposed Action will include secondary containment units so that if a leak occurs, it will be contained and not allowed to enter the surrounding environment. If there is a fuel storage onsite, the containment will have a minimum volume of 120 percent of the volume of the largest container stored in that area. Secondary containment will be maintained, clean, and free of standing water.

Hazardous waste and materials will be stored and protected from rain and runoff to avoid contamination of soil or transfer to a water source. Along with utilizing the correct storage container, the Renewal Corporation will label, tag, or mark each substance with overall signage including the name of the substance, the hazard warning (e.g., corrosive, toxic, etc.), and the manufacturer's contact information. Hazardous waste and materials will be contained in an appropriate container when transported.

7.0 Spill Clean Up, Notification and Reporting Procedures

As discussed herein, the Project will take customary steps to avoid unauthorized spills, releases, or discharges of hazardous substances. In the event of a spill or release of hazardous waste materials into the environment, the Renewal Corporation may initiate testing to determine the level of response and abatement required. Monitoring of the spill site will continue until full abatement has been reached and if necessary, the details of the spill event and actions taken in response to the spill will be reported to the appropriate agencies and/or authorities. For additional measures relevant to spill events, reporting procedures, and notification process please refer to the Oregon Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan.

8.0 Deleterious Waste

The Renewal Corporation will not place biologically harmful material including but not limited to petroleum products, chemicals, cement cured less than 24 hours, welding slag and grindings,

concrete saw cutting by-products, sandblasted materials, chipped paint, tires, wire, steel posts, and asphalt where such materials could enter waters of the state, including wetlands. To ensure these protections occur the Renewal Corporation will do the following:

- Cure concrete, cement, or grout for at least 24 hours prior to any contact with flowing waters.
- Use only clean fill, free of waste and polluted substances.
- Employ all practicable controls to prevent discharges of spills of deleterious materials to surface or ground water.
- Maintain at the project construction site, and deploy as necessary, an adequate supply of materials needed to contain deleterious materials during a weather event.
- Remove foreign materials, refuse, and waste from the project area.
- Always employ general good housekeeping practices.


9.0 References

- Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (eCFR) Title 29, Part 1910. Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response. *Accessed October 10, 2020.*
- Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (eCFR) Title 40, Part 260. Hazardous Waste Management System: General. *Accessed October 10, 2020.*
- Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (eCFR) Title 40, Part 261. Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste. *Accessed October 10, 2020.*
- Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (eCFR) Title 40, Part 262. Standards Applicable to Generators of Hazardous Waste. *Accessed October 10, 2020.*
- Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (eCFR) Title 40, Part 264. Standards for Owners and Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities. *Accessed October 10, 2020.*
- Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (eCFR) Title 40, Part 273. Standards for Universal Waste Management. *Accessed October 10, 2020.*
- Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (eCFR) Title 40, Part 279. Standards for the Management of Used Oil. *Accessed October 10, 2020.*
- Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (eCFR) Title 40, Part 302. Designation, Reportable Quantities, and Notification. *Accessed October 10, 2020.*

- Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (eCFR) Title 49, Part 172. Hazardous materials table, special provisions, hazardous materials communications, emergency response information, training requirements, and security plans. *Accessed October 10, 2020.*
- Electronic United States Code (eUSC) Title 42, Chapter 103, Subchapter 1, Section 9601.

 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act.
- Klamath River Restoration Corporation (KRRC). 2020. Klamath River Renewal Project 100% Design Report.
- Klamath River Renewal Corporation (KRRC). 2018. Definite Plan for the Lower Klamath Project. June.
- Knight Piésold. 2022a. Design Report. Prepared for Klamath River Renewal Project. June.
- Knight Piésold. 2022b. Technical Specifications. Prepared for Klamath River Renewal Project. June.
- Oregon Administrative Rules (eOAR) Chapter 340, Division 113: Universal Waste Management. *Accessed online December 10, 2020.*
- Oregon Administrative Rules (eOAR) Chapter 340, Division 111: Used Oil Management. *Accessed online December 10, 2020.*
- Oregon Administrative Rules (eOAR) Chapter 340, Division 101: Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste. *Accessed online December 10, 2020.*
- Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ). 2018. Clean Water Act Section 401
 Certification for the Klamath River Renewal Corporation License Surrender and Removal of the Lower Klamath Project (FERC No. 14803) Klamath County, Oregon. September.

Lower Klamath Project – FERC No. 14803	
	Appendix A
	Figures







(At original document size of 8.5 x 11) 1:3,290

- Notes
 1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401
- 2. Data Sources: Disposal Sites, Limits of Work and Access Routes; Knight Piesold 100 design

 3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Legend






Lower Klamath Project

Figure A-1: J.C. Boyle Disposal Site Barrow Site



PRELIMINARY DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)





Notes


- Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401
 Feet
- 2. Data Sources: Disposal sites: and access routes: Knight Piesold 30 design;
- 3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.


Lower Klamath Project








PRELIMINARY DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)











NOTE:

- ALL DEMOLISHED CONCRETE RUBBLE FROM THE J.C. BOYLE PROJECT AREA SHALL BE DISPOSED OF IN THE J.C. BOYLE POWER CANAL SPILLWAY SCOUR HOLE. SEE SHEETS C1340, AND C1341.
- PLACEMENT OF MATERIALS IN THE DISPOSAL SITE IS LIMITED TO EARTH AND ROCK MATERIAL FROM J.C. BOYLE PROJECT DEMOLITION/REMOVAL WORK.
- THE INTENT OF THE VARYING SLOPES SHOWN FOR THE DISPOSAL SITE CONFIGURATION IS TO PROVIDE FINAL NATURAL LOOKING CONTOURS WHILE PROVIDING ADEQUATE VOLUME FOR ESTIMATED NEEDED DISPOSAL QUANTITY.



Notes

- 1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401
- 2. Disposal sites: and access routes: Knight Piesold 30 design;
- 3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

LEGEND:




EARTH FILL (PROPOSED)


Lower Klamath Project

Figure 2b: J.C. Boyle Disposal Site Barrow Site - Profile



PRELIMINARY DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)





- 1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401
- 2. Data Sources: Disposal sites, Limits of Work and Access Roads: Knight
- Piesold 100 design.

 3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Legend




Lower Klamath Project

Figure A-3: J.C. Boyle Disposal Site Scour Hole



PRELIMINARY DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)



NOTES:

- REFER TO GENERAL NOTES ON DRAWING GOODS FOR INFORMATION REGARDING TOPOGRAPHIC AND BATHYMETRIC DATA SOURCES.
- CONTRACTOR TO ADJUST SITE SLOPES AND GRADES FOR SAFETY AS NECESSARY.
- 3. EXCAVATED MATERIAL FROM FOREBAY GRADING TO BE USED AS COVER MATERIAL FOR SCOUR HOLE FILL.
- 4. ROCK MATERIAL ERODED FROM SCOUR HOLE TO BE LEFT IN PLACE.
- SCOUR HOLE FILL LIMITED TO CONCRETE RUBBLE (CR1/CR2) AND GENERAL FILL (E9/E90/E9b) MATERIALS. MATERIAL THAT DOES NOT MEET THESE REQUIREMENTS TO BE PLACED AT THE LEFT BANK DISPOSAL SITE.
- ALL CONCRETE TO BE BURIED WITH A MINIMUM 6 ft OF COVER MATERIALS.
- MAXIMUM POTENTIAL FILL SHOWN ON DRAWING TO BE GRADED TO DRAIN.
- BOULDERS TO BE PLACED BETWEEN POWERHOUSE ROAD REALIGNMENT AND SCOUR HOLE FILL. DETAILS SHOWN ON DRAWING C1511.
- ELEVATION CONTOURS OF SCOUR HOLE FILL DENOTE FINAL GRADE.



<u>Notes</u>

- Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401
 Foot
- 2. Data Sources: Disposal sites: Knight Piesold 100 design; Access routes: Knight Piesold 100 design.
- 3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

LEGEND:


— — LIMITS OF WORK

Lower Klamath Project

Figure A-4a: J.C. Boyle Disposal Site Scour Hole - Plan



PRELIMINARY DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)





- 1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401 Feet
- 2. Data Sources: Disposal sites: Knight Piesold 100 design; Access routes: Knight Piesold 100 design.
- 3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

LEGEND:

(E) EARTHFILL

CONCRETE RUBBLE (CR1/CR2)


Figure A-4b: J.C. Boyle Disposal Site
Scour Hole Profile

KLAMATH
RIVER RENEWAL
CORPORATION



PRELIMINARY DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)

GENERAL FILL (E9/E9a/E9b)





1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401 Feet

2. Data Sources: Disposal Sites, Limits of Work and Access Routes: Knight

Piesold 100 design

3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Legend




Lower Klamath Project

Figure A-5 J.C. Boyle Left Disposal Site



NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION





1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401

2. Data Sources: Disposal sites: Knight Piesold 100 design; Access routes:

2. Data Oddiesia. Disposa National Residential Residen


LEGEND:



LIMITS OF WORK




Lower Klamath Project





(NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)





<u>Notes</u>

1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401

2. Data Sources: Disposal sites: Knight Piesold 100 design; Access routes: Knight Piesold 100 design.


3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

LEGEND:













Lower Klamath Project


Figure A-7: J.C. Boyle Left Bank Disposal Site - Profile



(NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)







1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401

2. Data Sources: Disposal Sites, Limits of Work, Access Roads: Knight

Piesold 100 design.

3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

Legend



Lower Klamath Project

Figure A-7: J.C. Boyle Disposal Site Powerhouse and Tailrace



PRELIMINARY DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)



Notes

1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS

2. Data Sources: Disposal sites: Knight Piesold 100 design; Access routes: Knight Piesold 100 design.

3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.


LEGEND:



LIMITS OF WORK


ASPHALT

Lower Klamath Project





PRELIMINARY DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)





1. Coordinate System: NAD83 HARN StatePlane California I FIPS 0401 Feet

2. Data Sources: Disposal sites: Knight Piesold 100 design; Access routes: Knight Piesold 100 design.

3. Background: National Geographic, Esri, Garmin, HERE, UNEP-WCMC, USGS, NASA, ESA, METI, NRCAN, GEBCO, NOAA, increment P Corp.

LEGEND:

(E) EARTHFILL



GENERAL FILL (E9/E9b)



CONCRETE RUBBLE (CR2)

Lower Klamath Project

Figure A-8b: J.C. Boyle Disposal Site Powerhouse and Tailrace Profile



PRELIMINARY DESIGN (NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION)

Lower Klamath Projec	t – FERC No.	14803
----------------------	--------------	-------

Appendix B

J.C. Boyle Hazardous Waste Survey Report

Fax (916) 632-6812 www.entekgroup.com

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SURVEY FINAL REPORT

CLIENT

NV5 48 Bellarmine Court, Ste. 40 Chico, CA 95928

CONTACT

Heidi Cummings, PG, QSD Senior Geologist

SURVEY ADDRESS

JC Boyle Development

BUILDINGS SURVEYED

Multiple Structures at JC Boyle Development Klamath River Renewal Project

PREPARED BY

Andy Roed
CAC #16-5695 & CDPH I/A 29001
Entek Consulting Group, Inc.
4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7
Rocklin, CA 95677

Entek Project #20-5562

November 4, 2020

ASBESTOS LEAD MOLD INDOOR AIR QUALITY NOISE MONITORING TRAINING HEALTH AND SAFETY AUDITS



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	3
Introduction	4
Building Description	4
Asbestos Inspection and Sample Collection Protocols	7
Asbestos Bulk Sample Results	8
Asbestos Regulatory Requirements	12
Lead Inspection and Sampling	13
Lead Sampling Results	13
Lead Regulatory Compliance	15
Fluorescent Light Tubes and Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	15
Thermostats With Mercury Switches	17
Freon and Fluorocarbons	17
Smoke Detectors Which May Contain a Radioactive Element	17
Limitations	17

Appendices

- **Asbestos Related Documents** A.
- B. Lead Related Documents
- C.
- Sample Location Maps Backup Documentation D.
- E. Historical Documents



Executive Summary

Entek Consulting Group, Inc. (Entek) was contracted to conduct a supplementary investigation for hazardous materials specific to areas at the JC Boyle Development as designated by NV5 and Kiewit Infrastructure West Co. (Kiewit) as part of the Klamath River Renewal Project. Based on documentation provided to Entek, AECOM Technical Services, Inc. (AECOM) conducted a hazardous materials survey in April of 2019. Entek utilized AECOM's survey and the sample results to minimize the number of samples and time required to complete the survey. This report combines AECOM's final report as well as Entek's supplemental sampling into one report. AECOM's report is also attached to this report for your records. The investigation included an assessment of the following:

- Asbestos Materials
- Lead in Paint, Coatings, Ceramic Products and other Construction Components
- Fluorescent Light Tubes
- Light Ballasts
- Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB)
- Mercury Containing Thermostats and Switches
- Smoke Detectors with Radioactive Americium 241
- Exit Signs with Radioactive Gas Tritium
- Freon

Entek did not specifically inspect for mercury containing fluorescent light tubes or light ballast which may contain polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), thermostats which may contain mercury switches, equipment or systems which may contain Freon or other fluorocarbons, or smoke detectors which may contain a radioactive element. However, information pertaining to these materials is included in this report for your use and reference, since these light systems are present on the project.

The purpose of the inspection was to comply with the US EPA NESHAP requirements and the California Air Resource Board which has jurisdiction for this project site to determine if asbestos containing materials are present which may be impacted during an upcoming demolition project.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (US EPA NESHAP), 40 CFR Part 61 - Nov. 20, 1990, requires an owner or operator of a demolition or renovation project to thoroughly inspect the affected facility or part of the facility where the demolition or renovation operation will occur for the presence of asbestos-containing materials (ACM) prior to the commencement of that project.

This inspection was requested by Ms. Heidi Cummings, Senior Geologist with NV5. The attached drawings show approximate sample locations. Materials are classified in the tables of this report as Regulated Asbestos Containing Material (RACM), Category I (CAT-I) or Category II (CAT-II) ACM, or Asbestos Containing Construction Material (ACCM). The report must be read in its entirety prior to making any interpretations, or conclusions pertaining to the information. Any conclusions made by the reader about the information provided in the body of this report which are contradictory or not included in



this report are the responsibility of the reader.

Introduction

This report presents results of a supplemental asbestos and lead survey performed by Entek which included the interior and exterior of select structures as outlined in the building descriptions below. These buildings are located at the JC Boyle Development. Fluorescent lights were observed at this project site; therefore, this report also includes references to regulations pertaining to handling practices and waste disposal of PCB light ballasts and mercury containing light tubes and thermostats which may be impacted during this project.

The inspection was conducted by Mr. Andy Roed and Mr. Richard Perrelli on September 17, 2020. Mr. Roed and Mr. Perrelli are Cal/OSHA Certified Asbestos Consultants (CAC) and State of California Department of Public Health (CDPH) certified Lead Inspector/Assessors.

This report was prepared for Ms. Heidi Cummings, Senior Geologist with NV5.

Building Description

The following structures were not accessible by Entek and/or AECOM during either survey. The company in parenthesis was unable to access the structure due to safety or instructed to not enter structure by the building owner.

- Residence 1(Entek)
- Residence 2 (Entek/AECOM)
- Structure above Stop log gates on metal support beams (Entek/AECOM)

Canal Headgate (JCCH)

The Canal Headgate is connected to the Intake Structure by a 14' steel pipeline.

Communication Building (JCCB)

The Communication Building is located south of the dam. It is an approximately 360 square feet paneled building with a slab-on-grade concrete foundation. The exterior siding and roofing consists of pre-fabricated steel. The interior consists of pre-fabricated metal wall siding and unfinished concrete flooring. The building contains a work station, electrical panels and two 32 units battery bank in secondary containment systems.

Emergency Spill Equipment Shed (JCES)

The Emergency Spill Equipment Shed is adjacent to the Powerhouse, is approximately 100 square feet, and is a single-story concrete slab on grade shed with engineered wood siding and asphaltic shingle roofing. The interior of the shed is unfinished wood. The structure is currently being used as storage for emergency spill purposes.



Fire Protection Building (JCFP)

The Fire Protection Building is located east of the diversion dam along the west bank of the reservoir. It is an approximately 600 square feet cinder block building with a slab-on-grade concrete floor and wooden ceiling. The structure houses water piping, compressed air tanks and electrical cabinets. The interior finishes consist of concrete flooring, CMU siding, and exposed metal ceiling.

Fish Ladder (JCFL)

The Fish Ladder is north of the Intake Structure. It is constructed of concrete.

Gate Control Center Building (JCGC)

The Fire Protection Building is located east of the diversion dam along the west bank of the reservoir. It is an approximately 600 square feet cinder block building with a slab-on-grade concrete floor and wooden ceiling. The structure houses water piping, compressed air tanks and electrical cabinets. The interior finishes consist of concrete flooring, CMU siding, and exposed metal ceiling.

Groundwater Pumphouse (JCGWPH)

The Groundwater Pumphouse is a prefabricated shed located southeast of the outdoor storage area. It is approximately 100 square feet. The exterior consists of metal siding and roofing. The interior of the building consists of unfinished wood throughout.

HazMat Shed and Above Ground Storage Tanks (JCHM)

The HazMat Shed and Above Ground Storage Tanks are located about 50 feet east of the Office and Warehouse building. The HazMat Shed is approximately 240 square feet. The HazMat Shed exterior consists of pre-fabricated metal siding with a slab-on-grade concrete foundation. The interior of the storage shed consists of unfinished metal siding and ceiling and unfinished concrete flooring. One each 500 gallon diesel and 1,000 gallon gasoline above ground storage tanks are located adjacent to the Hazardous Material Storage Shed. Both are double walled ASTs and are underneath a permanent "cover" and on top of concrete pads.

Intake Structure (JCIS)

The Intake Structure is located on the western side of the JC Boyle Reservoir. The south end of the structure includes a Fish Screen Building accessed by a wooden bridge. The perimeter of the Fish Screen Building is encircled by a wooden walkway above the reservoir to access metal fish screens. The exterior of the Fish Screen Building consists of corrugated metal siding and roofing. The interior of the Fish Screen Building consists of concrete flooring, walls, and ceiling. The JC Boyle Dam extends north of the Fish Screen Building, including stop log gates, metal grating walkways, electrical panels, and mules. The Fish Ladder extends west on the north end of the dam.

Office and Warehouse (JCOW)

The Office and Warehouse Building is approximately 1,800 square feet with a slab-on-grade concrete foundation. It resembles a "Red Barn" and is located approximately 300 feet west of the dam. The office portion contains a small kitchen with a sink and a restroom with water discharged to a septic tank. The maintenance warehouse portion is a large open area for typical repair and maintenance activities, the storage



maintenance equipment, tools and miscellaneous supplies, and has a side fenced storage area.

Outdoor Storage Area (JCBY)

The Outdoor Storage Area (also referred to as the boneyard) is located south of the Vehicle Storage Shed. Various items are scattered throughout the Outdoor Storage Area, including scrap metal and a decommissioned storage tank.

Penstocks (JCPS)

The Penstocks extend downhill from the surge tank, on the north side of the Powerhouse, and feed the turbines inside the Powerhouse.

Powerhouse (JCPH)

The Powerhouse is approximately 7,000 square feet and is a reinforced concrete structure and contains three levels; above ground, first lower level, and second lower level. The above ground level contains the upper portions of two vertical-shafts and Francis-type turbines contained in their own concrete vaults. A single 150-ton gantry crane was observed over the two turbines. The first lower level contained the lower portions of the turbines that were housed in concrete vaults, electrical panels, tanks, air compressors, oil, water and air piping, a small open office, and a restroom connected to an outdoor septic tank. The second lower level contained the piping, penstock intakes, and sump pumps. Exterior and interior wall, floor, and ceiling finishes consist of concrete and CMU that are primarily painted throughout.

Residence 1 (JCR1)

Residence 1 is approximately 2,000 square feet and is located east of the Vehicle Storage Shed. The building exterior consists of wood siding and asphaltic shingle roofing. The interior of the building contains bedrooms, bathrooms, a kitchen, a living room, and closets. The interior finishes include gypsum walls and ceilings, vinyl floor sheeting, and carpeting.

Residence 2 (JCR2)

Residence 2 is approximately 2,000 square feet and is located east of the Vehicle Storage Shed. The interior of the building was inaccessible during the inspection. The building exterior consists of wood siding and asphaltic shingle roofing.

Spillway Control Center Building (JCSW)

The Spillway Control Center Building is approximately 420 square feet and is located adjacent to the Spillway. The exterior consists of metal siding and roofing. The interior of the building was not accessed during the inspection due to the observable presence of bats.

Substation (JCST)

The Substation is located inside the Switchyard and was not accessed during the inspection due to safety considerations.

Switchyard (JCSW)

The Switchyard is approximately 23,000 square feet, is located west of the Powerhouse, and was not accessed during the inspection due to safety considerations. The Switchyard



contains electrical transformers, substations, transmission poles and lines within a fenced gravel area.

Timber Bridge (JCWB)

The Timber Bridge is approximately 1,600 square feet, and is located near the 14' diversion pipe, at the base of the Headgate.

Vehicle Storage Shed (JCVS)

The Vehicle Storage Shed is located east of the Office/Warehouse building and is approximately 4,400 square feet. The exterior of the building consists of metal siding and corrugated metal roofing. The interior finishes consist of unfinished metal framed walls and ceiling with batt insulation and unfinished concrete flooring.

Warehouse (JCWH)

The Warehouse is approximately 4,800 square feet. The exterior of the building consists of metal siding and corrugated metal roofing. The interior of the building consists of unfinished metal framed walls and ceiling with batt insulation and unfinished concrete flooring.

Asbestos Inspection and Sample Collection Protocols

Entek included all specific designated interior and exterior areas of the buildings included in this report. Entek did not use any demolition methods to look within enclosed wall or ceiling cavities during this investigation. Entek did include all suspect materials observed in, on, or associated with the areas included in this report.

Entek reviewed the report prepared by AECOM prior to and during the site inspection. Materials sampled by AECOM were not resampled as part of this assessment. Only new material or materials which were assumed to contain asbestos by AECOM were sampled where possible.

Bulk samples were collected of various materials suspected to contain asbestos by utilizing a power drill and coring tube, cutting the materials with a razor knife, or use of other appropriate hand tools.

Surfacing materials were collected in a statistically random manner representative of the associated homogenous area as required in 40 CFR Part 763, Asbestos-Containing Materials in Schools; Final Rule and Notice, published October 30, 1987.

Miscellaneous materials were collected from each homogenous area in a manner sufficient to determine whether the material is or is not ACM as required in 40 CFR Part 763, Asbestos-Containing Materials in Schools; Final Rule and Notice, published October 30, 1987.

Approximate locations of all samples collected during this inspection are indicated on the "Bulk Asbestos Material Analysis Request Form for Entek", which served as the chain of custody for the samples, and on the building diagram(s) attached to this report.



Asbestos Bulk Sample Results

There were several materials observed which are considered "suspect" under US EPA guidelines. Under current US EPA guidelines for conducting building inspections for ACM, all "suspect" materials must be assumed to contain asbestos until otherwise determined by laboratory testing.

The samples of materials suspected of containing asbestos were submitted to Asbestech, a laboratory located in Carmichael, California. These samples were subsequently analyzed by polarized light microscopy (PLM) with dispersion staining.

The US EPA NESHAP uses the terms Regulated Asbestos Containing Material (RACM), Category I, and Category II when identifying materials which contain asbestos in amounts greater than 1%. Cal/OSHA uses the term ACCM which indicates a manufactured construction material contains greater than 0.1% asbestos by weight by the PLM method. This definition can be found in Title 8, 1529.

Copies of Asbestech's laboratory reports and accreditations are attached.

Bulk samples were collected of all the materials considered to be "suspect", which had not been previously sampled, and were observed during this investigation. Some of those samples contained multiple layers which were individually analyzed to determine their asbestos content. Analysis of all samples collected was by PLM with dispersion staining. Results of the analysis for materials found to contain asbestos by both AECOM and Entek compiled in the table on the following pages

For all materials tested and found not to contain asbestos by Entek, refer to all laboratory results that are attached. In addition, the report by AECOM provides a list of materials with laboratory results of materials they collected, which include materials found to be positive and negative for asbestos.



Suspect Materials Found or Assumed TO Contain >1% Asbestos						
Sample ID#'s	Suspect Material	Location	NESHAP Category	Asbestos Content/Type (%) by PLM	Total Estimated Quantity	
	Communications Building (JCCB)					
JCCB-04	Tan Caulking	Base of Interior Wall/Concrete Interface	Cat. II	2% Chrysotile	78 Linear Feet	
		HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed (JCHM)				
JCHM-01	Asphaltic Concrete Crack Sealant	Asphalt Pad Associated with HazMat Shed and Above Ground Storage Tank	Cat. II	2% Chrysotile	20 Linear Feet	
JCHM-03	JCHM-03 Off-White Caulking On Above Ground Storage Tank Casing Pipe Threads and Penetrations	Cat. II	<1% Chrysotile	4 Each		
			(Confirmed by 1,000 Point Count)	(Penetrations)		
JCHM-06	Off-White Sealant	Ceiling/Roof Seams of HazMat Shed	Cat. II	45% Chrysotile	100 Linear Feet	
	Office Warehouse (JCOW)					
N/A	Silver Woven Electrical Wire Insulation	Throughout Office and Warehouse	Cat. II	Assumed to Contain Asbestos	Not Quantified	
Powerhouse (JCPH)						
N/A	Gaskets	Piping and Mechanical Equipment Throughout Powerhouse	Cat. II	Assumed to Contain Asbestos	Not Quantified	
JCPH-08	Gray Door Sealant	Entry into upper level of Powerhouse	Cat. II	3-6% Chrysotile	32 Linear Feet	
		(Interior and Exterior of Door)				
N/A	Metal Clad Fire Doors	Doors Throughout Powerhouse	Cat. II	Assumed to Contain Asbestos	5 Each	



Suspect Materials Found or Assumed TO Contain >1% Asbestos					
Sample ID#'s	Suspect Material	Location	NESHAP Category	Asbestos Content/Type (%) by PLM	Total Estimated Quantity
N/A	Wicket Gates	Associated with Turbines	Cat. II	Assumed to Contain Asbestos	2 Each
		Warehouse (JCWH)			
JCWH-01	Black Asphaltic Slip Sheet with Cementitious Material	Exterior Interface between metal Siding and Concrete Foundation	Cat. II	10-14% Chrysotile	200 Linear Feet
JCWH-05	Tan Brittle Caulking	Metal Seems around roll-up door	Cat. II	4% Chrysotile	330 Square Feet
		Residence 1 (JCR2)			
N/A	Ceiling Texture	Ceiling Throughout	N/A	<1% Chrysotile (Confirmed by 1,000 Point Count)	2,000 Square Feet
Residence 2 (JCR2) This Structure was not accessible by Entek or AECOM. The materials listed below are provided as an estimate of what materials may be present as requested by the client. It is recommended that a survey of the structure be completed prior to asbestos abatement activities. More of less materials may be actually present.					
N/A	Asphaltic Roofing and Associated Felt Paper	Roof Throughout	Cat. II	Assumed to Contain Asbestos	2,000 Square Feet
N/A	Felt Paper Behind Wood Siding	Siding Throughout	Cat. II	Assumed to Contain Asbestos	1,500 Square Feet
N/A	Drywall And Joint Compound	Interior Walls and Ceiling Throughout	Cat. II	Assumed to Contain Asbestos	4,500 Square Feet
N/A	Wall and Ceiling Texture	Interior Walls and Ceiling Throughout	RACM	Assumed to Contain Asbestos	4,500 Square Feet



Suspect Materials Found or Assumed TO Contain >1% Asbestos					
Sample ID#'s	Suspect Material	Location	NESHAP Category	Asbestos Content/Type (%) by PLM	Total Estimated Quantity
N/A	Vinyl Sheet Flooring and Mastic	Throughout Floor of Structure	Cat. II	Assumed to Contain Asbestos	2,000 Square Feet
Throughout JC Boyle Development					
N/A	Transite Piping	Assumed to be present underground throughout the JC Boyle Development	Cat. II	Assumed To Contain Asbestos	Unable to Quantify

NOTE: Any CAT-I or CAT-II materials identified in the previous tables which will be subjected to mechanical removal, must be considered RACM for the purposes of notification to US EPA Region IX, CARB, or Local AQMD and classification of waste. Removal of any CAT-I or CAT-II materials prior to demolition of a building is dependent upon how the materials will be impacted and if the impact will cause the materials to become friable. If any remaining CAT-I or CAT-II materials will become friable they must be removed prior to the initiation of demolition.

NOTE: Cal/OSHA regulates all materials containing greater than 0.1% asbestos. As a result, impact to materials identified as ACCM and ACM must be performed by properly asbestos trained personnel utilizing appropriate personal protection, work practices, as well as, properly constructed and demarcated work areas or containments, in accordance with Cal/OSHA asbestos regulations.



The tables above provide an estimate of the amount of materials in square feet or linear feet. Contractors are responsible for quantifying the exact quantity of materials impacted by the renovation or demolition and shall not rely on the quantities in the above tables.

US EPA AHERA uses three terms when determining the classification of a material for the purpose of sampling. These terms include miscellaneous, surfacing, and thermal system insulation (TSI).

<u>Miscellaneous materials</u> are building materials on structural components, structural members or fixtures, such as floor and ceiling tiles, and do not include surfacing material or TSI.

<u>Surfacing materials</u> are materials that are sprayed-on, troweled-on, or otherwise applied to surfaces, such as acoustical plaster on ceiling and fireproofing materials on structural members, or other materials on surfaces for acoustical, fireproofing, or other purposes.

<u>TSI</u> is material applied to pipes, fittings, boilers, breeching, tanks, ducts, or other structural components to prevent heat loss or gain, water condensation, or for other purposes.

The information provided in the tables of this report are for use by the Owner in determining where asbestos containing materials are located, and whether or not any future work may impact those materials. The information is also provided for use by any contractor who may perform work in areas impacting the materials listed in this report, and for use as appropriate by asbestos abatement contractors to provide costs related to work impacting ACM.

Any building materials which are considered "suspect" for containing asbestos which have not been identified in this report must be assumed to contain asbestos in amounts >1% until properly investigated and/or tested.

Materials commonly excluded from being suspected for containing asbestos include, but are not limited to: unwrapped pink and yellow fiberglass insulating materials or products, foam insulation, wood, metal, plastic, or glass. All other types of building materials or coatings on the materials listed above are commonly listed as "suspect" and must be tested prior to impact by a Contractor. Work impacting these untested or newly discovered materials must cease until an investigation can be completed.

Asbestos Regulatory Requirements

<u>US EPA</u>

A demolition is the wrecking, taking out, or burning of any load supporting structural member. A renovation is everything else. 10 day written notification to the US EPA Region IX, CARB or local AQMD is required prior to the performance of any demolition project regardless of asbestos being present or not. This notification would also apply to any renovation project which involves the wrecking, taking out, or burning of any load bearing structural member during a renovation as well.

There is a sufficient amount of ACM present to require a 10 day notification to the US EPA Region IX, CARB or local AQMD be submitted prior to starting work which will impact



materials identified as RACM or CAT-I and CAT-II materials if they are made friable. If more than 160 square feet, 260 linear feet or 35 cubic feet of RACM is planned for removal on the project, formal written notification to US EPA Region IX, CARB or local AQMD is required.

Oregon OSHA

Disturbance of any ACM or ACCM could generate airborne asbestos fibers and would be regulated by Oregon OSHA. Oregon OSHA worker health and safety regulations apply during any disturbance of ACM or ACCM by a person while in the employ of another. This is true regardless of friability or quantity disturbed. The contractor shall comply with all Oregon OSHA regulations and notification requirements prior to the disturbance of the material.

Lead Inspection and Sampling

An X-ray fluorescence (XRF) Spectrum Analyzer was used during the lead inspection portion of this survey as a screening tool in determining if lead is present in quantities which would require existing paints and/or coatings to be classified as Lead-Based Paint (LBP).

In XRF spectroscopy, the process begins by exposing the sample in question to a source of x-rays or gamma rays. As these high energy photons strike the sample, they tend to knock electrons out of their orbits around the nuclei of the atoms that make up the sample. When this occurs, an electron from an outer orbit, or "shell", of the atom will fall into the shell of the missing electron. Since outer shell electrons are more energetic than inner shell electrons, the relocated electron has an excess of energy that is expended as an XRF photon. This fluorescence is unique to the composition of the sample. The detector collects this spectrum and converts them to electrical impulses that are proportional to the energies of the various x-rays in the sample's spectrum. Since each element has a different and identifiable x-ray signature, we can look at specific parts of the emitted spectrum, and by counting the pulses in the sector, determine the presence and concentration of the element(s) in question within the sample. Entek used a Niton XRF spectrum analyzer which is specific to measuring only lead in the building substrate.

Lead Sampling Results

XRF Spectrum Analyzer testing indicated lead was present in concentrations >1.0 mg/cm² on various building components. XRF direct reading technology is not capable of determining lead concentrations below 1.0 mg/cm². The limit of detection for this device with a 95% confidence level is 1.0 mg/cm². As a result, any reading provided by the XRF technology does not provide adequate information to determine the actual content of lead in the paint/coating being tested. Any XRF reading less than 1.0 mg/cm² (including readings of 0.00) only indicate lead is not present at levels high enough to classify the paint/coating as LBP. Coatings or materials which resulted in a lead concentration of below 1.0 mg/cm² were then sampled and analyzed by atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) for lead content. Results of the XRF analysis and laboratory analysis are included in the tables below. Coating which reported concentrations below the laboratories detection limit are included in the laboratory results attached to this report.



Paints/Coatings/ Materials Determined to Contain Lead			
Paint/Coating Color or Material	Lead Content	Component/Location	LBP/ LCP
	Са	nal Headgate (JCCH)	
Tan/Silver/orange Paint	350,000 ppm	Diversion Piping	LBP
	Commu	nications Building (JCCB)	
Tan Paint	140 ppm	Exterior Metal Trim	LCP
	Fire Pr	otection Building (JCFP)	
Red Paint	56 ppm	Metal Piping Throughout Structure	LCP
	Н	azMat Shed (JCHM)	
Tan Paint	290,000 ppm	Throughout Exterior Siding of Small Shed Next To HazMat Storage Shed	LBP
Silver/Orange Paint	220,000 ppm	Roof of Small Shed Next To HazMat Storage Shed	LBP
Red Paint	560 ppm	Interior Structural Steel of HazMat Shed	LCP
	Int	ake Structure (JCIS)	
Gray Paint on Brown Paint	19,000 ppm	Metal Handrails on Fish Ladder bridge	LBP
Tan Paint	490 ppm	Throughout exterior metal siding of reservoir level gauge house	LCP
Gray Paint	740 ppm	Exterior Underhang of Fish Screen House	LCP
White Paint	120 ppm	Concrete Interior Walls of Fish Screen Building	LCP
Green/Silver Paint	12,000 ppm	Interior Piping of Fish Screen Building	LBP
Gray Paint	68 ppm	Metal Interior Mechanical Fish Screen Building on Traveling Water Screens	LCP
Silver/Orange Paint	57,000 ppm	Metal Intake Structure Supports	LBP
Silver Paint	74,000 ppm	Metal Screen on Exterior of Fish Screen Building	LBP
	Outdo	oor Storage Area (JCBY)	
Silver Paint	15,000 ppm	Out of Commission tank in Outdoor Storage Area	LBP
		Penstock (JCPS)	
Tan on Orange Paint	97,000 ppm	Metal Penstock Piping	LBP
	F	Powerhouse (JCPH)	
White Paint	680 ppm	CMU Walls Throughout	LCP
Gray Paint	180 ppm	Concrete Floor of Powerhouse	LCP
White Paint	360 ppm	Concrete Walls of Powerhouse	LCP
Orange Paint	100,000 ppm	Handrails throughout Powerhouse	LBP
Silver Paint	21,000 ppm	Exterior Track on top of powerhouse	LBP
Silver Paint	3.6 mg/cm ²	Metal Crane Structure	LBP



Paints/Coatings/ Materials Determined to Contain Lead			
Paint/Coating Color or Material	Lead Content	Component/Location	LBP/ LCP
Spillway (JCSW)			
Beige Paint	2,200	Concrete Spillway Canal Walls	LCP
	Vehicle Storage Shed (JCVS)		
Yellow Paint	150 ppm	Concrete Bollards	LCP
Warehouse (JCWH)			
Red Paint	15,000 ppm	Metal Interior Structural Support Beams	LBP

LBP - Materials/coatings/paints meeting the definition of lead-based paint as defined by the CDPH and the US EPA, currently defined as containing lead in concentrations equal to or greater than 1.0 mg/cm², 5,000 ppm, or 0.5% by weight.

LCP - Materials/coatings/paints which contain measurable amounts of lead. The disturbance of these materials/coatings/paints is regulated by Cal/OSHA.

Lead Regulatory Compliance

Any upcoming project which may result in the disturbance of lead containing products or surfaces, but is not intended to remediate a lead hazard or specifically designed to remove LBP to reduce or eliminate a known hazard, would be considered "lead related construction work".

Lead related construction work means any "construction, alteration, painting, demolition, salvage, renovation, repair, or maintenance of any residential or public building, including preparation and cleanup that, by using or disturbing lead-containing material or soil, may result in significant exposure of adults or children to lead".

Currently, Oregon OSHA has not established a definition for LBP, nor have they established minimum concentrations where their regulations do not apply. Oregon OSHA regulates all construction activities involving materials containing lead, including LBP.

Oregon OSHA has not established a concentration of lead in a product where their regulations do not apply, therefore, any disturbance to products containing lead come under the jurisdiction of Oregon OSHA and their regulations. Disturbance of paints/coatings or materials determined to be LBP may trigger a pre-work notification to Oregon OSHA if "trigger tasks" disturb 100 square feet or more of those paints/coatings or materials.

Fluorescent Light Tubes and Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

Fluorescent light tubes which contain mercury are considered a universal waste and must be packaged and recycled appropriately if they are removed from a building and not used again. The regulation, called the Universal Waste Rule, is in the California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 22, Division 4.5, Chapter 23.

Fluorescent light tubes are the bulb or tube portion of an electric lighting device and are



commonly referred to as "lamps". Examples of other common electric lamps considered to be universal wastes include, but are not limited to, high intensity discharge, neon, mercury vapor, high pressure sodium, and metal halide lamps. Any lamp which is not spent and has been designated to be reused is not classified as a waste and does not meet the requirements of a hazardous waste or a universal waste.

Spent lamps typically contain concentrations of mercury exceeding the established Total Threshold Limit Concentration (TTLC) and/or the Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration (STLC) values. Therefore, these lamps must be sent to an authorized recycle facility or to a universal waste consolidator for shipment to an authorized recycling facility.

At a minimum, if removed lamps will not be reused they must be packaged in boxes/ packages/containers which are structurally sound, adequate to prevent breakage, and compatible with the content of the lamps. These packages must remain closed and be free of damage which could cause leakage under reasonably foreseeable conditions. Each container must be labeled or marked clearly with one of the following phrases: "Universal Waste Lamp(s)," or "Used Lamp(s)." Entek recommends shipping any lamp not designated for reuse to a universal waste recycling facility once they have been packaged.

PCB containing light ballasts are considered a hazardous waste, and must be properly manifested for transport to a hazardous waste facility. Any contractor who may perform PCB related work (inspection, removal, clean-up) must be trained and qualified to do so. All workers must also follow current OSHA regulations including 29 CFR 1910.120 and 8 CCR 5192, as well as, other applicable federal, state, and local laws, and regulations. While light ballasts marked "No PCB" are not considered a hazardous waste, they are considered a universal waste. As a result, removal, packaging, and disposal/recycling of these types of ballasts must be conducted in accordance with current regulations of Title 22.

Entek and AECOM made an effort to assist in quantifying select materials throughout the structure. The below quantities are estimates based on observations during the assessment. It shall be the contractor responsibility to verify the total quantities present.

Universal Waste Inventory		
Other Regulated Building Material Description	Approximate Quantity	
Mercury-Containing fluorescent light tubes (4' length)	68	
Mercury-Containing fluorescent light tubes (6' length)	10	
Mercury-Containing fluorescent light tubes (8' length)	8	
Magnetic light ballasts	50	
HID Lamps	39	
Mercury-containing switches, controls, and recorders	None Observed	



PCB Caulking Results		
Material Description	Material Location	Sample Results (mg/kg)
Flexible Gray Expansion Joint Sealant	Powerhouse Roof at Expansion Joints	<0.82

Thermostats with Mercury Switches

It is possible existing thermostats may utilize switches containing mercury. The mercury in these switches would be considered a hazardous waste if removed and disposed. Any work requiring removal of thermostats containing mercury switches, must include having the switches inspected for the presence of mercury, and subsequently following all requirements for packaging and disposal of any switch found to contain mercury.

Freon and Fluorocarbons

Freon and other fluorocarbon products associated with HVAC systems, refrigerators, etc. may be present in or on the exterior of the buildings included in this investigation. Prior to demolition of a structure or removal of existing HVAC systems, refrigerators, or any other type of equipment which typically uses these types of coolant products shall have the coolant materials investigated prior to their demolition and removed from the mechanical systems and recycled in accordance with EPA requirements.

Smoke Detectors Which May Contain a Radioactive Element

It is possible existing smoke detectors may contain a radioactive element. These types of detectors are easily identified by reviewing the label which is usually found on the back of the detector. Older units may display the international radiation symbol (three bladed propeller) and the radioactive content. Newer units state the radioactive content and their Nuclear Regulatory Agency (NRC) license number.

Any work requiring the removal of smoke detectors with a radioactive element must include contacting the manufacturer of the smoke detector to determine their return policies.

Limitations

Entek inspected only the specific designated areas identified by the Owner to be included in the upcoming project. Select structures as outlined in the building description portion of this report were not assessed due to either safety concerns or at the request of the building owner. As a result the information provided in this inspection report may not be used to extend the inspection results to areas not included in this report without additional review and sampling as necessary.

Entek did not perform any destructive sampling to look into ceiling and wall cavities. As a result, it may be possible for materials to be hidden in these areas which are not included in this report. Entek also did not employ any destructive measures on floors of interior spaces or exterior areas covered with asphalt, concrete, or dirt.



If any new materials not listed as having been sampled, or listed as assumed for containing asbestos in this report are discovered, the new material must be assumed to contain asbestos until properly inspected and tested for asbestos content.

Entek's policy is to retain a full copy of these written documents for three (3) years once the file is closed. At the end of the 3 year period the written files will be destroyed without further notice. It is suggested copies of the file(s) are maintained as per your policy.

Entek will be providing only this electronic copy of the report and its attachments for your use. However, if you would like a hard copy of this report please do not hesitate to ask. Entek will be happy to mail the report upon receipt of your request.

Thank you for choosing Entek for your environmental needs. Please call me at (916) 632-6800 if you have any questions regarding this report.

Prepared by:

Andy Roed, CIH, CSP, CAC

Andy Roed

President

Cal/OSHA CAC #16-5695 CDPH I/A Certification #29001

Appendices

- A. Asbestos Related Documents
- B. Lead Related Documents
- C. Sample Location Maps
- D. Backup Documentation
- E. Historical Documents



APPENDIX A ASBESTOS RELATED DOCUMENTS

- Bulk Asbestos Analysis Report From Asbestech
- Bulk Asbestos Material Analysis Request Form for Entek

Client: Entek Consulting Group, Inc.

4200 Rocklin Rd., Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677 **Job:** 20-5562 NV5 JC Boyle

BULK ASBESTOS ANALYSIS REPORT

LAB JOB # 67972 NVLAP Lab Code 101442-0

Date/Time Collected: 9/22/20 CDPH # 1153

Date Received: 10/16/20 Date Analyzed: 10/17/20

Sample No. Color/Description % Type Asbestos Other Materials

ECG-20-5562-JCWH-

01A Gray concrete stem wall near door NONE DETECTED Granular Mins.

O2A Gray concrete foundation of bldg. NONE DETECTED Granular Mins.

THE ANALYSIS USES POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY AND DISPERSION STAINING FOLLOWING E.P.A. METHOD 600/R-93/116. NON-FRIABLE MATERIALS WERE ANALYZED APPLYING THE SAME METHOD. THE LOWER DETECTION LIMIT IS <1 % WITH THE PROVISO THAT PLM MAY NOT DETECT FIBERS <0.25 MICRONS IN DIAMETER THAT MAY BE PRESENT IN SAMPLES SUCH AS FLOOR TILES. IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 22, CCR, SECTION 66261,24(a)(2)(A), THE MCL IS 1 %. SAMPLES WERE NOT COLLECTED BY ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS TESTED. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE USED TO CLAIM PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT BY N.V.L.A.P. OR ANY AGENCY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. ASBESTECH ACCEPTS TECHNICAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS REPORT AND DATE OF ISSUE.



ANALYST: JIM JUNGLES Jem Jangle

LAB DIRECTOR: TOM CONLON ANALYST: JIM JUNG

Job:

Client:

Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Rd., Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

BULK ASBESTOS ANALYSIS REPORT

20-5562 NV5

JC Boyle

LAB JOB # 67978 NVLAP Lab Code 101442-0

Date/Time Collected: 9/22/20 CDPH # 1153

Date Analyzed: 10/17/20 Date Received: 10/16/20

Sample No.	Color/Description	% Type Asbestos	Other Materials
ECG-20-5562- 01A	JCVS- Black asphalt sealant, perimeter of vehicle shed	NONE DETECTED	Tar Binder Calcite
02A	Gray concrete, foundation of bldg.	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
03A	White caulking, base of roll-up doors	NONE DETECTED	Synthetics

THE ANALYSIS USES POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY AND DISPERSION STAINING FOLLOWING E.P.A. METHOD 600/R-93/116. NON-FRIABLE MATERIALS WERE ANALYZED APPLYING THE SAME METHOD. THE LOWER DETECTION LIMIT IS <1 % WITH THE PROVISO THAT PLM MAY NOT DETECT FIBERS <0.25 MICRONS IN DIAMETER THAT MAY BE PRESENT IN SAMPLES SUCH AS FLOOR TILES. IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 22, CCR, SECTION 66261.24(a)(2)(A), THE MCL IS 1 %. SAMPLES WERE NOT COLLECTED BY ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS TESTED, THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE USED TO CLAIM PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT BY N.V.L.A.P. OR ANY AGENCY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. ASBESTECH ACCEPTS TECHNICAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS REPORT AND DATE OF ISSUE.



ANALYST: JIM JUNGLES

LAB DIRECTOR: TOM CONLON

Client: Job:

Entek Consulting Group, Inc.
4200 Rocklin Rd., Suite 7
Rocklin, CA 95677

BULK ASBESTOS ANALYSIS REPORT

20-5562 NV5

JC Boyle


LAB JOB # 67977 NVLAP Lab Code 101442-0

Date/Time Collected: 9/22/20 CDPH # 1153

Date Received: 10/16/20 Date Analyzed: 10/17/20

Sample No.	Color/Description	% Type Asbestos	Other Materials
ECG-20-5562-JC 01A	CPS- Gray concrete on overflow spillway	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
02A	Black rubber gasket on concrete overflow spillway near canal headgate	NONE DETECTED	Rubber
03A	Black rubber gasket at penstock piping	NONE DETECTED	Calcite Opaques
04A	Gray concrete at base of metal supports for penstock	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
05A	Gray concrete on support structure of penstock	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.

THE ANALYSIS USES POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY AND DISPERSION STAINING FOLLOWING E.P.A. METHOD 600/R-93/116. NON-FRIABLE MATERIALS WERE ANALYZED APPLYING THE SAME METHOD. THE LOWER DETECTION LIMIT IS <1 % WITH THE PROVISO THAT PLM MAY NOT DETECT FIBERS <0.25 MICRONS IN DIAMETER THAT MAY BE PRESENT IN SAMPLES SUCH AS FLOOR TILES. IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 22, CCR, SECTION 66261.24(a)(2)(A), THE MCL IS 1 %. SAMPLES WERE NOT COLLECTED BY ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS TESTED. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE USED TO CLAIM PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT BY N.V.L.A.P. OR ANY AGENCY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. ASBESTECH ACCEPTS TECHNICAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS REPORT AND DATE OF ISSUE.



ANALYST: JIM JUNGLES

Jem Jangles

Client: Job:

Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Rd., Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677 20-5562 NV5 JC Boyle

BULK ASBESTOS ANALYSIS REPORT


LAB JOB # 67976 NVLAP Lab Code 101442-0

Date/Time Collected: 9/22/20 CDPH # 1153

Date Received: 10/16/20 Date Analyzed: 10/17/20

Sample No.	Color/Description	% Type Asbestos	Other Materials
ECG-20-5562	-JCPH-		
01A	Black asphaltic roofing, emergency spill shed	NONE DETECTED	Tar Binder Fibrous Glass
02A	Gray concrete, foundation of emergency spill shed	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
03A	Black vibration cloth on crane tracks	NONE DETECTED	Opaques
04A	Black asphaltic roofing, pump house roof near powerhouse	NONE DETECTED	Tar Binder Fibrous Glass
	Black felt paper	NONE DETECTED	Tar Binder Cellulose

THE ANALYSIS USES POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY AND DISPERSION STAINING FOLLOWING E.P.A. METHOD 600/R-93/116. NON-FRIABLE MATERIALS WERE ANALYZED APPLYING THE SAME METHOD. THE LOWER DETECTION LIMIT IS <1 % WITH THE PROVISO THAT PLM MAY NOT DETECT FIBERS <0.25 MICRONS IN DIAMETER THAT MAY BE PRESENT IN SAMPLES SUCH AS FLOOR TILES. IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 22, CCR, SECTION 66261.24(a)(2)(A), THE MCL IS 1 %. SAMPLES WERE NOT COLLECTED BY ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS TESTED. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE USED TO CLAIM PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT BY N.V.L.A.P. OR ANY AGENCY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. ASBESTECH ACCEPTS TECHNICAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS REPORT AND DATE OF ISSUE.



ANALYST: JIM JUNGLES Jem Jangle

Client:

Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Rd., Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Job: 20-5562 NV5 JC Boyle

BULK ASBESTOS ANALYSIS REPORT

LAB JOB # 67973 NVLAP Lab Code 101442-0

Date/Time Collected: 9/22/20 CDPH # 1153

Date Received: 10/16/20 Date Analyzed: 10/17/20

Sample No.	Color/Description	% Type Asbestos	Other Materials
ECG-20-5562-J0	COW- Gray concrete foundation	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
	Black asphaltic sealant	NONE DETECTED	Tar Binder
02A	Gray brittle caulking at base of metal siding	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
03A	Black asphalt, parking area	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins. Tar Binder

THE ANALYSIS USES POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY AND DISPERSION STAINING FOLLOWING E.P.A. METHOD 600/R-93/116. NON-FRIABLE MATERIALS WERE ANALYZED APPLYING THE SAME METHOD. THE LOWER DETECTION LIMIT IS <1 % WITH THE PROVISO THAT PLM MAY NOT DETECT FIBERS <0.25 MICRONS IN DIAMETER THAT MAY BE PRESENT IN SAMPLES SUCH AS FLOOR TILES. IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 22, CCR, SECTION 66261.24(a)(2)(A), THE MCL IS 1 %. SAMPLES WERE NOT COLLECTED BY ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS TESTED. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE USED TO CLAIM PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT BY N.V.L.A.P. OR ANY AGENCY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. ASBESTECH ACCEPTS TECHNICAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS REPORT AND DATE OF ISSUE.



ANALYST: JIM JUNGLES

Jem Gangle

Client: Entek Consulting Group, Inc.

4200 Rocklin Rd., Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Job: 20-5562 NV5 JC Boyle

BULK ASBESTOS ANALYSIS REPORT

LAB JOB # 67974 NVLAP Lab Code 101442-0

CDPH # 1153 Date/Time Collected: 9/22/20

Date Analyzed: 10/17/20 Date Received: 10/16/20

Sample No. Color/Description % Type Asbestos Other Materials

ECG-20-5562-JCIS-


Red gasket on piping of intake structure NONE DETECTED Calcite 01A

Cellulose

02A Green gasket on piping of intake structure NONE DETECTED Granular Mins.

Cellulose

THE ANALYSIS USES POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY AND DISPERSION STAINING FOLLOWING E.P.A. METHOD 600/R-93/116. NON-FRIABLE MATERIALS WERE ANALYZED APPLYING THE SAME METHOD. THE LOWER DETECTION LIMIT IS <1 % WITH THE PROVISO THAT PLM MAY NOT DETECT FIBERS <0.25 MICRONS IN DIAMETER THAT MAY BE PRESENT IN SAMPLES SUCH AS FLOOR TILES. IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 22, CCR, SECTION 66261.24(a)(2)(A), THE MCL IS 1 %. SAMPLES WERE NOT COLLECTED BY ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS TESTED, THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE USED TO CLAIM PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT BY N.V.L.A.P. OR ANY AGENCY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. ASBESTECH ACCEPTS TECHNICAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS REPORT AND DATE OF ISSUE.



ANALYST: JIM JUNGLES

LAB DIRECTOR: TOM CONLON

Client: Entek Consulting Group, Inc.

4200 Rocklin Rd., Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677 *Job:* 20-5562 NV5 JC Boyle

BULK ASBESTOS ANALYSIS REPORT

LAB JOB # 67980 NVLAP Lab Code 101442-0

Date/Time Collected: 9/22/20 CDPH # 1153

Date Received: 10/16/20 Date Analyzed: 10/17/20

Sample No.	Color/Description	% Type Asbestos	Other Materials
ECG-20-5562-			
01A	Gray concrete, foundation of fuel tank	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
02A	Gray concrete, foundation of hazmat	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
0211	storage shed	None Berber	Grandia 171115.
03A	Black asphalt road near hazmat shed	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
UJA	Diack aspirant road fiear fiazinat shed	NONE DETECTED	Tar Binder

THE ANALYSIS USES POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY AND DISPERSION STAINING FOLLOWING E.P.A. METHOD 600/R-93/116. NON-FRIABLE MATERIALS WERE ANALYZED APPLYING THE SAME METHOD. THE LOWER DETECTION LIMIT IS <1 % WITH THE PROVISO THAT PLM MAY NOT DETECT FIBERS <0.25 MICRONS IN DIAMETER THAT MAY BE PRESENT IN SAMPLES SUCH AS FLOOR TILES. IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 22, CCR, SECTION 66261.24(a)(2)(A), THE MCL IS 1 %. SAMPLES WERE NOT COLLECTED BY ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS TESTED. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE USED TO CLAIM PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT BY N.V.L.A.P. OR ANY AGENCY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. ASBESTECH ACCEPTS TECHNICAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS REPORT AND DATE OF ISSUE.



ANALYST: JIM JUNGLES Jem Gang

Client: Job:

Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Rd., Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677 20-5562 NV5 JC Boyle

BULK ASBESTOS ANALYSIS REPORT

LAB JOB # 67971 NVLAP Lab Code 101442-0

Date/Time Collected: 9/22/20 CDPH # 1153

Date Received: 10/16/20 Date Analyzed: 10/17/20

Sample No.	Color/Description	% Type Asbestos	Other Materials
ECG-20-5562-J	CHG-		
01A	Gray CMU, canal headgate exterior	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
	Gray grout	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
02A	Gray CMU, canal headgate exterior	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
	Gray grout	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
03A	Gray CMU, canal headgate exterior	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
	Gray grout	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.

THE ANALYSIS USES POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY AND DISPERSION STAINING FOLLOWING E.P.A. METHOD 600/R-93/116. NON-FRIABLE MATERIALS WERE ANALYZED APPLYING THE SAME METHOD. THE LOWER DETECTION LIMIT IS <1 % WITH THE PROVISO THAT PLM MAY NOT DETECT FIBERS <0.25 MICRONS IN DIAMETER THAT MAY BE PRESENT IN SAMPLES SUCH AS FLOOR TILES. IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 22, CCR, SECTION 66261.24(a)(2)(A), THE MCL IS 1 %. SAMPLES WERE NOT COLLECTED BY ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS TESTED. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE USED TO CLAIM PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT BY N.V.L.A.P. OR ANY AGENCY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. ASBESTECH ACCEPTS TECHNICAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS REPORT AND DATE OF ISSUE.



ANALYST: JIM JUNGLES Jem Gangle

Client: Job:

Entek Consulting Group, Inc.

20-5562 NV5
4200 Rocklin Rd., Suite 7

JC Boyle
Rocklin, CA 95677

BULK ASBESTOS ANALYSIS REPORT

LAB JOB # 67989 NVLAP Lab Code 101442-0

Date/Time Collected: 9/22/20 CDPH # 1153

Date Received: 10/16/20 Date Analyzed: 10/17/20


Sample No. Color/Description % Type Asbestos Other Materials

ECG-20-5562-JCGWPH-

01A Gray concrete foundation of NONE DETECTED Granular Mins.

groundwater pump house

THE ANALYSIS USES POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY AND DISPERSION STAINING FOLLOWING E.P.A. METHOD 600/R-93/116. NON-FRIABLE MATERIALS WERE ANALYZED APPLYING THE SAME METHOD. THE LOWER DETECTION LIMIT IS <1 % WITH THE PROVISO THAT PLM MAY NOT DETECT FIBERS <0.25 MICRONS IN DIAMETER THAT MAY BE PRESENT IN SAMPLES SUCH AS FLOOR TILES. IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 22, CCR, SECTION 66261, 24(a)(2)(A), THE MCL IS 1 %. SAMPLES WERE NOT COLLECTED BY ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS TESTED. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE USED TO CLAIM PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT BY N. V.L.A.P. OR ANY AGENCY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. ASBESTECH ACCEPTS TECHNICAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS REPORT AND DATE OF ISSUE.



SIALVET, HA HINGLES Jem Jangle

LAB DIRECTOR: TOM CONLON ANALYST: JIM JUNGLES

Client: Job:

Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Rd., Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677 20-5562 NV5 JC Boyle

BULK ASBESTOS ANALYSIS REPORT

LAB JOB # 67981 NVLAP Lab Code 101442-0

Date/Time Collected: 9/22/20 CDPH # 1153

Date Received: 10/16/20 Date Analyzed: 10/17/20

Sample No.	Color/Description	% Type Asbestos	Other Materials
ECG-20-5562-JC	CFP-		
01A	Gray CMU, exterior of structure	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
	Gray grout	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
02A	Gray concrete, foundation of structure	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
03A	Gray concrete patch , pipe penetration	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
04A	Gray concrete block for anchoring near water	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
05A	Green foam insulation , pipe penetration	NONE DETECTED	Synthetics
06A	Red gaskets, pipe connections in bldg.	NONE DETECTED	Calcite Opaques
07A	Black gaskets, pipe connections in bldg.	NONE DETECTED	Rubber Synthetics

THE ANALYSIS USES POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY AND DISPERSION STAINING FOLLOWING E.P.A. METHOD 600/R-93/116. NON-FRIABLE MATERIALS WERE ANALYZED APPLYING THE SAME METHOD. THE LOWER DETECTION LIMIT IS <1 % WITH THE PROVISO THAT PLM MAY NOT DETECT FIBERS <0.25 MICRONS IN DIAMETER THAT MAY BE PRESENT IN SAMPLES SUCH AS FLOOR TILES. IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 22, CCR, SECTION 66261.24(a)(2)(A), THE MCL IS 1 %. SAMPLES WERE NOT COLLECTED BY ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS TESTED. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE USED TO CLAIM PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT BY N.V.L.A.P. OR ANY AGENCY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. ASBESTECH ACCEPTS TECHNICAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS REPORT AND DATE OF ISSUE.



ANALYST: JIM JUNGLES Jem Gangles

Client:

Brown felt

Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Rd., Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Job: 20-5562 NV5 JC Boyle

BULK ASBESTOS ANALYSIS REPORT

LAB JOB # 67979 NVLAP Lab Code 101442-0

Date/Time Collected: 9/22/20 CDPH # 1153

Date Received: 10/16/20 Date Analyzed: 10/17/20

 Sample No.
 Color/Description
 % Type Asbestos
 Other Materials

 ECG-20-5562-JCFL-01A
 Gray concrete , fish ladder wall
 NONE DETECTED
 Granular Mins.

 02A
 Gray concrete , fish ladder
 NONE DETECTED
 Granular Mins.

NONE DETECTED

THE ANALYSIS USES POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY AND DISPERSION STAINING FOLLOWING E.P.A. METHOD 600/R-93/116. NON-FRIABLE MATERIALS WERE ANALYZED APPLYING THE SAME METHOD. THE LOWER DETECTION LIMIT IS <1 % WITH THE PROVISO THAT PLM MAY NOT DETECT FIBERS <0.25 MICRONS IN DIAMETER THAT MAY BE PRESENT IN SAMPLES SUCH AS FLOOR TILES. IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 22, CCR, SECTION 66261.24(a)(2)(A), THE MCL IS 1 %. SAMPLES WERE NOT COLLECTED BY ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS TESTED. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE USED TO CLAIM PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT BY N.V.L.A.P. OR ANY AGENCY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. ASBESTECH ACCEPTS TECHNICAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS REPORT AND DATE OF ISSUE.



ANALYST: JIM JUNGLES Jem Jungles

Cellulose

LAB DIRECTOR: TOM CONLON

Client:

Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Rd., Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Job: 20-5562 NV5 JC Boyle

BULK ASBESTOS ANALYSIS REPORT


LAB JOB # 67975 NVLAP Lab Code 101442-0

Date/Time Collected: 9/22/20 CDPH # 1153

Date Received: 10/16/20 Date Analyzed: 10/17/20

Sample No.	Color/Description	% Type Asbestos	Other Materials
ECG-20-5562-J 01A	Gray concrete, foundation of bldg.	NONE DETECTED	Granular Mins.
02A	Gray paper fibrous material at seams of metal siding	NONE DETECTED	Calcite Opaques
03A	Clear sealant, bolt hole penetrations	NONE DETECTED	Synthetics

THE ANALYSIS USES POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY AND DISPERSION STAINING FOLLOWING E.P.A. METHOD 600/R-93/116. NON-FRIABLE MATERIALS WERE ANALYZED APPLYING THE SAME METHOD. THE LOWER DETECTION LIMIT IS <1 % WITH THE PROVISO THAT PLM MAY NOT DETECT FIBERS <0.25 MICRONS IN DIAMETER THAT MAY BE PRESENT IN SAMPLES SUCH AS FLOOR TILES. IN ACCORDANCE WITH TITLE 22, CCR, SECTION 66261.24(a)(2)(A), THE MCL IS 1 %. SAMPLES WERE NOT COLLECTED BY ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF ASBESTECH. THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEMS TESTED. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE USED TO CLAIM PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT BY N.V.L.A.P. OR ANY AGENCY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. ASBESTECH ACCEPTS TECHNICAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS REPORT AND DATE OF ISSUE.



ANALYST: JIM JUNGLES Jem Jangles

LAB DIRECTOR: TOM CONLON





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday

Date: 10 / 20 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
ECG-20-5562-JCWH-01A	Concrete / Stem Wall Near Door	+
ECG-20-5562-JCWH-02A	Concrete / Foundation of Building	


C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\JCB\COCs\JCWH\Bulk Request 10-14-2020.wpd


Delivered by:

Date: 10 1 4 120 Time: 4 AMPM

Date: 10 1 /61 20 Time: 9 AM/PM

Page 1 of 1





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday Date: 10 / 20 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining


Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE #	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-JCVS-01A	Black Asphalt Sealant / Perimeter of Vehicle Shed	
ECG-20-5562-JCVS-02A	Concrete / Foundation of Building	
FCG-20-5562-JCVS-03A	White Caulking / Base of Roll-up Doors	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\JCB\COCs\JCVS\Bulk Request 10-14-2020.wpd

Date: 10 114 120 Time: AM/PM Delivered by: Date: 10 1/6120 Time: AM/PM Received by:





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday

Date: 10 / 20 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-JCPS-01A	Concrete on Overflow Spillway	
ECG-20-5562-JCPS-02A	Black Runbber Gasket on Concrete Overflow Spillway near canal headgate	
ECG-20-5562-JCPS-03A	Red Rubber gasket at Penstock piping	
ECG-20-5562-JCPS-04A	Concrete at Base of metal Supports for Penstock	
FCG-20-5562-JCPS-05A	Concrete on Support Structure of Penstock	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\JCB\COCs\JCPS\Bulk Request 10-14-2020.wpd

Delivered by:

Date: 10 1/4 1 20 Time:


AMARM

Received by:

Date: 10 1/6 120 Time:

AM/PM





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday

Date: 10 / 20 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.


SAMPLE #	SAMPLE # MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-JCPH-01A	Asphaltic Roofing / Emergency Spill Shed	
ECG-20-5562-JCPH-02A	Concrete / Foundation of Emergency Spill Shed	
ECG-20-5562-JCPH-03A	Black Vibration Cloth on Crane Tracks	
ECG-20-5562-JCPH-04A	Black Asphaltic Roofing with Felt Paper / Pump House Roof Near Powerhouse	


C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\JCB\COCs\JCPH\Bulk Request 10-14-2020 wpd

Delivered by:

Date: 10 1/4 40 Time: 4 AM/PM

Date: 10 1/6120 Time: 9 AM/PM





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Offerit Harrie. 1445

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday

Date: 10 / 20 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-JCOW-01A	Concrete Foundation with Asphaltic Sealant	
ECG-20-5562-JCOW-02A	Gray Brittle Caulking at Base of Metal Siding	
FCG-20-5562-JCOW-03A	Asphalt / Parking Area	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\JCB\COCs\JCOW\Bulk Request 10-14-2020.wpd


Delivered by:

Date: 10 1/4/20 Time: 4 AM/PM

Date: 10 1/6/20 Time: 9 AM/PM







ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday Date: 10 / 20 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.


Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-JCIS-01A	Red Gasket on Piping of Intake Structure	
ECG-20-5562-JCIS-02A	Green Gasket on Piping of Intake Structure	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\UCB\COCs\JCIS\Bulk Request 10-14-2020.wpd

Date: 10 119120 Time: AM/RM Delivered by: Date: 10 1/6 120 Time: AM/PM Received by:





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday Date: 10 / 20 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-JCHM-01A	Concrete / Foundation of Fuel Tank	
ECG-20-5562-JCHM-02A	Concrete / Foundation of Hazmat Storage Shed	
FCG-20-5562-JCHM-03A	Asphalt / Road Base Near Hazmat Shed	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\JCB\COCs\JCHM\Buik Request 10-14-2020.wpd

Date: 10 1/4 120 Time: AM/RM Delivered by: Date: 10 1/6 120 Time: AM/PM Received by:





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Asbestech Lab:

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday Date: 10 / 20 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-JCHG-01A	CMU and Grout / Canal Headgate Exterior	
ECG-20-5562-JCHG-02A	CMU and Grout / Canal Headgate Exterior	
ECG-20-5562-JCHG-03A	CMU and Grout / Canal Headgate Exterior	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\JCB\COCs\JCHG\Bulk Request 10-14-2020.wpd

Date: 10 1/412 Time: Delivered by: AMIPM Date: 10 16 120 Time: Received by:

Page 1 of 1





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday
Date: 10 / 20 / 20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-JCGWPH-01A	Concrete Foundation of Groundwater Pump House	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Dociments\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\JCB\COCs\JCGWPH\Bulk Request 10-14-2020.wpd

Delivered by:

Date: 10 1/4/20 Time: 4 AM/PM

Received by:

Date: 10 1/6/20 Time: 9 AM/PM

Page 1 of 1







ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday

Date: 10 / 20 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION
ECG-20-5562-JCFP-01A	CMU and Grout / Exterior of Structure
ECG-20-5562-JCFP-02A	Concrete / Foundation of Structure
ECG-20-5562-JCFP-03A	Concrete Patch / Pipe Penetration
ECG-20-5562-JCFP-04A	Concrete / Block for Anchoring Near Water
ECG-20-5562-JCFP-05A	Green Foam Insulation / Pipe Penetration
ECG-20-5562-JCFP-06A	Red Gaskets / Pipe Connections in Building
ECG-20-5562-JCFP-07A	Black Gaskets / Pipe Connections in Building

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\JCB\COCs\JCFP\Bulk Request 10-14-2020 wpd

10-14-2020,wpd

Delivered by:

Date: 10 1/4/20 Time: 4 AM/PM

Date: 10 1/6/20 Time: 9 AM/PM





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

August State Company

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday
Date: 10 / 20 / 20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-JCEB-01A	Concrete / Fish Ladder Wall	
ECG-20-5562-JCGB-02A	Concrete / Fish Ladder	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\JCB\COCs\JCFL\Bulk Request 10-14-2020.wpd





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Asbestech

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Day: Tuesday
Date: 10 / 20 /20 Time: 5 pm

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Asbestos by PLM

with Dispersion Staining

Special Instruction: Stop Analysis upon first positive result (>1%) for sample in a series. Also stop analysis upon first positive result (>1%) in the joint compound for sample series.

Please e-mail results at mainoffice@entekgroup.com as soon as available and include copy of submittal with those results.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-JCCB-01A	Concrete / Foundation Of Building	
ECG-20-5562-JCCB-02A	Gray Paper/Fibrous Material / At Seams of Metal Siding	
FCG-20-5562-JCCB-03A	Sealant / Bolt Hole Penetrations	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\JCB\COCs\JCCB\Bulk Request 10-14-2020.wpd

Date: 10 114 1 20 Time: Delivered by: AM/PM Date: 101/6120 Time: Received by: AMPM



APPENDIX B LEAD RELATED DOCUMENTS

- Lead in Paint Samples Analysis Report From EMLAB
- Bulk Lead Material Analysis Request Form for Entek
- XRF Data





Report for:

Andy Roed Entek Consulting Group 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Regarding:

Project: 20-5562, NV5; JC Boyle

EMĹ ID: 2505172

Approved by:

Undeu Heda
Technical Manager

Andrew Ikeda

Dates of Analysis: Lead - Flame AA: 10-20-2020

Service SOPs: Lead - Flame AA (EM-BC-S-8443) AIHA-LAP, LLC accredited service, Lab ID #178697

All samples were received in acceptable condition unless noted in the Report Comments portion in the body of the report. Due to the nature of the analyses performed, field blank correction of results is not applied. The results relate only to the samples as received. Sample size, as it relates to Wipe samples only, is supplied by the client.

Eurofins EMLab P&K ("the Company") shall have no liability to the client or the client's customer with respect to decisions or recommendations made, actions taken or courses of conduct implemented by either the client or the client's customer as a result of or based upon the Test Results. In no event shall the Company be liable to the client with respect to the Test Results except for the Company's own willful misconduct or gross negligence nor shall the Company be liable for incidental or consequential damages or lost profits or revenues to the fullest extent such liability may be disclaimed by law, even if the Company has been advised of the possibility of such damages, lost profits or lost revenues. In no event shall the Company's liability with respect to the Test Results exceed the amount paid to the Company by the client therefor.

Eurofins EMLab P&K's LabServe® reporting system includes automated fail-safes to ensure that all AIHA-LAP, LLC quality requirements are met and notifications are added to reports when any quality steps remain pending.

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (866) 888-6653 Fax (623) 780-7695 www.emlab.com

Client: Entek Consulting Group

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020

C/O: Andy Roed

Re: 20-5562, NV5; JC Boyle

Date of Receipt: 10-19-2020

Date of Report: 10-26-2020

LEAD: FLAME ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROMETRY

Location:	ECG-20-5562-JCPH-01Pb: Gray Paint on Exterior Wooding Siding of Spill Shed	ECG-20-5562-JCPH-02Pb: Brown Paint on Exterior Wooding Siding of Pump House
Comments (see below)	None	None
Lab ID-Version‡:	11935353-1	11935354-1
Analysis Date:	10/20/2020	10/20/2020
Sample type	Paint Chip sample	Paint Chip sample
Method*	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified
† Method Reporting Limit	65 ppm	96 ppm
Sample size	0.1531 grams	0.1045 grams
§Total Lead Result	< 65 ppm	< 96 ppm

Comments:

Sample results have not been corrected for blank values.

Bulk samples are not covered under the AIHA-LAP, LLC service accreditation.

Wipe samples must meet ASTM E1792 criteria. Method Reporting Limits may not be valid for non-ASTM E1792 wipe samples.

- † The Method Reporting Limit is the minimum concentration of Lead that the laboratory can confidently detect in the sample.
- § Total Lead Result has been rounded to two significant figures to reflect analytical precision.
- ‡ A "Version" indicated by -"x" after the Lab ID# with a value greater than 1 indicates a sample with amended data. The revision number is reflected by the value of "x".

^{*}Sample preparation and analytical methods are based upon NIOSH 7082 and EPA 7000B.





Report for:

Andy Roed Entek Consulting Group 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Regarding:

Project: 20-5562, NV5; JC Boyle

EML ID: 2502976

Approved by:

Industrial Manager
Andrew Ikeda

Dates of Analysis: Lead - Flame AA: 10-15-2020

Service SOPs: Lead - Flame AA (EM-BC-S-8443) AIHA-LAP, LLC accredited service, Lab ID #178697

All samples were received in acceptable condition unless noted in the Report Comments portion in the body of the report. Due to the nature of the analyses performed, field blank correction of results is not applied. The results relate only to the samples as received. Sample size, as it relates to Wipe samples only, is supplied by the client.

Eurofins EMLab P&K ("the Company") shall have no liability to the client or the client's customer with respect to decisions or recommendations made, actions taken or courses of conduct implemented by either the client or the client's customer as a result of or based upon the Test Results. In no event shall the Company be liable to the client with respect to the Test Results except for the Company's own willful misconduct or gross negligence nor shall the Company be liable for incidental or consequential damages or lost profits or revenues to the fullest extent such liability may be disclaimed by law, even if the Company has been advised of the possibility of such damages, lost profits or lost revenues. In no event shall the Company's liability with respect to the Test Results exceed the amount paid to the Company by the client therefor.

Eurofins EMLab P&K's LabServe® reporting system includes automated fail-safes to ensure that all AIHA-LAP, LLC quality requirements are met and notifications are added to reports when any quality steps remain pending.

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (866) 888-6653 Fax (623) 780-7695 www.emlab.com

Client: Entek Consulting Group C/O: Andy Roed

Re: 20-5562, NV5; JC Boyle

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020 Date of Receipt: 10-15-2020 Date of Report: 10-22-2020

LEAD: FLAME ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROMETRY

Location:	ECG-20-5562-JCHM-01Pb: Red Paint on Bollard
Comments (see below)	None
Lab ID-Version‡:	11924887-1
Analysis Date:	10/15/2020
Sample type	Paint Chip sample
Method*	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified
† Method Reporting Limit	120 ppm
Sample size	0.0844 grams
§Total Lead Result	370 ppm

Comments:

Sample results have not been corrected for blank values.

Bulk samples are not covered under the AIHA-LAP, LLC service accreditation.

Wipe samples must meet ASTM E1792 criteria. Method Reporting Limits may not be valid for non-ASTM E1792 wipe samples.

- *Sample preparation and analytical methods are based upon NIOSH 7082 and EPA 7000B.
- † The Method Reporting Limit is the minimum concentration of Lead that the laboratory can confidently detect in the sample.
- § Total Lead Result has been rounded to two significant figures to reflect analytical precision.
- ‡ A "Version" indicated by -"x" after the Lab ID# with a value greater than 1 indicates a sample with amended data. The revision number is reflected by the value of "x".

EMLab P&K, LLC





Report for:

Andy Roed Entek Consulting Group 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Regarding:

Project: 20-5562, NV5; JC Boyle

EML ID: 2502978

Approved by:

Technical Manager Andrew Ikeda

Induu Heda

Dates of Analysis: Lead - Flame AA: 10-16-2020

Service SOPs: Lead - Flame AA (EM-BC-S-8443) AIHA-LAP, LLC accredited service, Lab ID #178697

All samples were received in acceptable condition unless noted in the Report Comments portion in the body of the report. Due to the nature of the analyses performed, field blank correction of results is not applied. The results relate only to the samples as received. Sample size, as it relates to Wipe samples only, is supplied by the client.

Eurofins EMLab P&K ("the Company") shall have no liability to the client or the client's customer with respect to decisions or recommendations made, actions taken or courses of conduct implemented by either the client or the client's customer as a result of or based upon the Test Results. In no event shall the Company be liable to the client with respect to the Test Results except for the Company's own willful misconduct or gross negligence nor shall the Company be liable for incidental or consequential damages or lost profits or revenues to the fullest extent such liability may be disclaimed by law, even if the Company has been advised of the possibility of such damages, lost profits or lost revenues. In no event shall the Company's liability with respect to the Test Results exceed the amount paid to the Company by the client therefor.

Eurofins EMLab P&K's LabServe® reporting system includes automated fail-safes to ensure that all AIHA-LAP, LLC quality requirements are met and notifications are added to reports when any quality steps remain pending.

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (866) 888-6653 Fax (623) 780-7695 www.emlab.com

Client: Entek Consulting Group

C/O: Andy Roed

Re: 20-5562, NV5; JC Boyle

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020 Date of Receipt: 10-15-2020 Date of Report: 10-22-2020

LEAD: FLAME ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROMETRY

Location:	ECG-20-5562-JCHG-01Pb: Gray Paint on Concrete Flooring of Canal Head Gate Building	ECG-20-5562-JCHG-02Pb: White Paint on Wood Walls of Canal Head Gate Building
Comments (see below)	None	None
Lab ID-Version‡:	11924898-1	11924899-1
Analysis Date:	10/16/2020	10/16/2020
Sample type	Paint Chip sample	Paint Chip sample
Method*	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified
† Method Reporting Limit	300 ppm	71 ppm
Sample size	0.0330 grams	0.1407 grams
§Total Lead Result	< 300 ppm	< 71 ppm

Comments:

Sample results have not been corrected for blank values.

Bulk samples are not covered under the AIHA-LAP, LLC service accreditation.

Wipe samples must meet ASTM E1792 criteria. Method Reporting Limits may not be valid for non-ASTM E1792 wipe samples.

- † The Method Reporting Limit is the minimum concentration of Lead that the laboratory can confidently detect in the sample.
- § Total Lead Result has been rounded to two significant figures to reflect analytical precision.
- ‡ A "Version" indicated by -"x" after the Lab ID# with a value greater than 1 indicates a sample with amended data. The revision number is reflected by the value of "x".

EMLab P&K, LLC

^{*}Sample preparation and analytical methods are based upon NIOSH 7082 and EPA 7000B.





Report for:

Andy Roed Entek Consulting Group 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Regarding:

Project: 20-5562, NV5; JC Boyle

EML ID: 2502974

Approved by:

Technical Manager Andrew Ikeda

Induu Heda

Dates of Analysis:

Lead - Flame AA: 10-15-2020

Service SOPs: Lead - Flame AA (EM-BC-S-8443) AIHA-LAP, LLC accredited service, Lab ID #178697

All samples were received in acceptable condition unless noted in the Report Comments portion in the body of the report. Due to the nature of the analyses performed, field blank correction of results is not applied. The results relate only to the samples as received. Sample size, as it relates to Wipe samples only, is supplied by the client.

Eurofins EMLab P&K ("the Company") shall have no liability to the client or the client's customer with respect to decisions or recommendations made, actions taken or courses of conduct implemented by either the client or the client's customer as a result of or based upon the Test Results. In no event shall the Company be liable to the client with respect to the Test Results except for the Company's own willful misconduct or gross negligence nor shall the Company be liable for incidental or consequential damages or lost profits or revenues to the fullest extent such liability may be disclaimed by law, even if the Company has been advised of the possibility of such damages, lost profits or lost revenues. In no event shall the Company's liability with respect to the Test Results exceed the amount paid to the Company by the client therefor.

Eurofins EMLab P&K's LabServe® reporting system includes automated fail-safes to ensure that all AIHA-LAP, LLC quality requirements are met and notifications are added to reports when any quality steps remain pending.

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (866) 888-6653 Fax (623) 780-7695 www.emlab.com

Client: Entek Consulting Group

C/O: Andy Roed

Re: 20-5562, NV5; JC Boyle

Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020 Date of Receipt: 10-15-2020 Date of Report: 10-22-2020

LEAD: FLAME ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROMETRY

Location:	ECG-20-5562-JCGWPH-01Pb: Red Paint on Wood Door
Comments (see below)	None
Lab ID-Version‡:	11924869-1
Analysis Date:	10/15/2020
Sample type	Paint Chip sample
Method*	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified
† Method Reporting Limit	92 ppm
Sample size	0.1090 grams
§Total Lead Result	< 92 ppm

Comments:

Sample results have not been corrected for blank values.

Bulk samples are not covered under the AIHA-LAP, LLC service accreditation.

Wipe samples must meet ASTM E1792 criteria. Method Reporting Limits may not be valid for non-ASTM E1792 wipe samples.

- *Sample preparation and analytical methods are based upon NIOSH 7082 and EPA 7000B.
- † The Method Reporting Limit is the minimum concentration of Lead that the laboratory can confidently detect in the sample.
- § Total Lead Result has been rounded to two significant figures to reflect analytical precision.
- ‡ A "Version" indicated by -"x" after the Lab ID# with a value greater than 1 indicates a sample with amended data. The revision number is reflected by the value of "x".

EMLab P&K, LLC EMLab ID: 2502974, Page 2 of 2





Report for:

Andy Roed Entek Consulting Group 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677

Regarding:

Project: 20-5562; JC Boyle

EML ID: 2502977

Approved by:

Technical Manager Andrew Ikeda

Induu Keda

Dates of Analysis: Lead - Flame AA: 10-16-2020

Service SOPs: Lead - Flame AA (EM-BC-S-8443) AIHA-LAP, LLC accredited service, Lab ID #178697

All samples were received in acceptable condition unless noted in the Report Comments portion in the body of the report. Due to the nature of the analyses performed, field blank correction of results is not applied. The results relate only to the samples as received. Sample size, as it relates to Wipe samples only, is supplied by the client.

Eurofins EMLab P&K ("the Company") shall have no liability to the client or the client's customer with respect to decisions or recommendations made, actions taken or courses of conduct implemented by either the client or the client's customer as a result of or based upon the Test Results. In no event shall the Company be liable to the client with respect to the Test Results except for the Company's own willful misconduct or gross negligence nor shall the Company be liable for incidental or consequential damages or lost profits or revenues to the fullest extent such liability may be disclaimed by law, even if the Company has been advised of the possibility of such damages, lost profits or lost revenues. In no event shall the Company's liability with respect to the Test Results exceed the amount paid to the Company by the client therefor.

Eurofins EMLab P&K's LabServe® reporting system includes automated fail-safes to ensure that all AIHA-LAP, LLC quality requirements are met and notifications are added to reports when any quality steps remain pending.

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (866) 888-6653 Fax (623) 780-7695 www.emlab.com

Client: Entek Consulting Group
C/O: Andy Roed
Date of Sampling: 09-22-2020
Date of Receipt: 10-15-2020
Date of Report: 10-22-2020

LEAD: FLAME ATOMIC ABSORPTION SPECTROMETRY

Location:	ECG-20-5662-JCCB-01Pb: Black on Metal Chase for Cables
Comments (see below)	A
Lab ID-Version‡:	11924888-1
Analysis Date:	10/16/2020
Sample type	Paint Chip sample
Method*	NIOSH 7082 & EPA 7000B modified
† Method Reporting Limit	1400 ppm
Sample size	0.0074 grams
§Total Lead Result	< 1400 ppm

Comments: A) Sample weight is below method requirements and was analyzed at client request.

Sample results have not been corrected for blank values.

Bulk samples are not covered under the AIHA-LAP, LLC service accreditation.

Wipe samples must meet ASTM E1792 criteria. Method Reporting Limits may not be valid for non-ASTM E1792 wipe samples.

- † The Method Reporting Limit is the minimum concentration of Lead that the laboratory can confidently detect in the sample.
- § Total Lead Result has been rounded to two significant figures to reflect analytical precision.
- ‡ A "Version" indicated by -"x" after the Lab ID# with a value greater than 1 indicates a sample with amended data. The revision number is reflected by the value of "x".

EMLab P&K, LLC

^{*}Sample preparation and analytical methods are based upon NIOSH 7082 and EPA 7000B.





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 9-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Emlab P & K - Irvine

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Standard

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Lead by Flame Atomic

Absorption Spectroscopy

Special Instruction: Please report result in PPM and % by weight. Please email results as soon as possible.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION
ECG-20-5562-JCPH-01Pb	Gray Paint on Exterior Wooding Siding of Spill Shed
ECG-20-5562-JCPH-02Pb	Brown Paint on Exterior Wooding Siding of Pump House

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\UCB\COCs\UCPH\Bulk Request Pb 10-14-2020.wpd

Delivered by: Date: 10-16-20 11:36 am

Date: 10-16-20 Time: 4 AM/PM

Received by: Date: 10-19-1000 Time: 9/36 AM/PM





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 9-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Emlab P & K - Irvine

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Standard

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Lead by Flame Atomic

Absorption Spectroscopy

Special Instruction: Please report result in PPM and % by weight. Please email results as soon as possible.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-JCHM-01Pb	Red Paint on Bollard	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\JCB\COCs\JCHM\Bulk Request Pb 10-14-2020 wpd

Delivered by:

Date: 10 1/4120 Time:

AM/RM

Received by:

Date: 10 / 5 /200 Time: 9:54





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 9-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Emlab P & K - Irvine

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Standard

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Lead by Flame Atomic

Absorption Spectroscopy

Special Instruction: Please report result in PPM and % by weight. Please email results as soon as possible.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION
ECG-20-5562-JCHG-01Pb	Gray Paint on Concrete Flooring of Canal Head Gate Building
ECG-20-5562-JCHG-02Pb	White Paint on Wood Walls of Canal Head Gate Building

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, InclEntekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\UCB\COCs\UCHG\Bulk Request Pb 10-14-2020 wod

Delivered by:

Date: 10 1/4/28 Time: 4 AM/PM

Received by:

Date: 10 1/5 1/20 Time: 9.54 AM/PM





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 9-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Emlab P & K - Irvine

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Standard

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Lead by Flame Atomic

Absorption Spectroscopy

Special Instruction: Please report result in PPM and % by weight. Please email results as soon as possible.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION	
ECG-20-5562-JCGWPH-01Pb	Red Paint on Wood Door	

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, InclEntekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\UCB\COCs\UCGWPH\Bulk Request Pb 10-14-2020 wpd

Delivered by: Date: / / / / / / Time: AM/PM Received by: 115 12020 Time: 0,5 (AM/PM





ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

4200 ROCKLIN ROAD, SUITE 7 ROCKLIN, CA 95677 (916) 632-6800 PHONE (916) 632-6812 FAX mainoffice@entekgroup.com

Date of Sampling: 9-22-2020

Job Number: 20-5562

Client Name: NV5

Site Address: JC Boyle

Lab: Emlab P & K - Irvine

Collected by: Andy Roed

Turnaround Time: Standard

ANALYSIS REQUESTED: Lead by Flame Atomic

Absorption Spectroscopy

Special Instruction: Please report result in PPM and % by weight. Please email results as soon as possible.

SAMPLE#	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION/LOCATION
CG-20-5562-JCCB-01Pb	Black on Metal Chase for Cables

C:\Users\selbert\Entek Consulting Group, InclEntekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Field Documents\JCB\COCs\JCCB\Bulk Request Pb 10-14-2020.wpd

Delivered by:

/// 1/4/20 Time:

AM/PM

Received by:

Date: 10 / 1) / 100 Time: 9:54

Lead Testing Data Sheet (OSHA)

Iron Gate Development

Entek Project # 20-5562 Niton: XLp-300A Lead Analyzer Date: 9-22, 2020

Address: JC Boyle Development XRF Serial No.: 24015 Source No.: TR3580

Room Equivalent: JC Boyle Development Inspector(s): Andy Roed

Component	Substrate	Color	Test Locations	XRF Reading (mg/cm²)
Cable Chase	Metal	Black	Communications Building - Metal Chase for Cabels	0.0
Door	Wood	Red	Ground Water Pump House	0.1
Floor	Concrete	Gray	Canal Head Gate Building	0.0
Wall	Wood	White	Canal Head Gate Building Interior	0.0
Siding	Wood	Gray	Spill Shed - Wood Siding	0.0
Siding	Wood	Brown	Pump House Exterior Siding	0.0

I J I C:\Users\andy\Entek Consulting Group, Inc\Entekgroup - Documents\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Reports\JC Boyle\Lead Test Data SheetOSHA.wpd

Calibration Check Test Results

Klamath River Dams

City: Hornbrook, CA Device: Niton Xlp 300 Source Assay Date: 12-1-19 XRF Serial No. 24015 Source Number: TR3580 Contractor: Entek Consulting Group, Inc. Inspector Name: Andy Roed Inspector Signature: Calibration Check Tolerance Used 1.04 ±0.06 First Calibration Check 0800 hours Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading 1.0 1.0 0.9						
XRF Serial No. 24015 Source Number: TR3580 Contractor: Entek Consulting Group, Inc. Inspector Name: Andy Roed Inspector Signature: Calibration Check Tolerance Used 1.04 ±0.06 First Calibration Check 0800 hours Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading Yes						
Contractor: Entek Consulting Group, Inc. Inspector Name: Andy Roed Inspector Signature: Calibration Check Tolerance Used 1.04 ±0.06 First Calibration Check 0800 hours Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading Yes						
Inspector Name: Andy Roed Inspector Signature: Calibration Check Tolerance Used 1.04 ±0.06 First Calibration Check 0800 hours Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading Yes						
Calibration Check Tolerance Used 1.04 ±0.06						
Calibration Check Tolerance Used 1.04 ±0.06 First Calibration Check 0800 hours Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading Yes						
First Calibration Check 0800 hours Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading Yes						
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard? First Reading Second Reading Third Reading Yes						
First Reading Second Reading Third Reading Yes						
10 10 00						
1.0 0.9						
Second Calibration Check 1700 hours						
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard?						
First Reading Second Reading Third Reading						
1.0 1.1 1.0 Yes						
Third Calibration Check N/A						
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard?						
First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A						
N/A N/A N/A						
Fourth Calibration Check N/A						
Red SRM (2573) 0.8 to 1.2 mg/cm ² Do All Three Checks Meet the Standard?						
First Reading Second Reading Third Reading N/A						
N/A N/A N/A						

^{*} If the Calibration Check from the red SRM film value is greater or less than the specified Calibration Check Tolerance for this device, consult the manufacturer's recommendations to bring the instrument back into control. Retest all testing combinations tested since the last successful Calibration Check test.


 $[\]label{lem:consulting} C:\label{lem:consulting} Group, Inc\enterspace{2.00cm} Inc\enterspace{2.00cm} Calibration CheckTestResult.wpd$




APPENDIX C


Sample Location Maps


Asbestos and Lead Sample Location Diagrams





 ${\tt Cloud \C lients \NV5 \20-5562\ Klammath\ Dams \Drawings \3C\ Boylre}$











Klamath Dams J.C. Boyle Dam Keno, OR Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677 Map Not to Scale

Cloud\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Drawings\JC Boylre

Asbestos and Lead Bulk Sample Locations
Collected by Andy Roed
On September 22, 2020
Project Number 20-5562


AECOM Sample Locations Entek Sample Locations JCPH-3-01 JCPH-Pb5-01 Air Intake Air Intake JCPH-1-02 Room Room JCPH-2-01 JCPH-Pb4-01 JCPH-6-02 JCPH-6-01 JCPH-7-01 Unit 2 Unit 1 Switchgear JCPH-Pb1-01 JCPH-1-01 JCPH-Pb2-01 JCPH-Pb3-01 Governor Governor Battery Roon


> NV5 Klamath Dams J.C. Boyle Dam Keno, OR

Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677 Map Not to Scale

Cloud\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Drawings\JC Boylre

Asbestos and Lead Bulk Sample Locations Collected by Andy Roed On September 22, 2020 Project Number 20-5562






J.C. Boyle Dam Keno, OR

Rocklin, CA 95677 Map Not to Scale

Cloud\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Drawings\JC Boylre


On September 22, 2020 Project Number 20-5562



NV5 Klamath Dams J.C. Boyle Dam Keno, OR Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677 Map Not to Scale

Cloud\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Drawings\JC Boylre


Asbestos and Lead Bulk Sample Locations Collected by Andy Roed On September 22, 2020 Project Number 20-5562



NV5 Klamath Dams J.C. Boyle Dam Keno, OR Entek Consulting Group, Inc. 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Rocklin, CA 95677 Map Not to Scale

Cloud\Clients\NV5\20-5562 Klammath Dams\Drawings\JC Boylre

Asbestos and Lead Bulk Sample Locations
Collected by Andy Roed
On September 22, 2020
Project Number 20-5562




 ${\tt Cloud \C lients \NV5 \20-5562\ Klammath\ Dams \Drawings \3C\ Boylre}$


Keno, OR

Project Number 20-5562

AECOM Sample Locations Entek Sample Locations JCIS-Pb5-01 Fish Screen JCIS-Pb3-03 JCIS-Pb9-01 JCIS-6-03 JCIS-15-02 JCIS-6-02 JCIS-7-01 JCIS-5-01 JCIS-6-01 Fish Screen JCIS-Pb4-01 JCIS-15-03 Punip Fish Screen JCIS-9-03 JCIS-9-02 JCIS-9-01 Fish Screen Entek Consulting Group, Inc. NV5 Asbestos and Lead Bulk Sample Locations 4200 Rocklin Road, Suite 7 Klamath Dams Collected by Andy Roed Rocklin, CA 95677 J.C. Boyle Dam On September 22, 2020 Map Not to Scale Keno, OR Project Number 20-5562

 ${\tt Cloud \C lients \NV5 \20-5562\ Klammath\ Dams \Drawings \3C\ Boylre}$





 ${\tt Cloud \capacity NV5 \capacity Sold Rammath Dams \capacity Sold Boyle}$

Project Number 20-5562



APPENDIX D

BACK UP DOCUMENTATION

- Inspector Accreditations and Certifications
- Laboratory Accreditations for Asbestos and Lead Analysis

State of California Division of Occupational Safety and Health Certified Asbestos Consultant

Andrew R Roed

Name



Certification No. 16-5695

Expires on 08/17/21

This certification was issued by the Division of Occupational Safety and Health as authorized by Sections 7180 et seq. of the Business and Professions Code.



STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

INDIVIDUAL:

CERTIFICATE TYPE:

NUMBER:

EXPIRATION DATE:

Lead Inspector/Assessor

LRC-00002989

9/11/2021



Andrew Roed

Disclaimer. This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD.

United States Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology



Certificate of Accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025:2017

NVLAP LAB CODE: 101442-0

ASBESTECH

Carmichael, CA

is accredited by the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program for specific services, listed on the Scope of Accreditation, for:

Asbestos Fiber Analysis

This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognized International Standard ISO/IEC 17025:2017.

This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (refer to joint ISO-ILAC-IAF Communique dated January 2009).

2020-07-01 through 2021-06-30

Effective Dates



For the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program

National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program



SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION TO ISO/IEC 17025:2017

ASBESTECH

6825 Fair Oaks Blvd., Suite 103 Carmichael, CA 95608 Mr. Tommy Conlon

Phone: 916-481-8902 Fax: 916-481-3975 Email: asbestech@sbcglobal.net http://www.asbestechlab.com

ASBESTOS FIBER ANALYSIS

NVLAP LAB CODE 101442-0

Bulk Asbestos Analysis

	•
Code	D

CodeDescription18/A01EPA -- 40 CFR Appendix E to Subpart E of Part 763, Interim Method of the Determination of

Asbestos in Bulk Insulation Samples

18/A03 EPA 600/R-93/116: Method for the Determination of Asbestos in Bulk Building Materials

Airborne Asbestos Analysis

Code Description


18/A02 U.S. EPA's "Interim Transmission Electron Microscopy Analytical Methods-Mandatory and

Nonmandatory-and Mandatory Section to Determine Completion of Response Actions" as found in

40 CFR, Part 763, Subpart E, Appendix A.

For the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program







ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAM

CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ACCREDITATION

Is hereby granted to

Asbestech

6825 Fair Oaks Boulevard Carmichael, CA 95608

Scope of the certificate is limited to the "Fields of Testing" which accompany this Certificate.

Continued accredited status depends on successful completion of on-site inspection, proficiency testing studies, and payment of applicable fees.

This Certificate is granted in accordance with provisions of Section 100825, et seq. of the Health and Safety Code.

Certificate No.: 1153

Expiration Date: 3/31/2022

Effective Date: 4/1/2020

Sacramento, California subject to forfeiture or revocation

Christine Sotelo, Chief

Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program



CALIFORNIA STATE ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAM Accredited Fields of Testing



Asbestech

6825 Fair Oaks Boulevard Carmichael, CA 95608 Phone: 9164818902 Certificate No. 1153 Expiration Date 3/31/2022

Field of Testing: 121 - Bulk Asbestos Analysis of Hazardous Waste

121.010 001 Bulk Asbestos EPA 600/M4-82-020



AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC

acknowledges that

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614

Laboratory ID: 178697

along with all premises from which key activities are performed, as listed above, has fulfilled the requirements of the AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs (AIHA-LAP), LLC accreditation to the ISO/IEC 17025:2017 international standard, General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories in the following:

LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAMS

\checkmark	INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE	Accreditation Expires: September 01, 202
\checkmark	ENVIRONMENTAL LEAD	Accreditation Expires: September 01, 202
\checkmark	ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY	Accreditation Expires: September 01, 202
	FOOD	Accreditation Expires:
П	UNIQUE SCOPES	Accreditation Expires:

Specific Field(s) of Testing (FoT)/Method(s) within each Accreditation Program for which the above named laboratory maintains accreditation is outlined on the attached Scope of Accreditation. Continued accreditation is contingent upon successful on-going compliance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017 and AIHA-LAP, LLC requirements. This certificate is not valid without the attached Scope of Accreditation. Please review the AIHA-LAP, LLC

Bet Bair

Elizabeth Bair Chairperson, Analytical Accreditation Board

website (www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org) for the most current Scope.

Revision 17 - 09/11/2018

Cheryl O. Charton

Cheryl O. Morton

Accreditation Expires:

Managing Director, AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC

Date Issued: 08/21/2019



AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

Laboratory ID: **178697** Issue Date: 08/21/2019

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614

The laboratory is approved for those specific field(s) of testing/methods listed in the table below. Clients are urged to verify the laboratory's current accreditation status for the particular field(s) of testing/Methods, since these can change due to proficiency status, suspension and/or withdrawal of accreditation.

Industrial Hygiene Laboratory Accreditation Program (IHLAP)

Initial Accreditation Date: 06/01/2011

IHLAP Scope Category	Field of Testing (FoT) (FoTs cover all relevant IH matrices)	Technology sub-type/ Detector	Published Reference Method/Title of In- house Method	Method Description or Analyte (for internal methods only)
Asbestos/Fiber Microscopy Core	Phase Contrast Microscopy (PCM)		NIOSH 7400	

A complete listing of currently accredited Industrial Hygiene laboratories is available on the AIHA-LAP, LLC website at: http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org

Effective: 04/10/2015 Scope_IHLAP_R8

Page 1 of 1



AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614

Laboratory ID: **178697**Issue Date: 08/21/2019

The laboratory is approved for those specific field(s) of testing/methods listed in the table below. Clients are urged to verify the laboratory's current accreditation status for the particular field(s) of testing/Methods, since these can change due to proficiency status, suspension and/or withdrawal of accreditation.

Environmental Microbiology Laboratory Accreditation Program (EMLAP)

Initial Accreditation Date: 07/01/2005

EMLAP Category	Field of Testing (FoT)	Method	Method Description (for internal methods only)
	Air - Direct Examination	EM-MY-S-1038	Preparation and Analysis of Spore Trap (Air) Samples for Fungal Spores, Other Biological and Non-Biological Particles
Fungal	Bulk - Direct Examination	EM-MY-S-1039	Preparation and Analysis of Tape, Swab, Wipe, Bulk and Dust - Soil Samples for Qualitative Direct Microscopic Examination
	Surface - Direct Examination	EM-MY-S-1041	Preparation and Analysis of Tape, Swab, Wipe, Bulk, and Dust - Soil Samples for Quantitative Direct Microscopic Examination
Bacterial	Legionella	EM-BT-S-1045	Enumeration of Legionella. International Standard ISO 11731:2017
Dacterial		EM-BT-S-1687	CDC Laboratory protocol 2016

A complete listing of currently accredited Environmental Microbiology laboratories is available on the AIHA-LAP, LLC website at: http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org

Effective: 03/12/2013 Scope_EMLAP_R6

Page 1 of 1



AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Programs, LLC SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

Laboratory ID: **178697**

Issue Date: 08/21/2019

Eurofins EMLab P&K

17461 Derian Ave. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614

status, suspension and/or withdrawal of accreditation.

The laboratory is approved for those specific field(s) of testing/methods listed in the table below. Clients are urged to verify the laboratory's current accreditation status for the particular field(s) of testing/Methods, since these can change due to proficiency

The EPA recognizes the AIHA-LAP, LLC ELLAP program as meeting the requirements of the National Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program (NLLAP) established under Title X of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992 and includes paint, soil and dust wipe analysis. Air and composited wipes analyses are not included as part of the NLLAP.

Environmental Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELLAP)

Initial Accreditation Date: 03/01/2017

Field of Testing (FoT)	Technology sub-type/ Detector	Method	Method Description (for internal methods only)
		EPA SW-846 7000B	
Paint		Modified	
		NIOSH 7082	
		EPA SW-846 7000B	
Settled Dust by Wipe		Modified	
		NIOSH 7082	

A complete listing of currently accredited Environmental Lead laboratories is available on the AIHA-LAP, LLC website at: http://www.aihaaccreditedlabs.org

Effective: 10/14/2016 Scope_ELLAP_R7


Page 1 of 1



APPENDIX E

HISTORICAL SURVEY DOCUMENTATION

AECOM Technical Services, Inc. Report Dated April 2019



Klamath River Renewal Project

J.C. Boyle Development Hazardous Building Materials Survey





Prepared for:

Klamath River Renewal Corporation

Assessment Conducted by:

AECOM Technical Services, Inc.

300 Lakeside Drive, Suite 400 Oakland, California 94612

Assessment Personnel

Ms. Shannon MacKay

AHERA-Certified Building Inspector Number: CA-015-06 (exp. 5/2/2019)

Ms. Kim Riche

AHERA-Certified Building Inspector Number: 168531 (exp. 7/11/2019)

Assessment Dates

August 20 to 23 and December 6, 2018

Report Prepared by:

Shannon MacKay

Environmental Consultant

Report Reviewed by:

David I Sman

David Simon

CDPH-Certified Asbestos Consultant (CAC)

Nicole Gladu

EHS Compliance Manager

2 April 2019



Table of Contents

Exe	cutiv	ve Sur	nmary	8	
	Proje	ect Backg	ground:	8	
	Hazardous Building Materials Survey:			9	
	Objective:			9	
	Sum	marized	HBMS Results:	9	
1.	Intr	roduct	tion	12	
	1.1 Project Description			12	
	1.2	1.2 Survey Limitations			
2.	Scope of Services			15	
	2.1	Asbesto	os Assessment	15	
		2.1.1	Methodology	15	
		2.1.2	Naturally Occurring Asbestos	16	
	2.2	Sampli	ng Procedures	16	
	2.3	Sampli	17		
	2.4	Lead As	19		
		2.4.1	Sampling Methodology	19	
	2.5	Other R	Regulated Building Materials	19	
		2.5.1	Universal Waste Inventory Methodology	19	
		2.5.2	PCB-Containing Caulking	19	
3.	Site Description			22	
	3.1 J.C. Boyle Development		yle Development	22	
		3.1.1	Description of J.C. Boyle Development Structures	22	
4.	Conclusions and Recommendations			27	
	4.1 Asbestos			27	
		4.1.1	Asbestos Regulations	28	
	4.2	Lead		30	
	4.3	Other F	Regulated Building Materials	30	



4.4

4.5 Tables	
ct of E	iguros (Appondiy A)
St OI F	igures (Appendix A)
Figure 1	Aerial Site Photo
Figure 2	Aerial Site Photo
Asbestos and I	Lead Sample Locations:
Figure 3	Communications Building
Figure 4	Fire Protection Building
Figure 5	Intake Structure, Gated Spillway and Diversion Culvert, and Fish Ladder
Figure 6	Intake Structure/JC Boyle Dam – South Section
Figure 7	Intake Structure Fish Screen Building
Figure 8	Intake Structure/JC Boyle Dam – North Section
Figure 9	Gate Control and Communications and Spillway Control Center
Figure 10	Groundwater Pumphouse, Outdoor Storage Area, Vehicle Storage Shed
Hazardous Ma	terials Storage Sheds and Above Ground Storage Tanks
Figure 11	Office Warehouse
Figure 12	Powerhouse Main Level
Figure 13	Powerhouse Basement Level
Figure 14	Timber Bridge, Powerhouse Roof, and Penstock
Figure 15	Residence 1
Figure 16	Residence 2
Figure 17	Warehouse
Approximate A	CM Locations:
Figure 18 – Co	ommunications Building
Figure 19 –Ha	zardous Materials Storage Sheds and Above Ground Storage Tanks and
Office/Wareho	use
Figure 20 – Po	werhouse Main Level
Figure 21 – Wa	arehouse

Treated Wood31

4 Table of Contents April 2019

List of Appendices



Appendix A Figures

Appendix B HSA Photologs

Appendix C Laboratory Analytical Results

Appendix D Personnel and Laboratory Certifications

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ACM Asbestos-Containing Material
AECOM Technical Services, Inc.

AHERA Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act

AST Aboveground Storage Tank

CC1 Copco 1 Dam
CC2 Copco 2 Dam

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

DEQ Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

HEPA High Efficiency Particulate Air
HSA Homogenous Sampling Area

IGD Iron Gate Dam

IGH Iron Gate Hatchery

JCB/JC JC Boyle Dam

KHSA Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement

KRRC Klamath River Renewal Corporation

LCP Lead-Containing Paint mg/kg milligrams per kilogram

NESHAP National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

NOA Naturally Occurring Asbestos

NVLAP National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program

OAR Oregon Administrative Rules

ODEQ Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

OR-OSHA Oregon Occupational Safety and Health Administration

O&M Operations & Maintenance

PACM Presumed Asbestos-Containing Material

PCB Polychlorinated Biphenyl

April 2019 Table of Contents 5



RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

RM river miles

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

6 Table of Contents April 2019





EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Project Background:

AECOM Technical Services (AECOM) was retained by Klamath River Renewal Corporation (KRRC) to conduct a Hazardous Building Materials Survey (HBMS) of the J.C. Boyle Development. This report includes the findings of the HBMS conducted at the J.C. Boyle Development and associated support buildings and structures on August 20 to 23 and December 6, 2018. The J.C. Boyle Development is located near Keno, Oregon, and is a remote secured industrial facility owned and operated by PacifiCorp Energy.

The J.C. Boyle Development and original supporting structures were completed in 1958 and are located between RM 233 and 224.9 in Klamath County, Oregon. The J.C. Boyle address is 26020 Highway 66, Keno, Oregon 97627. The J.C Boyle Dam impounds a narrow reservoir of 350 acres (aka J.C. Boyle Reservoir, aka Topsy Reservoir). Main features at J.C. Boyle include the reservoir, a combination embankment and concrete dam, gated spillway, diversion culvert, water conveyance system, forebay and powerhouse.

Other supporting structures include a fish ladder, 14 foot diameter pipeline, canal headgate and associated structure, timber bridge, a combined office/warehouse building, a vehicle storage shed, a fire protection building, a communications building, a hazardous materials shed, two residences, a vehicle storage shed, a spillway control building and gate control communications building near the forebay, and a warehouse and switchyard near the powerhouse.

Four dams and associated structures including the J. C. Boyle Development, Copco No. 1 Development, Copco No. 2 Development, Iron Gate Development and the Iron Gate Fish and Fall Creek Hatcheries (the Sites) have been identified for decommissioning and removal under the 2016 Amended Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement (KHSA, 2016) following the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation's Detailed Plan for Dam Removal – Klamath River Dams, Klamath Hydroelectric Project FERC License No. 2082 Oregon – California (Detailed Plan) (USBR 2012). The Iron Gate Fish Hatchery, Fall Creek Fish Hatchery, and the City of Yreka Diversion Dam have been identified for improvements under the KHSA. All four developments will be transferred to their respective states after dam decommissioning and removal.

The Sites are located on land currently owned by PacifiCorp. An HBMS was conducted at each of the seven Sites, and an HBMS report issued for the Sites as follows:

- 1. J.C. Boyle Development
- 2. Copco No. 1 Development
- 3. Copco No. 2 Development
- 4. Iron Gate Development

8 00 | Executive Summary April 2019



- 5. Iron Gate and Fall Creek Hatcheries
- 6. City of Yreka Diversion

Hazardous Building Materials Survey:

AECOM assessed J.C. Boyle Development and support facilities for the following hazardous building materials:

- Asbestos-containing materials (ACMs);
- Asbestos-containing construction materials (ACCMs);
- Assumed asbestos-containing materials;
- Lead-containing coatings (paints);
- Mercury-containing light tubes, switches, and thermostats;
- Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB)-containing caulking, putties, gaskets, and membranes;
- Suspected high-intensity discharge (HID) lamps; and
- Suspected PCB-containing fluorescent light ballasts and transformers.

Objective:

The objective of the HBMS was to provide information regarding the presence of lead-containing coatings, PCB-containing light ballasts, PCB-containing caulking, and mercury-containing sources, and the presence, location, and quantity of ACMs, ACCMs, and assumed ACMs, and for the purposes of decommissioning planning.

Summarized HBMS Results:

Two-hundred and three bulk samples of suspect asbestos-containing materials were collected and analyzed using Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) during this assessment. Seven materials (HSAs) were found to contain detectable asbestos above 0.1%, five materials were assumed to contain asbestos, and three materials were visually assessed and determined to be non-suspect. Per the EPA National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) requirements and the analytical results, four sample layers were further analyzed using PLM Point Count Method.



In addition, six concrete bulk samples were collected and analyzed using PLM California Air Resources Board (CARB) 435 method to determine the content of Naturally Occurring Asbestos (NOA). No concrete samples were found to contain detectable NOA above the PLM point count threshold of 0.25%.

Sixteen paint chip samples were collected and analyzed for total lead content using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry; fifteen of the samples were found to contain reportable levels of lead.

Mercury-containing fluorescent light tubes, HID lamps, and magnetic light ballasts labeled "No-PCBs" were observed during the assessment. In the switchyard, the yellow glass portion of the high voltage transformer bushings may contain PCBs in the oil. One caulking sample was collected and analyzed for PCBs using EPA method 8270 by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GCMS). No PCBs were detected in the caulking sample.

See Section 4.5: Tables for tabulated HBMS Results.

10 00 | Executive Summary April 2019

Chapter 1: Introduction



INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Description

AECOM Technical Services (AECOM) was retained by KRRC to conduct an HBMS of the J.C. Boyle Development and support facilities. This report includes the findings of the HBMS conducted at the J.C. Boyle Development and associated support buildings and structures on August 20 to 23 and December 6, 2018. The J.C. Boyle Development is located near Keno, Oregon, and is a remote secured industrial facility owned and operated by PacifiCorp.

1.2 Survey Limitations

The conclusions of this report are AECOM's professional opinions, based solely upon visual site observations and interpretations of laboratory analyses, as described in this report. The opinions presented herein apply to the site conditions existing at the time of AECOM's assessment and interpretation of current regulations pertaining to asbestos, lead-containing paint, PCB-containing ballasts and building materials, and mercury-containing components. Therefore, AECOM's opinions and recommendations may not apply to future conditions that may exist at the site which we have not had the opportunity to evaluate. All applicable state, federal, and local regulations should always be verified prior to any work that will disturb materials containing asbestos and other hazardous building materials.

AECOM has performed the services set forth in the Scope of Work in accordance with generally accepted industrial hygiene practices in the same or similar localities, related to the nature of the work accomplished, at the time the services were performed.

Additional sampling needs to be conducted of structures not assessed and inaccessible areas prior to demolition. Suspect regulated building materials throughout the J.C. Boyle Development and support facilities that are not included in this regulated building materials assessment are assumed to be asbestoscontaining unless they are sampled by an AHERA-accredited Building Inspector and analyzed by a National Voluntary Laboratory Accrediation Program (NVLAP)-accredited laboratory to confirm the presence of asbestos prior to the disturbing such materials.

The regulated building materials and conditions presented in this report represent those observed on the dates we conducted the sampling. This sampling is intended for the exclusive use of KRRC for specific application to the proposed decommissioning. This assessment is not intended to replace construction or demolition plans, specifications, or bidding documents. This report is not meant to represent a legal opinion.

This report was prepared pursuant to an agreement between KRRC and AECOM and is for the exclusive use of KRRP. No other party is entitled to rely on the conclusions, observations, specifications, or data contained herein without first obtaining AECOM's written consent and provided any such party signs an AECOM-

12 01 | Introduction April 2019



generated Reliance Letter. A third party's signing of the AECOM Reliance Letter and AECOM's written consent are conditions precedent to any additional use or reliance on this report.

The passage of time may result in changes in technology, economic conditions, site variations, or regulatory provisions, which would render the report inaccurate. Reliance on this report after the date of issuance as an accurate representation of current site conditions shall be at the user's sole risk.

April 2019 01 | Introduction 13

Chapter 2: Scope of Services



2. SCOPE OF SERVICES

2.1 Asbestos Assessment

Ms. Shannon MacKay and Ms. Kim Riche, both AHERA-accredited building inspectors, (Certification 167196, expiration date: 5/2/2019; and, Certification 168531, expiration date: 7/11/2019, respectively), performed the sampling at the J.C. Boyle Development and support buildings from August 20 to 23 and on December 6, 2018. Copies of their certifications are included in Appendix C.

The following materials/areas were inaccessible during the site work and should be assumed to contain asbestos until such time as the area becomes accessible and is sampled by an AHERA-accredited building inspector and analyzed by a NVLAP-accredited laboratory:

- Residence 2
- Structure above stop log gates on metal support beams, associated with the Intake Structure

2.1.1 Methodology

This assessment was conducted using a modified protocol adapted from AHERA. The protocol is as follows:

- Identify suspect asbestos-containing materials.
- Group materials into homogeneous sampling areas/materials.
- Quantify each homogeneous material and collect representative samples. The number of samples collected of miscellaneous materials was determined by the inspector.
- Samples of each material were taken to the substrate, ensuring that all components and layers of the material were included.
- Sample locations are referenced on the field data forms according to sample number.
- Sampling was performed by a CAC or CSST, and the use of proper protective equipment and procedures was followed.

April 2019 02 | Scope of Services 15



2.1.2 Naturally Occurring Asbestos

For informational purposes, AECOM collected samples of concrete and submitted them to EMSL Laboratories to analyze for NOA. The sampling was conducted as a preliminary screen for NOA. Sampling was conducted discretely in areas where damage to concrete was already present.

2.2 Sampling Procedures

This sampling was conducted using the following procedures:

- 1. Spread the plastic drop cloth (if needed) and set up other equipment, e.g., ladder.
- 2. Don protective equipment (respirator and protective clothing if needed).
- 3. Label sample container with its identification number and record number. Record sample location and type of material sampled on a sampling data form.
- 4. Moisten area where sample is to be extracted (spray the immediate area with water).
- 5. Extract sample using a clean knife, drill capsule, or cork boring tool to cut out or scrape off approximately one tablespoon of the material. Penetrate all layers of material.
- 6. Place sample in a container and tightly seal it.
- 7. Wipe the exterior of the container with a wet wipe to remove any material that may have adhered to it during sampling.
- 8. Clean tools with wet wipes and wet mop; or vacuum area with HEPA vacuum to clean all debris.
- 9. Discard protective clothing, wet wipes and rags, cartridge filters, and drop cloth in a labeled plastic waste bag.

AECOM inspected the buildings and structures for suspect ACM including thermal systems insulation, surfacing materials, and miscellaneous materials (e.g., floor tiles, ceiling tiles). When materials suspected of containing asbestos were identified, AECOM's inspectors collected representative bulk samples from each Homogeneous Sampling Area using the protocol presented in the Table 2-1:

16 02 | Scope of Services April 2019



Table 2-1 Suspect ACM Sampling Protocol

Suspect ACM Sampling Protocol			
Homogeneous Sampling Area (HSA) Category	HSA Size	Minimum Number of Samples	
Surfacing Materials	1,000 SF or Less	3	
	1,001-5,000 SF	5	
	>5,000 SF	7 or more	
Thermal System Insulation (TSI)	No Stipulation	3 of each type of TSI. (Must also sample all repair patches)	
Miscellaneous Materials	No Stipulation	1 or more samples of each miscellaneous material	

A Homogeneous Sampling Area is defined to include surfacing materials, thermal systems insulations, and miscellaneous materials, which are uniform in color, texture, construction and application date, and general appearance.

Additional suspect ACMs may be present in inaccessible or concealed spaces. These spaces include, but are not limited to, areas not assessed, areas not accessible at the time of the assessment, fire doors, electrical systems, pipe chases, spaces between wall/ceiling/door/floor cavities, interior of mechanical components, beneath foundation pads, etc. If future maintenance, renovation, and/or demolition activities make these areas accessible, AECOM recommends that a thorough assessment of these spaces be conducted at that time to identify and confirm the presence or absence of additional suspect ACMs. Until then, all such unidentified materials must be treated as assumed ACMs in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations.

AECOM did not sample suspect ACM in the following circumstances:

- The AECOM inspector could not safely access the material for sampling;
- The residence was still occupied;
- The AECOM inspector concluded that the materials were inaccessible for sampling; or
- The AECOM inspector determined that destructive sampling would compromise the integrity of the material and/or the structure.

2.3 Sampling and Analysis

The EPA National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) (40 CFR 61, Subparts A and M) also has requirements related to the assessment of suspect ACM in buildings. NESHAP defines a "friable" material to be a material that when dry, can be crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder with hand pressure or by the forces expected to act on the material in the course of demolition or renovation activities.

April 2019 02 | Scope of Services 17



AECOM applied this NESHAP definition of friable for the purposes of determining which analytical method to be used to quantify the asbestos content of a specific material.

The collected samples of suspect ACM were analyzed by NVL Laboratories, Inc. for asbestos content using the PLM visual estimation method and the PLM Point Counting Method. NVL Laboratories, Inc. is accredited for these asbestos analytical methods by the NVLAP Accreditation Program. Appendix C contains NVL Laboratories, Inc.'s certificate of laboratory accreditation and licensure. The collected samples of suspect NOA in concrete were analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. for asbestos content using PLM CARB Method 435. EMSL Analytical, Inc. is accredited for these asbestos analytical methods by the NLAP Accreditation Program. Appendix C contains EMSL Analytical, Inc.'s certificate of laboratory accreditation and licensure.

Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM)

The PLM method is a visual estimation of the asbestos content of a sample. The PLM analysis was performed by NVL Laboratories, Inc. following the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (USEPA) PLM method EPA-600R/M4-82-020 for determining asbestos content in bulk building materials.

Polarized Light Microscopy Point Count (PLM Point Count)

According to the NESHAP, when the asbestos content of a friable material is visually estimated by the PLM visual technique to be detectable but less than 10%, the inspector may either (1) assume that the amount is greater than 0.1% and treat the material as ACCM or (2) conduct a second analysis, the PLM Point Count Method EPA/600-R93/116, to verify the percentage of asbestos in the material.

Per NESHAP, AECOM used the results of the PLM visual method analyses for friable materials to determine whether additional laboratory analysis was warranted (i.e., PLM Point Count), or whether the material would be treated as ACCM. Based on PLM analytical results, four samples were further analyzed by PLM Point Count analysis (See Appendix C).

If the results obtained by PLM Point Count Method and the PLM visual estimation method are different, the PLM Point Count result is used. When no asbestos is detected by the first PLM visual method, the additional technique using PLM Point Count Method is not required. The analytical results are reported in percent asbestos as derived from a 1000 point counting technique, which yields a detection limit of 0.1%.

Naturally Occurring Asbestos (NOA)

Asbestos fibers may be released from serpentine rock formations. The CARB 435 method is used to determine the asbestos content of serpentine aggregate, or NOA, in concrete, storage piles, on conveyor belts, and on surfaces such as road beds, road shoulders, and parking lots. Samples are crushed using a mill to produce a material of which the majority is less than 200 Tyler mesh (0.75 microns). CARB defines NOA as having >0.25% asbestos by PLM point counting. The analytical results are reported in percent asbestos as derived from a 400 PLM point counting technique, which yields a detection limit of 0.25%.

18 02 | Scope of Services April 2019



2.4 Lead Assessment

2.4.1 Sampling Methodology

Homogeneous painted surfaces were defined by substrate, application, and color. The paint chip samples were collected to the substrate to ensure that all layers present on the substrate were included in the laboratory analysis. The samples were collected and stored in a heavy-duty, self-sealing plastic bag and delivered to NVL Laboratories in Seattle, Washington. The samples were analyzed via Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry in accordance with Method EPA 7000B. NVL Laboratories in Seattle, Washington is accredited by American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) for lead analysis.

2.5 Other Regulated Building Materials

2.5.1 Universal Waste Inventory Methodology

An inventory of fluorescent light tubes, HID lamps, mercury-containing sources, and potential PCB-containing ballasts was conducted in accessible Project Areas.

Where fluorescent light fixtures were accessible, the ballast covers were removed, and the ballast labels were visually examined. Where fluorescent light fixtures could not be visually examined, the number of potential PCB-containing ballasts in each fixture was estimated based on the following assumptions:

- Each single light tube fluorescent fixture contains one ballast;
- Each HID lamp contains one ballast and one mercury bulb;
- Each multiple light tube fluorescent fixture contains one ballast for every pair of light tubes; and
- All light ballasts are assumed to contain PCBs unless the ballasts are labeled as not containing PCBs or are determined to be electronic.

Fluorescent light tubes, HID lamps, fluorescent light fixtures and PCB-containing transformers were identified in the buildings in the quantities listed in Table 4-4.

2.5.2 PCB-Containing Caulking

Suspected PCB-containing caulking samples were collected in the same manner as suspected asbestos-containing bulk samples. Each sample was collected and stored in a glass jar and delivered to Fremont Analytical, Inc. in Seattle, Washington. Samples were analyzed via Gas Chromatography in accordance with EPA Method 8270, "Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry". Fremont Analytical, Inc. in Seattle, Washington is accredited by the National Environmental Lab Accreditation

April 2019 02 | Scope of Services 19



program as administered by the National Laboratory Accreditation Committee for analysis of PCBs by EPA Method 8270 (reporting in parts per million). Analytical results are presented in Table 4-5.

20 02 | Scope of Services April 2019

Chapter 3: Site Description



3. SITE DESCRIPTION

3.1 J.C. Boyle Development

AECOM Technical Services (AECOM) was retained by Klamath River Renewal Corporation (KRRC) to conduct a Hazardous Building Materials Survey (HBMS) of the J.C. Boyle Development. This report includes the findings of the HBMS conducted at the J.C. Boyle Development and associated support buildings and structures on August 20 to 23 and December 6, 2018. The J.C. Boyle Development is located near Keno, Oregon, and is a remote secured industrial facility owned and operated by PacifiCorp.

The J.C. Boyle Development and original supporting structures were completed in 1958 and are located between RM 233 and 224.9 in Klamath County, Oregon. The J.C. Boyle address is 26020 Highway 66, Keno, Oregon 97627. The J.C Boyle Dam impounds a narrow reservoir of 350 acres (aka J.C. Boyle Reservoir, aka Topsy Reservoir). Main features at J.C. Boyle include the reservoir, a combination embankment and concrete dam, gated spillway, diversion culvert, water conveyance system, forebay and powerhouse.

Other supporting structures include a fish ladder, 14 foot diameter pipeline, canal headgate and associated structure, timber bridge, a combined office/warehouse building, a vehicle storage shed, a fire protection building, a communications building, a hazardous materials shed, two residences, a vehicle storage shed, a spillway control building and gate control communications building near the forebay, and a warehouse and switchyard near the powerhouse.

3.1.1 Description of J.C. Boyle Development Structures

The following J.C. Boyle Development support structures were assessed during the HBMS:

Canal Headgate (JCCH)

The Canal Headgate is connected to the Intake Structure by a 14' steel pipeline.

Communication Building (JCCB)

The Communication Building is located south of the dam. It is an approximately 360 square feet paneled building with a slab-on-grade concrete foundation. The exterior siding and roofing consists of pre-fabricated steel. The interior consists of pre-fabricated metal wall siding and unfinished concrete flooring. The building contains a work station, electrical panels and two 32 units battery bank in secondary containment systems.

Emergency Spill Equipment Shed (JCES)

The Emergency Spill Equipment Shed is adjacent to the Powerhouse, is approximately 100 square feet, and is a single-story concrete slab on grade shed with engineered wood siding and asphaltic shingle roofing. The

22 03 | Site Description April 2019



interior of the shed is unfinished wood. The structure is currently being used as storage for emergency spill purposes. The structure was inaccessible during the HBMS due to the presence of wasps.

Fire Protection Building (JCFP)

The Fire Protection Building is located east of the diversion dam along the west bank of the reservoir. It is an approximately 600 square feet cinder block building with a slab-on-grade concrete floor and wooden ceiling. The structure houses water piping, compressed air tanks and electrical cabinets. The interior finishes consist of concrete flooring, CMU siding, and exposed metal ceiling.

Fish Ladder (JCFL)

The Fish Ladder is north of the Intake Structure. It is constructed of concrete.

Gate Control Center Building (JCGC)

The Fire Protection Building is located east of the diversion dam along the west bank of the reservoir. It is an approximately 600 square feet cinder block building with a slab-on-grade concrete floor and wooden ceiling. The structure houses water piping, compressed air tanks and electrical cabinets. The interior finishes consist of concrete flooring, CMU siding, and exposed metal ceiling.

Groundwater Pumphouse (JCGWPH)

The Groundwater Pumphouse is a prefabricated shed located southeast of the outdoor storage area. It is approximately 100 square feet. The exterior consists of metal siding and roofing. The interior of the building consists of unfinished wood throughout.

HazMat Shed and Above Ground Storage Tanks (JCHM)

The HazMat Shed and Above Ground Storage Tanks are located about 50 feet east of the Office and Warehouse building. The HazMat Shed is approximately 240 square feet. The HazMat Shed exterior consists of pre-fabricated metal siding with a slab-on-grade concrete foundation. The interior of the storage shed consists of unfinished metal siding and ceiling and unfinished concrete flooring. One each 500 gallon diesel and 1,000 gallon gasoline above ground storage tanks are located adjacent to the Hazardous Material Storage Shed. Both are double walled ASTs and are underneath a permanent "cover" and on top of concrete pads.

Intake Structure (JCIS)

The Intake Structure is located on the western side of the JC Boyle Reservoir. The south end of the structure includes a Fish Screen Building accessed by a wooden bridge. The perimeter of the Fish Screen Building is encircled by a wooden walkway above the reservoir to access metal fish screens. The exterior of the Fish Screen Building consists of corrugated metal siding and roofing. The interior of the Fish Screen Building consists of concrete flooring, walls, and ceiling. The JC Boyle Dam extends north of the Fish Screen Building,

April 2019 03 | Site Description 23



including stop log gates, metal grating walkways, electrical panels, and mules. The Fish Ladder extends west on the north end of the dam.

Office and Warehouse (JCOW)

The Office and Warehouse Building is approximately 1,800 square feet with a slab-on-grade concrete foundation. It resembles a "Red Barn" and is located approximately 300 feet west of the dam. The office portion contains a small kitchen with a sink and a restroom with water discharged to a septic tank. The maintenance warehouse portion is a large open area for typical repair and maintenance activities, the storage maintenance equipment, tools and miscellaneous supplies, and has a side fenced storage area.

Outdoor Storage Area (JCBY)

The Outdoor Storage Area (also referred to as the boneyard) is located south of the Vehicle Storage Shed. Various items are scattered throughout the Outdoor Storage Area, including scrap metal and a decommissioned storage tank.

Penstocks (JCPS)

The Penstocks extend downhill from the surge tank, on the north side of the Powerhouse, and feed the turbines inside the Powerhouse.

Powerhouse (JCPH)

The Powerhouse is approximately 7,000 square feet and is a reinforced concrete structure and contains three levels; above ground, first lower level, and second lower level.

The above ground level contains the upper portions of two vertical-shafts and Francis-type turbines contained in their own concrete vaults. A single 150-ton gantry crane was observed over the two turbines. The first lower level contained the lower portions of the turbines that were housed in concrete vaults, electrical panels, tanks, air compressors, oil, water and air piping, a small open office, and a restroom connected to an outdoor septic tank. The second lower level contained the piping, penstock intakes, and sump pumps.

Exterior and interior wall, floor, and ceiling finishes consist of concrete and CMU that are primarily painted throughout.

Residence 1 (JCR1)

Residence 1 is approximately 2020 square feet and is located east of the Vehicle Storage Shed. The building exterior consists of wood siding and asphaltic shingle roofing. The interior of the building contains bedrooms, bathrooms, a kitchen, a living room, and closets. The interior finishes include gypsum walls and ceilings, vinyl floor sheeting, and carpeting.

24 03 | Site Description April 2019



Residence 2 (JCR2)

Residence 2 is approximately 2020 square feet and is located east of the Vehicle Storage Shed. The interior of the building was inaccessible during the inspection. The building exterior consists of wood siding and asphaltic shingle roofing.

Spillway Control Center Building (JCSW)

The Spillway Control Center Building is approximately 420 square feet and is located adjacent to the Spillway. The exterior consists of metal siding and roofing. The interior of the building was not accessed during the inspection due to the observable presence of bats.

Substation (JCST)

The Substation is located inside the Switchyard and was not accessed during the inspection due to safety considerations.

Switchyard (JCSW)

The Switchyard is approximately 23,000 square feet, is located west of the Powerhouse, and was not accessed during the inspection due to safety considerations. The Switchyard contains electrical transformers, substations, transmission poles and lines within a fenced gravel area..

Timber Bridge (JCWB)

The Timber Bridge is approximately 1,600 square feet, and is located near the 14' diversion pipe, at the base of the Headgate.

Vehicle Storage Shed (JCVS)

The Vehicle Storage Shed is located east of the Office/Warehouse building and is approximately 4,400 square feet. The exterior of the building consists of metal siding and corrugated metal roofing. The interior finishes consist of unfinished metal framed walls and ceiling with batt insulation and unfinished concrete flooring.

Warehouse (JCWH)

The Warehouse is approximately 4,800 square feet. The exterior of the building consists of metal siding and corrugated metal roofing. The interior of the building consists of unfinished metal framed walls and ceiling with batt insulation and unfinished concrete flooring.

April 2019 03 | Site Description 25

Chapter 4: Conclusions and Recommendations



CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On August 20 to 23 and December 6, 2018, AECOM conducted a Hazardous Building Materials Survey of the J.C. Boyle Development located in Keno, Oregon. AECOM assessed the site buildings for a variety of regulated building materials that would require removal or special handling during decommissioning and demolition. Section 4.5: Tables includes the tabulated results of the survey. The following are AECOM's general recommendations related to the HBMS findings:

- Plans and specifications should be developed by an appropriately qualified professional (e.g., CAC) to outline the planned scope of work, phasing, training and certification requirements, policies and procedures for the proper handling, removal packaging, disposal/recycling, and transportation of the materials.
- The findings of this report should be communicated to contractors planning to work on or bid on work at the site.
- Additional material-specific recommendations as listed below.

4 1 **Asbestos**

Two-hundred and three bulk samples of suspect asbestos-containing materials were collected and analyzed using Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) during this assessment. Seven materials (HSAs) were found to contain detectable asbestos above 0.1%, five materials were assumed to contain asbestos, and three materials were visually assessed and determined to be non-suspect. Per the EPA NESHAP requirements and the analytical results, four sample layers were further analyzed using PLM Point Count Method.

In addition, six concrete bulk samples were collected and analyzed using PLM CARB 435 method to determine the content of NOA. No concrete samples were found to contain detectable NOA above the PLM point count threshold of 0.25%.

The results of the analyses are presented in Section 4.5, Tables 4-1, 4-2, and 4-3. Appendix C contains the laboratory reports of analytical results for each discrete sample.

Additional suspect ACMs may be present in inaccessible or concealed spaces. These spaces include, but are not limited to; below grade exterior materials, electrical systems, pipe chases, spaces between wall/ceiling/door/floor cavities, interior of mechanical components, beneath foundation pads, etc. If future demolition activities make these areas accessible, AECOM recommends that a thorough assessment of these spaces be conducted at that time to identify and confirm the presence or absence of additional ACMs



and ACCMs. Until then, all such unidentified materials must be treated as assumed ACMs in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations.

If the analytical results indicate that all the samples collected per HSA do not contain asbestos, then the HSA (material) is considered a non-ACM. If the analytical results of one or more of the samples collected per HSA indicate that asbestos is present in quantities of greater than 0.1% asbestos as defined by Cal/OSHA, all of the HSA (material) is considered to be an ACM or ACCM regardless of any other analytical results.

Any material that contains greater than 0.1% asbestos is considered an ACCM and must be handled according to Cal/OSHA regulations. Any material greater than one percent asbestos is considered an ACM and must be handled according to EPA regulations, and applicable state and local regulations. The EPA NESHAP regulations (40 CFR 61, Subparts A and M) have a requirement related to assessment of suspect ACM in buildings. When the asbestos content of a friable material is visually estimated by PLM to be detectable but less than ten percent, your firm may elect to (1) assume the amount is greater than one percent and treat the material as asbestos-containing or (2) require verification of the amount by the PLM point counting technique. If the results obtained by point counting and visual estimation are different, the point count result must be used. When no asbestos is detected by PLM, point counting is not required.

4.1.1 Asbestos Regulations

Asbestos-related work must be performed in compliance with local, federal, and state regulations including Cal/OSHA, the Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control District, EPA NESHAP, and relevant federal, state and local regulations pertaining to handling of asbestos.

The EPA NESHAP regulations (Renovation and Demolition NESHAP 40 CFR 61, Subparts A and M) for asbestos apply to certain demolition and renovation projects in facilities containing ACM and/or assumed ACM. The NESHAP rule usually requires that all friable ACM and some categories of non-friable ACM be removed before a building is demolished, and may require localized removal prior to demolition. The following NESHAP definitions of ACM are very important in interpreting which NESHAP requirements may apply to your building:

- Friable asbestos-containing material: any material containing more than 1 percent asbestos that when dry, can be crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by hand pressure.
- Category I non-friable asbestos-containing material: asbestos-containing packings, gaskets, resilient
 floor covering, and asphalt roofing products containing more than 1 percent asbestos that, when dry,
 cannot be crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by hand pressure.
- Category II non-friable asbestos-containing material: any material excluding Category I non-friable ACM, containing more than 1 percent asbestos that, when dry, cannot be crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by hand pressure.
- Regulated asbestos-containing material (RACM): (1) friable ACM, (2) Category I non-friable ACM that has become friable (3) Category I non-friable ACM that will be or has been subjected to sanding, grinding, cutting, or abrading, or (4) Category II non-friable ACM that has a high probability of



becoming or has become crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by the forces expected to act on the materials in the course of demolition or renovation operations regulated by NESHAP.

NESHAP also requires that the local air district be notified before certain renovations or demolition impacting RACM begin. When ACCM is removed or disturbed during demolition or renovation, the Cal/OSHA regulations also apply. The NESHAP regulations should be studied in detail for a thorough delineation of these and other requirements.

Cal/OSHA regulates employee exposure to asbestos (T8, CCR 1529). The Cal/OSHA asbestos standards mandate a permissible exposure limit (PEL) of 0.1 fibers (equal to or longer than 5 micrometers) per cubic centimeter of air (fibers/cc) determined as an 8-hour, time-weighted average (TWA) and an excursion limit of 1 fiber/cc as a 30-minute TWA.

Also, for asbestos removal or renovation involving ACM, the Cal/OSHA Asbestos Construction Standard (T8, CCR 1529) requires that specific procedures be followed, including enclosure of the work area to control asbestos exposure of building occupants, as well as, employees involved in abatement or renovation activities.

The following are selected Cal/OSHA definitions regarding asbestos work:

- Class I asbestos work means activities involving the removal of TSI and surfacing ACM and PACM.
- Class II asbestos work means activities involving the removal of ACM which is not thermal system insulation or surfacing material. This includes, but is not limited to, the removal of asbestoscontaining wallboard, floor tile and sheeting, roofing and siding shingles, and construction mastics.
- Class III asbestos work means repair and maintenance operations, where "ACM", including TSI and surfacing ACM and PACM, is likely to be disturbed.
- Class IV asbestos work means maintenance and custodial activities during which employees contact but do not disturb ACM or PACM and activities to clean up dust, waste and debris resulting from Class I, II, and III activities.
- Intact means that the ACM has not crumbled, been pulverized, or otherwise deteriorated so that asbestos is no longer likely to be bound with its matrix.

AECOM identified materials that were assumed to contain asbestos, but were not assessed because the inspector determined them to be ACM, for the safety of the inspector and to preserve building system integrity.

During demolition activities, inaccessible materials may be uncovered which were not identified or sampled during this assessment. Personnel in charge of demolition should be alerted to note materials uncovered during these activities which were not identified in this report. The following are AECOM's recommendations:

If the buildings are scheduled for abatement and demolition (AECOM's recommendation), an abatement project design manual should be prepared with technical specifications and abatement plans. The design must be prepared by a CAC.



- The results of this sampling should be communicated to any Contractors working in the Project Areas and a copy of the assessment report must be on-site during demolition activities.
- Abatement work must be performed by CA-licensed asbestos abatement contractor with trained asbestos workers and supervisors.
- Any concealed building materials discovered during demolition activities, which are suspected to contain asbestos, should be sampled by a CSST or CAC and analyzed by a NVLAP- and CA ELAPaccredited laboratory to confirm the presence of asbestos prior to disturbing such materials or be assumed to be ACM.
- If the facilities assessed during the HBMS are not scheduled for demolition, AECOM recommends the development of an O&M Plan by a CAC.

4.2 Lead

Sixteen paint chip samples were collected and analyzed for total lead content; fifteen of the paint chip samples were found to contain detectable levels of lead. The results of the analyses are presented in Section 4.5 Table 4-3. Appendix C contains the laboratory reports of analytical results for each discrete sample.

Cal/OSHA requires worker training, worker protection, and exposure assessments be conducted during operations that may disturb the lead-containing paint in such a way that the airborne exposure may reach or exceed the Action Level of 30 micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m³) or the Permissible Exposure Limit of 50 µg/cm³. The worker protection requirements of Cal/OSHA 1532.1 "Lead" apply.

4.3 Other Regulated Building Materials

Mercury-containing fluorescent light tubes and HID lamps were observed during the assessment. In the switchyard, the yellow glass portion of the high voltage transformer bushings may contain PCBs in the oil. One caulking sample was collected and analyzed for PCBs using EPA method 8270 by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GCMS). No PCBs were detected in the caulking sample.

Fluorescent light tubes, switches, and thermostats may contain mercury. Fluorescent light ballasts, transformer oil, and HID lamp ballasts may contain PCBs. PCB wastes are regulated by Department of Toxic Substance Control Act (DTSC) Title 22 CCR 66261.24, Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) Title 40 CFR 761, and Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) 15 USC 2695. DTSC has classified PCBs as a hazardous waste when the concentrations are equal to or greater than 5 mg/l in liquids or when the total concentrations are equal to or greater than 50 mg/kg in non-liquids (Title 22, CCR, 66261.24). If the PCB waste is greater than 50 mg/l, then it is also to be managed under the RCRA and TSCA requirements. Employers must inform their employees of mercury and PCB hazards in accordance with Cal/OSHA.

Light ballasts in representative locations were visually assessed where possible. All light ballasts observed during the course of the HBMS were electronic ballasts or magnetic ballasts labeled "No PCBs". During the



course of decommissioning or demolition activities, magnetic light ballasts may be discovered that are not labeled "No PCBs" and should be disposed of per DTSC requirements.

Fluorescent light tubes must be removed and recycled or disposed of as hazardous waste or universal waste prior to demolition as per 22 CFR 66261.50 and 66273.8.

The results of the Universal Waste Inventory are presented in Section 4.5 Table 4-5.

Treated Wood 4 4

Wood treated with creosote was observed in the following locations:

- Power poles throughout J.C. Boyle Development, including within the Switchyard
- Wooden bridge associated with the Intake Structure
- **Timber Bridge**

4.5 **Tables**

Table 4-1: Confirmed ACMs, ACCMs, and Assumed ACMs lists the HSAs (materials) that were tested and confirmed to contain greater than 0.1 percent asbestos as well as the HSAs that could not be tested and are assumed to contain asbestos. NESHAP categories and approximate quantities of each material are identified, when possible.

Table 4-2: Asbestos Sample Results by Layer lists the tabulated analytical results for each discrete asbestos sample, listed by building then by HSA. Confirmed ACMs, ACCMs and Non-ACMs are included.

Table 4-3: Visually Negative Materials lists the materials that were visually assessed and determined to be non-suspect.

Table 4-4: Lead Paint Sample Results lists the tabulated analytical results for each discrete lead paint sample.

Table 4-5: Universal Waste Inventory presents the tabulated approximate quantities of fluorescent light tubes, suspect PCB containing light ballasts, non-PCB containing magnetic light ballasts, HID Lamps, and PCB-containing transformers.

Table 4-6: PCB-Caulking Sample Results lists the tabulated analytical results for each PCB caulking sample.

Appendix A contains figures of structures, sampling locations, and asbestos-containing material locations.

Appendix B contains HSA Photologs, by building, then by HSA.

Appendix C contains the laboratory reports of analytical results for each discrete sample.



Appendix D contains personnel and laboratory certifications.



Table 4-1 Confirmed ACMs, ACCMs, and Assumed ACMs

		and Assumed ACMs						
Building	HSA#	HSA Description	Material Location	AHERA Class	Friability	NESHAP Category	Summarized Results	Quantity
Communication Building	JCCB-04	Asbestos-containing tan caulking	At base of interior wall/concrete interface	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Positive	78 LF
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-01	Asbestos-containing asphaltic concrete crack sealant	Asphalt pad associated with HazMat Shed and Above Ground Storage Tanks	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Positive	20 LF
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-03	Asbestos-containing off- white caulking	On above ground storage tank concrete casing in Fuel Shed	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Positive	4 EA (penetrations)
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-06	Asbestos-containing off- white sealant	Ceiling/roof seams of HazMat Shed	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Positive	~100 LF
Office Warehouse	JCOW-08	Assumed asbestos- containing silver woven electrical wire insulation	Throughout Office and Warehouse	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Assumed	Not quantified
Powerhouse	JCPH-05	Assumed asbestos- containing gaskets	Piping and mechanical equipment throughout Powerhouse	Misc.	-	-	Assumed	Not quantified*
Powerhouse	JCPH-08	Asbestos-containing gray door sealant	Entry into upper level of Powerhouse (interior and exterior of door)	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Positive	32 LF
Powerhouse	JCPH-14	Assumed asbestos- containing metal clad fire doors	Throughout Powerhouse	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Assumed	5 EA
Powerhouse	JCPH-15	Assumed asbestos- containing wicket gates	Associated with turbines	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Assumed	2 EA
Warehouse	JCWH-01	Asbestos-containing black asphaltic slip sheet with cementitious material	Exterior interface between metal siding and concrete foundation	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Positive	192 LF
Warehouse	JCWH-05	Asbestos-containing tan brittle caulking	At metal seems around interior roll -up door	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Positive	330 SF

NF: Non-Friable; HSA: material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date, Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA, Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA, SF: Square Feet, EA: Each; LF: Linear Feet; Cat II: Category II per NESHAPS; Materials that were unable to be sampled (typically because of inaccessibility or sampling would be too destructive while facilities were still operational) are assumed to be asbestos-containing. *Not quantified because of unknown extent of material not accessible at time of inspection; as-built drawings needed for approximate quantification.

Table 1: Confi	rmed ACMs	and Assumed ACMs						
Building	HSA#	HSA Description	Material Location	AHERA Class	Friability	NESHAP Category	Summarized Results	Quantity
Throughout JC Boyle Development	-	Assumed asbestos- containing buried Transite piping	Based on piping found at Copco 2, it is reasonable to assume that buried Transite piping also exists throughout the JC Boyle Development	Misc.	NF	Cat II	Assumed	Not quantified*

NF: Non-Friable; HSA: material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date, Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA, Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA, SF: Square Feet, EA: Each; LF: Linear Feet; Cat II: Category II per NESHAPS; Materials that were unable to be sampled (typically because of inaccessibility or sampling would be too destructive while facilities were still operational) are assumed to be asbestos-containing. *Not quantified because of unknown extent of material not accessible at time of inspection; as-built drawings needed for approximate quantification.



Table 4-2 Asbestos Sample Results by Layer

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%)	Asbestos Type
						Asbestos	
Canal Headgate and 14' Pipeline	JCCH-1-01	1	Black soft material with paint chips	Around 14' diversion pipeline	Misc.		None Detected
Canal Headgate and 14' Pipeline	JCCH-2-01	1	Silver paint	Around 14' diversion pipe down spout	Misc.		None Detected
Canal Headgate and 14' Pipeline		2	Red rubbery material	Around 14' diversion pipe down spout	Misc.		None Detected
Canal Headgate and 14' Pipeline	JCCH-3-01	1	Silver paint	14' diversion pipe	Misc.		None Detected
Canal Headgate and 14' Pipeline	JCCH-3-02	1	Silver paint	14' diversion pipe	Misc.		None Detected
Canal Headgate and 14' Pipeline	JCCH-3-03	1	Silver paint	14' diversion pipe	Misc.		None Detected
Communication Building	JCCB-1-01	1	Light gray soft foamy material with paint	Exterior metal siding seams	Misc.		None Detected
Communication Building	JCCB-1-02	1	Light gray soft foamy material with debris	Exterior metal siding seams	Misc.		None Detected
Communication Building	JCCB-2-01	1	Black asphaltic material	Exterior asphalt crack repairs	Misc.		None Detected
Communication Building	JCCB-2-02	1	Black soft asphaltic material	Exterior asphalt crack repairs	Misc.		None Detected
Communication Building	JCCB-3-01	1	Black asphaltic material	Exterior asphalt	Misc.		None Detected
Communication Building	JCCB-4-01	1	Light gray soft material	At base of interior wall/concrete interface	Misc.	2%	Chrysotile
Communication Building	JCCB-4-02	1	Light gray soft material	At base of interior wall/concrete interface	Misc.	2%	Chrysotile
Fire Protection Building	JCFP-1-01	1	Red brittle material with paint	Piping throughout Fire Protection Building	Misc.		None Detected
Fire Protection Building	JCFP-1-02	1	Red brittle material with paint	Piping throughout Fire Protection Building	Misc.		None Detected
Fire Protection Building	JCFP-1-03	1	Red soft material with paint	Piping throughout Fire Protection Building	Misc.		None Detected

^{*}Confirmed by layer via PLM Point Count at 1000 points; HSA: Material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date; Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA; TSI: Thermal system insulation per AHERA; Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA; Layers in bolded text are asbestoscontaining

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA	Percent	Asbestos
					Classification	(%) Asbestos	Туре
Fire Protection Building	JCFP-2-01	1	Black rubbery soft material with red paint and inter fill-loose fibrous	Piping throughout Fire Protection Building	Misc.		None Detected
Fire Protection Building	JCFP-3-01	1	Brown fibrous material with rush	Interior of metal double doors (deterioration exposed insulation)	Misc.		None Detected
Fire Protection Building	JCFP-4-01	1	Light gray sandy/brittle material	Exterior walls	Misc.		None Detected
Fire Protection Building	JCFP-5-01	1	Off-white brittle/soft mastic	Around exterior vents	Misc.		None Detected
Gate Control and Communication Building	JCGCB-1-01	1	Gray brittle window putty	Interior window frames	Misc.		None Detected
Gate Control and Communication Building	JCGCB-1-02	1	Gray brittle window putty	Interior window frames	Misc.		None Detected
Gate Control and Communication Building	JCGCB-2-01	1	Red fire stop	Interior wall, at electrical conduit penetrations	Misc.		None Detected
Gate Control and Communication Building	JCGCB-2-02	1	Red fire stop	Interior wall, at electrical conduit penetrations	Misc.		None Detected
Gate Control and Communication Building	JCGCB-3-01	1	Gray sealant	Exterior metal siding seams	Misc.		None Detected
Gate Control and Communication Building	JCGCB-3-02	1	Gray sealant	Exterior metal siding seams	Misc.		None Detected
Groundwater Pumphouse	JCPH-1-01	1	Tan paper with asphalt	Batt insulation above wood ceiling	Misc.		None Detected
Groundwater Pumphouse		2	Pink fibrous material	Batt insulation above wood ceiling	TSI		None Detected
Groundwater Pumphouse	JCPH-1-02	1	Tan paper with asphalt	Batt insulation above wood ceiling	Misc.		None Detected
Groundwater Pumphouse		2	Pink fibrous material	Batt insulation above wood ceiling	TSI		None Detected

^{*}Confirmed by layer via PLM Point Count at 1000 points; HSA: Material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date; Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA; TSI: Thermal system insulation per AHERA; Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA; Layers in bolded text are asbestoscontaining

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%) Asbestos	Asbestos Type
Groundwater Pumphouse	JCPH-1-03	1	Tan paper with asphalt	Batt insulation above wood ceiling	Misc.		None Detected
Groundwater Pumphouse		2	Pink fibrous material	Batt insulation above wood ceiling	TSI		None Detected
Groundwater Pumphouse	JCPH-2-01	1	Black asphaltic fibrous material	Underneath corrugated metal siding, throughout exterior	Misc.		None Detected
Groundwater Pumphouse	JCPH-2-02	1	Black asphaltic fibrous material	Underneath corrugated metal siding, throughout exterior	Misc.		None Detected
Groundwater Pumphouse	JCPH-2-03	1	Black asphaltic fibrous material with brown paint	Underneath corrugated metal siding, throughout exterior	Misc.		None Detected
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-1-01	1	Black soft asphaltic material	Asphalt pad associated with HazMat Shed and Above Ground Storage Tanks	Misc.		None Detected
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-1-02	1	Black soft asphaltic material	Asphalt pad associated with HazMat Shed and Above Ground Storage Tanks	Misc.		None Detected
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed		2	Black asphaltic material	Asphalt pad associated with HazMat Shed and Above Ground Storage Tanks	Misc.	2%	Chrysotile
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-2-01	1	Beige brittle/sandy material with off-white paint	On above ground storage tank concrete casing in Fuel Shed	Misc.		None Detected
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-2-02	1	Beige brittle/sandy material with off-white paint	On above ground storage tank concrete casing in Fuel Shed	Misc.		None Detected
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-2-03	1	Light graybrittle/sandy material with off-white paint	On above ground storage tank concrete casing in Fuel Shed	Misc.		None Detected
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-3-01	1	White soft material	On above ground storage tank concrete casing in Fuel Shed piping	Misc.		None Detected
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-3-02	1	Beige soft/brittle material with gray paint	On above ground storage tank concrete casing in Fuel Shed	Misc.	<0.1%*	Chrysotile
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-4-01	1	Gray/silver paint	Roof of small storage shed adjacent to HazMat Shed	Misc.		None Detected
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-4-02	1	Orange/silver paint	Roof of small storage shed adjacent to HazMat Shed	Misc.		None Detected

^{*}Confirmed by layer via PLM Point Count at 1000 points; HSA: Material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date; Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA; TSI: Thermal system insulation per AHERA; Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA; Layers in bolded text are asbestoscontaining

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%) Asbestos	Asbestos Type
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-4-03	1	Orange/silver paint	Roof of small storage shed adjacent to HazMat Shed	Misc.		None Detected
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-5-01	1	White soft material	On roll-up door to HazMat Shed	Misc.		None Detected
HazMat Shed and Fuel Shed	JCHM-6-01	1	Light gray compressed fibrous material	Ceiling/roof seams of HazMat Shed	Misc.	45%	Chrysotile
Intake Structure	JCIS-10-01	1	Gray brittle material	Structure around stop logs	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-1-01	1	Gray brittle material with debris	Driveway area of intake structure	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-11-01	1	Gray rubbery material with sand	At walkway expansion joints	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-12-01	1	Off-whtie brittle material	Fish screen flooring area around fish screen building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-12-02	1	Gray brittle material with paint	Fish screen flooring area around fish screen building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Off-white brittle material	Fish screen flooring area around fish screen building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-13-01	1	Silver paint	Stop log structural cage frame	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Metal oxide with paint	Stop log structural cage frame	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-13-02	1	Silver paint	Stop log structural cage frame	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Metal oxide	Stop log structural cage frame	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-13-03	1	Silver paint	Stop log structural cage frame	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-14-01	1	Gray brittle material	At beginning of wood bridge	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-15-01	1	Silver paint	Exterior of intake structure, below fish screen house lower section	Misc.		None Detected

^{*}Confirmed by layer via PLM Point Count at 1000 points; HSA: Material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date; Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA; TSI: Thermal system insulation per AHERA; Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA; Layers in bolded text are asbestoscontaining

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%) Asbestos	Asbestos Type
Intake Structure		2	Metal oxide with paint	Exterior of intake structure, below fish screen house lower section	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-15-02	1	Silver paint	Exterior of intake structure, below fish screen house lower section	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Metal oxide with paint	Exterior of intake structure, below fish screen house lower section	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-15-03	1	Soft flaky material with metallic paint	Exterior of intake structure, below fish screen house lower section	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-16-01	1	Black asphaltic fibrous material with paint	Underneath wood walls of Intake Structure Reservoir Level Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-16-02	1	Black asphaltic fibrous material with paint	Underneath wood walls of Intake Structure Reservoir Level Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-2-01	1	Black sticky material with mineral grains	Driveway area of intake structure	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-3-01	1	Gray sandy rubbery material	Intake structure walkway	Surf.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Gray brittle material	Intake structure walkway	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-3-02	1	Gray sandy rubbery material	Intake structure walkway	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Gray brittle material	Intake structure walkway	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-3-03	1	Gray sandy rubbery material	Intake structure walkway	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-3-04	1	Gray brittle material	Intake structure walkway	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-4-01	1	Black asphaltic mastic	On wood bridge to intake structure	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-4-02	1	Black asphaltic mastic	On wood bridge to intake structure	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-5-01	1	Silver paint	Flex pipe connection associated with pump inside Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected

^{*}Confirmed by layer via PLM Point Count at 1000 points; HSA: Material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date; Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA; TSI: Thermal system insulation per AHERA; Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA; Layers in bolded text are asbestoscontaining

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%) Asbestos	Asbestos Type
Intake Structure		2	Brown woven fibrous material with brittle brown mastic	Flex pipe connection associated with pump inside Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-6-01	1	Silver paint	Piping connecting traveling water screens inside Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Green and brown paint	Piping connecting traveling water screens inside Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-6-02	1	Silver paint	Piping connecting traveling water screens inside Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Green orange and brown paint	Piping connecting traveling water screens inside Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-6-03	1	Silver paint	Piping connecting traveling water screens inside Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Green orange and brown paint	Piping connecting traveling water screens inside Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-7-01	1	White rubbery material with paint	At concrete wall/wood ceiling interface inside Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Brown rubbery material with paint and wood flakes	At concrete wall/wood ceiling interface inside Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-7-02	1	White rubbery material with paint	At concrete wall/wood ceiling interface inside Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Brown rubbery material with paint and wood flakes	At concrete wall/wood ceiling interface inside Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-8-01	1	Brown paper with black asphaltic mastic	Above ceiling in Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Pink fibrous material	Above ceiling in Fish Screen Building	TSI		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-8-02	1	Brown paper with black asphaltic mastic	Above ceiling in Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Pink fibrous material	Above ceiling in Fish Screen Building	TSI		None Detected
Intake Structure		3	Off-white paint	Above ceiling in Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected

^{*}Confirmed by layer via PLM Point Count at 1000 points; HSA: Material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date; Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA; TSI: Thermal system insulation per AHERA; Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA; Layers in bolded text are asbestoscontaining

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%) Asbestos	Asbestos Type
Intake Structure	JCIS-8-03	1	Brown paper with black asphaltic mastic	Above ceiling in Fish Screen Building	TSI		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Pink fibrous material	Above ceiling in Fish Screen Building	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-9-01	1	Silver paint	On traveling water screen machinery	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Gray and brown paint	On traveling water screen machinery	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-9-02	1	Silver paint	On traveling water screen machinery	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Gray and brown paint	On traveling water screen machinery	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure	JCIS-9-03	1	Silver paint	On traveling water screen machinery	Misc.		None Detected
Intake Structure		2	Gray and brown paint	On traveling water screen machinery	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-10-01	1	Tan fibrous material with mastic and metal foil	Insulation inside two roll-up doors in Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	Off-white foamy material	Insulation inside two roll-up doors in Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-10-02	1	Tan fibrous material with mastic and metal foil	Insulation inside two roll-up doors in Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	Off-white foamy material	Insulation inside two roll-up doors in Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-10-03	1	Tan fibrous material with mastic and metal foil	Insulation inside two roll-up doors in Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	Off-white foamy material	Insulation inside two roll-up doors in Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-1-01	1	Gray sheet vinyl	Flooring in break room, shower room, office, hallway, and restroom	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	Gray fibrous backing with mastic (on wood)	Flooring in break room, shower room, office, hallway, and restroom	Misc.		None Detected

^{*}Confirmed by layer via PLM Point Count at 1000 points; HSA: Material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date; Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA; TSI: Thermal system insulation per AHERA; Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA; Layers in bolded text are asbestoscontaining

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%) Asbestos	Asbestos Type
Office Warehouse	JCOW-1-02	1	Gray sheet vinyl	Flooring in break room, shower room, office, hallway, and restroom	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	Tan fibrous backing with mastic (on wood)	Flooring in break room, shower room, office, hallway, and restroom	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		3	Black asphaltic fibrous material	Flooring in break room, shower room, office, hallway, and restroom	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-1-03	1	Gray sheet vinyl	Flooring in break room, shower room, office, hallway, and restroom	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	Gray fibrous backing with mastic (on wood)	Flooring in break room, shower room, office, hallway, and restroom	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-11-01	1	Black asphaltic mastic with paper	Above ceiling in attic of Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	Pink fibrous material	Above ceiling in attic of Warehouse	TSI		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-11-02	1	Black asphaltic mastic with paper	Above ceiling in attic of Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	Pink fibrous material	Above ceiling in attic of Warehouse	TSI		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-11-03	1	Black asphaltic mastic with paper	Above ceiling in attic of Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	Pink fibrous material	Above ceiling in attic of Warehouse	TSI		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-11-04	1	Black asphaltic mastic with paper and paint	Above ceiling in attic of Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	Pink fibrous material	Above ceiling in attic of Warehouse	TSI		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-12-01	1	Black asphaltic mastic with paper and paint	Behind wood wall, loft area of Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	Yellow fibrous material	Behind wood wall, loft area of Warehouse	TSI		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-12-02	1	Black asphaltic mastic with paper and paint	Behind wood wall, loft area of Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected

^{*}Confirmed by layer via PLM Point Count at 1000 points; HSA: Material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date; Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA; TSI: Thermal system insulation per AHERA; Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA; Layers in bolded text are asbestoscontaining

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%) Asbestos	Asbestos Type
Office Warehouse		2	Yellow fibrous material	Behind wood wall, loft area of Warehouse	TSI		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-12-03	1	Black asphaltic mastic with paper and paint	Behind wood wall, loft area of Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	Yellow fibrous material	Behind wood wall, loft area of Warehouse	TSI		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-13-01	1	Black asphaltic soft material	At base of exterior metal walls, at wall/concrete interface	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-13-02	1	Black asphaltic soft material	At base of exterior metal walls, at wall/concrete interface	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-14-01	1	Off-white putty material with paint	Exterior window panes	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-14-02	1	Off-white putty material with paint	Exterior window panes	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-15-01	1	Black asphaltic fibrous felt	Underneath corrugated metal roof, throughout	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-15-02	1	Black asphaltic fibrous felt with paint	Underneath corrugated metal roof, throughout	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-16-01	1	Black asphaltic fibrous felt	Underneath corrugated metal siding of Office Warehouse shed	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-16-02	1	Black asphaltic fibrous felt	Underneath corrugated metal siding of Office Warehouse shed	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-17-01	1	Black asphaltic fibrous material	Underneath corrugated metal siding throughout Office Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-17-02	1	Black asphaltic fibrous material	Underneath corrugated metal siding throughout Office Warehouse	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-2-01	1	Gray fibrous material with paint	Ceiling in entry way	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-2-02	1	Gray fibrous material with paint	Ceiling in entry way	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-2-03	1	Gray fibrous material with paint	Ceiling in entry way	Misc.		None Detected

^{*}Confirmed by layer via PLM Point Count at 1000 points; HSA: Material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date; Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA; TSI: Thermal system insulation per AHERA; Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA; Layers in bolded text are asbestoscontaining

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%) Asbestos	Asbestos Type
Office Warehouse	JCOW-3-01	1	Gray rubbery material	Walls throughout office main floor	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	White soft mastic	Walls throughout office main floor	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		3	White compacted powdery material with paint	Walls throughout office main floor	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-3-02	1	Gray rubbery material	Walls throughout office main floor	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	White soft mastic	Walls throughout office main floor	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		3	White compacted powdery material with paint	Walls throughout office main floor	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-4-01	1	White compacted powdery material with paint	Walls throughout office main floor	Surf.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	White chalky material with paper	Walls throughout office main floor	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-4-02	1	White textured powdery material with paint	Walls throughout office main floor	Surf.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	White chalky material with paper	Walls throughout office main floor	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-4-03	1	White compacted powdery material with paint	Walls throughout office main floor	Surf.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	White chalky material with paper	Walls throughout office main floor	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-4-04	1	White compacted powdery material with paint	Walls throughout office main floor	Surf.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	White chalky material with paper	Walls throughout office main floor	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-4-05	1	White compacted powdery material with paint	Walls throughout office main floor	Surf.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	White chalky material with paper	Walls throughout office main floor	Misc.		None Detected

^{*}Confirmed by layer via PLM Point Count at 1000 points; HSA: Material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date; Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA; TSI: Thermal system insulation per AHERA; Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA; Layers in bolded text are asbestoscontaining

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%)	Asbestos Type
Office Warehouse	JCOW-4-06	1	White compacted powdery material with paint	Walls throughout office main floor	Surf.	Asbestos	None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	White chalky material with paper	Walls throughout office main floor	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-6-01	1	White soft elastic material	Restroom counter	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	White compacted powdery material with paint and paper	Restroom counter	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse	JCOW-7-01	1	Black plastic	Underneath restroom counter	Misc.		None Detected
Office Warehouse		2	Yellow soft adhesive	Underneath restroom counter	Misc.		None Detected
Outdoor Storage Area	JCBY-1-01	1	Red soft rubbery material	Out of service storage tank in Outdoor Storage Area	Misc.		None Detected
Outdoor Storage Area		2	Yellow soft mastic	Out of service storage tank in Outdoor Storage Area	Misc.		None Detected
Outdoor Storage Area	JCBY-2-01	1	Black brittle asphaltic material with granules	Out of service storage tank in Outdoor Storage Area	Misc.		None Detected
Outdoor Storage Area	JCBY-2-02	1	Black brittle asphaltic material with granules	Out of service storage tank in Outdoor Storage Area	Misc.		None Detected
Outdoor Storage Area	JCBY-3-01	1	Silver paint	Out of service storage tank in Outdoor Storage Area	Misc.		None Detected
Outdoor Storage Area		2	Yellow brittle material	Out of service storage tank in Outdoor Storage Area	Misc.		None Detected
Penstock	JCPS-01-01	1	Gray brittle cementitious material	Penstock piping support blocks	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-10-01	1	Gray sticky material	Walls throughout Powerhouse	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-1-01	1	Gray brittle material	Walls throughout Powerhouse	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-1-02	1	Gray brittle material with paint	Walls throughout Powerhouse	Misc.		None Detected

^{*}Confirmed by layer via PLM Point Count at 1000 points; HSA: Material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date; Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA; TSI: Thermal system insulation per AHERA; Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA; Layers in bolded text are asbestoscontaining

Building	Sample ID	Layer	Sample Description	Material Location	AHERA Classification	Percent (%) Asbestos	Asbestos Type
Powerhouse	JCPH-11-01	1	Gray rubbery material	Concrete pad/roof top side of Powerhouse	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-12-01	1	Gray brittle material	Concrete pad/roof top side of Powerhouse	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse		2	Tan brittle material	Concrete pad/roof top side of Powerhouse	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-12-02	1	Gray brittle material	Concrete pad/roof top side of Powerhouse	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-12-03	1	Gray brittle material	Concrete pad/roof top side of Powerhouse	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse		2	Tan brittle material	Concrete pad/roof top side of Powerhouse	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-13-01	1	Silver paint	Crane train tracks top side of Powerhouse	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-13-02	1	Silver paint	Crane train tracks top side of Powerhouse	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-13-03	1	Silver paint	Crane train tracks top side of Powerhouse	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-2-01	1	Off-white crumbly material with debris	Interior window panes	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-2-02	1	Tan crumbly material with paint	Interior window panes	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-3-01	1	Black rubbery material	Restroom walls	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse		2	Yellow soft mastic	Walls in upper level restroom	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-4-01	1	Red rubbery material with paint	Associated with generator piping, pumphouse lower level	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse		2	Black sticky mastic	Associated with generator piping, pumphouse lower level	Misc.		None Detected
Powerhouse	JCPH-6-01	1	White compacted powdery material with paint	Walls in upper level entry way	Surf.		None Detected

^{*}Confirmed by layer via PLM Point Count at 1000 points; HSA: Material that is uniform in color, texture, general appearance, and construction and application date; Surf.: Surfacing material per AHERA; TSI: Thermal system insulation per AHERA; Misc.: Miscellaneous material per AHERA; Layers in bolded text are asbestoscontaining